Farzana Ali
08 - 01 - 2026

Anthropology

Part - II

Question no : 04

Analyze how evolutionism shaped early anthropoligical thought and discuss its major limitations. critically examine the concept of culture as 'text?.

1- Introduction:

Early anthropologist was deeply influenced by the idea of ekolutionsm, which sought to explain the development of human societies over time. Anthropologists attempted to classify societies from ' primitive" to civilized based on tech- nological, social and religious advance- ments. This framework shaped the study of culture, religion, economy

and political organisation. Later, anthropolog-
ists introduced the concept of culture as
a "text" suggesting that cultural practices
should be interpreted like a story to
uncover underlying meanings and social
values.

II- Early Anthropological Evolutionism:

1) Socio-cultural evolution by Henry Morgan:

He proposed a unilineal model of
social evolution, dividing societies in
to three stages:

   Savagery
   Barbarism
   civilization.

He linked technological innovations such as
use of fire, pottery, writing with
social and moral development

2) Cultural circle theory (Diffusimism):

Freedrich Ratzel argued that cultural
traits spread from one society to
another. This theory emphasizes

on borrowing and diffusion rather than independent invention, suggesting that cultures influence each other over time.

3) **Phyletic Gradualism (Biological perspective):**

It suggests that species evolve slowly and continuously over long periods through the gradual accumulation of small genetic changes.
Also applied to culture, it means societies develope steadily.

4) **Punctual equilibrium (Biological perspective):**

Proposes that species remain relatively stable for long periods, with rapid evolutionary change occuring in short burst often due to environmental pressures.
Similarly, in cultural evolution, societies may experience sudden, rapid change, after technological, political or environmental disruption

5) Animism, Manaism, