

Question : 2

Introduction

State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs) in Pakistan have increasingly strained the budgetary economy due to persistent financial losses.

inefficient governance, and dependence on state support. In an environment marked by fiscal deficits, rising debt servicing, and constrained development spending, privatization is increasingly viewed as a fiscal necessity rather than an ideological choice.

The state's international highlights, treaties, and relationships between SOE inefficiency and the inevitability of privatization. End with a forward-looking critical conclusion that ties back to the question.

Use headings directly derived from the question statement - pick words and phrases from the question itself. Alignment with the Question: Each argument must be explicitly linked to the specific part of the question being asked.

If your heading is not aligned with the demand of the question, the content - no matter how accurate - will not be rewarded. Avoid generic or unrelated headings; precision is key.

Language & Visuals: Use subject-specific terminology relevant to international relations, geopolitics, economics, and security studies. Incorporate simple graphs, flowcharts, tables, or maps wherever relevant to enhance clarity and scoring potential.

Budgetary Burden of State-Owned Enterprises in Pakistan

State-Owned Enterprises have imposed sustained pressure on Pakistan's budgetary economy through recurring operational losses and reliance on government

support. These losses translate into continuous subsidies, equity injections, and sovereign guarantees, which widen fiscal deficits and reduce the state's capacity to allocate resources to priority sectors and development sectors.

Fiscal link between SOE losses and budgetary stress

Operational Inefficiency in SOEs

↓
Chronic Fiscal Losses

↓
Government Subsidies and Bailouts

↓
Higher Fiscal Deficit

↓
Increased Borrowing & Debt Servicing

↓
Reduced Development Spending

↓
Privatization as a Fiscal Necessity

The sequence demonstrates how inefficiencies within SOEs directly translate into budgetary stress, reinforcing the argument that continued state ownership has become fiscally unsustainable.

2

Governance and Structural Inefficiencies Increasing the Budgetary Burden

Weak corporate governance has entrenched the fiscal drain caused by SOEs. Political interference in appointments, lack of professional management, and ineffective oversight mechanisms have inflated operational cost and reduced productivity. These structural weaknesses deepen the burden on the national budget and undermine financial discipline.

3-

Opportunity Cost of State Ownership in a Constrained Budgetary Economy

In a fiscally constrained economy,

the continued allocation of public resources to loss-making SOEs carries a significant opportunity cost. Funds absorbed by these enterprises limit government spending on human development, climate resilience, and economic stabilization, further weakening the budgetary economy and reinforcing the case for reform.

4. Fiscal Constraints Making Privatization Inevitable

Pakistan's limited fiscal space has significantly reduced the state's ability to sustain financially unviable SOEs. Rising debt servicing obligations and repeated reliance on external financing have made continued budgetary support untenable. As a result, privatization has emerged as an unavoidable policy option aimed at reducing recurrent fiscal liabilities.

5-

External and Structural Pressures Reinforcing the Inevitability of Privatization

Structural reform commitments associated with international financial engagement emphasize SOE restructuring and privatization to restore fiscal discipline. In parallel, global economic practice increasingly favors a regulatory role for the state rather than direct ownership of commercial enterprises, strengthening the inevitability argument in Pakistan's context.

6-

Risks of Privatization

Despite its fiscal rationale, poorly executed privatization can undermine budgetary and economic objectives. Risks include asset undervaluation, emergence of private monopolies, and short-term employment disruptions. Without adequate

regulatory capacity, privatization may fail to deliver sustainable fiscal relief.

7. Recommendations for Managing Privatization to Reduce the Budgetary Burden

(i) Sequenced and sector-specific Privatization

Commercially viable SOEs should be prioritized for privatization, while strategic or socially sensitive entities undergo restructuring prior to investment.

(ii) Strengthening Regulatory and Oversight Capacity

Independent regulators are essential to ensure competition, protect consumers, and prevent monopolistic practices after privatization.

(iii)

Governance Reforms for Investment

Professional boards, transparent financial audits, and performance benchmarks should be institutionalized to improve efficiency and asset valuation.

(iv)

Social Protection and Labor Adjustment Measures

Gradual downsizing, retraining programs, and social safety nets are necessary to mitigate adverse employment effects.

(v)

Use of Public-Private Partnership

Public-Private Partnerships can serve as transitional arrangements to reduce fiscal pressure while improving service delivery.

Conclusion

The persistent budgetary burden

imposed by State-Owned Enterprises
has rendered privatization largely
inevitable in Pakistan's current
fiscal context. However, inevitability
does not justify indiscriminate
divestment. When aligned with
governance reforms, regulatory
strengthening and social safeguards,
privatization can contribute to
fiscal stabilization and long-
term economic efficiency.

Question: 3

Introduction

The United States and European nations have used the US dollar and SWIFT payment system as instruments of economic pressure against Russia, demonstrating the vulnerability of countries that rely heavily on Western-controlled financial infrastructure. This situation has sent a strong message to the Global South that overreliance on Western financial mechanisms carries geopolitical and economic risks. Consequently, exploring alternative currencies and transaction systems has become an imperative to safeguard financial sovereignty and maintain trade resilience.

1. Dollar Dominance and Its Strategic Implications

The US dollar serves as the primary global reserve currency, giving the United States a unique capacity to enforce sanctions and exert economic influence beyond its borders. Nations heavily reliant on dollar-based trade and finance face potential exclusion from international transactions if they are targeted by such measures. This exposure underscores the strategic vulnerability of countries in the Global South and reinforces the urgency of exploring alternative arrangements.

2. SWIFT as a Tool of Financial Leverage

The SWIFT system underpins most international cross-border payments. Exclusion from SWIFT

restricts a country's ability to conduct trade efficiently, disrupts financial liquidity, and can impair the functioning of domestic banking systems. The targeting of Russia illustrates how dependence on Western-controlled payment infrastructure creates systematic risk, highlighting the need for complementary or alternative mechanisms.

3. Implications for the Global South

(i) Economic Vulnerability:
Dependence on dollar-denominated trade increases the risk of secondary effects from sanctions.

(ii) Reserve Diversification:
Nations are exploring non-dollar reserves, including gold, yuan, or regional currencies to hedge against financial isolation.

(iii)

Trade Security :

Reliance on Western systems can constrain trade options and reduce flexibility in bilateral and regional agreements.

4.

Development of Alternative Financial and Transaction Systems

(a)

Regional and National Payment Systems

Countries are creating systems to reduce reliance on SWIFT, such as China's CIPS and Russia's SPFS, alongside bilateral arrangements for direct settlement in national currencies.

(b)

Bilateral Trade in National Currencies

Settlements in local currencies allow nations to bypass the dollar for trade with selected partners, decreasing exposure to Western financial controls.

(c) Multilateral Initiatives

Emerging coalitions, including **BRICS** and regional development banks, are exploring alternative settlement mechanisms and common currency arrangements to enhance financial independence.

5. Limitations of Alternative Systems

While alternative payment systems provide options to reduce dependency, they face constraints:

- (i) Limited global acceptance and liquidity compared to the dollar.
- (ii) Higher transaction costs and technical complexity.
- (iii) Need for trust and convertibility in international markets.
- (iv) Gradual implementation is required to ensure stability and adoption.

Conclusion

The recent weaponization of the US dollar and SWIFT has exposed the strategic and economic vulnerabilities of countries reliant on Western financial infrastructure. For the Global South, developing alternative currencies, bilateral settlement arrangements, and regional payment mechanisms represents a pragmatic response to reduce dependency and enhance financial sovereignty. While the transition to alternatives is gradual and limited by structural challenges, these steps are essential to maintain resilience against financial coercion.

Question: 5

Introduction

The Pakistan-Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) defense pact represents a strategic consolidation of military and security cooperation between the two countries. Beyond its security dimension, the arrangement has significant geopolitical and economic implications for Pakistan. This partnership strengthens bilateral relations, enhances regional stability, and opens opportunities for defense collaboration, technology transfer, and broader economic engagement.

1.1. Geopolitical Significance for Pakistan

The defense pact positions Pakistan as a strategic partner of a leading Gulf country, reinforcing its influence in the

region-include: key geopolitical outcomes

(a) Strengthened bilateral security cooperation:

Joint military exercises, training programs, and intelligence sharing enhance Pakistan's defense preparedness.

(b) Regional strategic leverage:

Partnership with KSA elevates Pakistan's standing in Gulf security matters, particularly in the context of regional rivalries and multilateral negotiations.

(c) Deterrence factor:

Enhanced collaboration signals a credible defense posture, potentially discouraging aggression or interference in Pakistan's regional interests.

2.

Economic and Industrial Opportunities

The pact also provides avenues for Pakistan to strengthen its defense and broader economy:

(i) Defense exports and technology transfer:

Pakistan's defense industry may benefit from KSA investment and collaboration in military production and technology.

(ii) Employment and skill development:
Joint programs can create employment in defense sectors and enhance technical expertise.

(iii) Investment in infrastructure and energy sectors:

Strengthened relations often translate into broader economic agreements, including energy, infrastructure, and trade facilitation.

3. Strategic Implications for Regional Stability

a. Coordination in counterterrorism and maritime security:

Joint exercises and intelligence sharing improve regional security architecture.

b. Balancing regional powers

The pact can serve as a stabilizing force in the Gulf and South Asia region by creating predictability in alliances.

c. Multilateral leverage:

Pakistan-KSA cooperation may increase Pakistan's negotiating power in regional forums, including OIC and GCC-related discussions.

4. Risks and Limitations

While pact is strategically and economically beneficial, potential risks should be acknowledged:

a. Overdependence:

Excessive reliance on KSA for security and investment could constraint Pakistan's foreign policy flexibility.

b. Regional perceptions:

Enhanced military cooperation with KSA may be viewed cautiously by neighboring countries, necessitating careful diplomacy.

c. Implementation challenges:

Effective outcomes depend on timely operationalization of joint programs, resource allocation, and bureaucratic efficiency.

Conclusion

The Pakistan-KSA defense pact has the potential to deliver substantial geopolitical leverage and economic benefits for Pakistan. By reinforcing security cooperation, enhancing defense capabilities, and opening avenues for economic and industrial collaboration, the agreement aligns with Pakistan's strategic interests. However, careful implementation and a balanced foreign policy approach are necessary to maximize gains while mitigating risks.

Question : 8

Introduction

The proposed Gaza peace plan aims to address the long-standing Israeli-Palestinian conflict through a set of diplomatic, territorial, and economic measures. Its success depends on acceptance by the principal stakeholders, implementation mechanisms, and international support. For Pakistan, a key supporter of Palestinian rights, the plan's unfolding has potential implications for foreign policy alignment, diplomatic engagement, and domestic perceptions of Pakistan's role in regional peace.

1. Key Provisions and Objectives of the Gaza Peace Plan

a. Territorial and Security Arrangements:

The plan proposes mechanisms

for reducing conflict zones
and ensuring security guarantees
for both parties.

b. Economic Development Incentives

Infrastructure development, investment, and humanitarian assistance are central to the plan's approach.

c. International Oversight and Mediation

The plan relies on involvement from major international actors to facilitate compliance and dispute resolution.

2. Critical Evaluation of the Plan

Strengths :

(i) The plan introduces a framework dialogue between conflicting parties.

(ii) It emphasize economic development

as a peacebuilding tool.

iii) The peace plan secures international attention and potential support for conflict resolution.

Weaknesses and challenges

i. **Stakeholder acceptance:**

Lack of consensus among Palestinian factions may undermine implementation.

ii. **Power asymmetry:**

Disparity between Israeli and Palestinian capabilities can bias outcomes.

iii. **Historical Precedents:**

Previous plans have failed due to entrenched political positions and mistrust.

3. Islamabad's Policy Towards the Gaza Peace Plan

a. Support for Palestinian Rights

Pakistan officially endorses Palestinian self-determination and statehood, reflecting longstanding foreign policy principles.

b. Diplomatic Engagement :

Pakistan may participate in multilateral forums, emphasizing equitable conflict resolution and adherence to international law.

c. Cautious Approach

Pakistan seeks to balance support for Palestinians while maintaining relations with other Middle Eastern actors, including those directly involved in the plan.

4. Possible Fallout on Pakistan

(a) Regional Diplomatic Positioning

Pakistan's stance may influence its credibility among majority countries and its role in OIC initiatives -

(b) Foreign Policy Balance

Strong support for Palestinian rights must be managed alongside bilateral relations with nations involved in mediation or implementation of the plan.

(c) Domestic Perception

Public opinion in Pakistan is highly sensitive to developments in Palestine, potentially affecting domestic political narratives -

(d) Economic and Strategic Opportunities

Engagement with peace initiatives could open channels for humanitarian collaboration, trade, or investment if the plan partially succeeds -

Conclusion

The Gaza peace plan presents a structured attempt to resolve a protracted conflict, but its success is contingent upon stakeholder acceptance, equitable enforcement mechanisms, and international support. Pakistan's policy of supporting Palestinian rights while cautiously engaging with international processes ensures alignment with its long-standing foreign policy principles. The plan's implementation may influence Pakistan's diplomatic positioning, regional credibility, and domestic political discourse, making measured engagement essential.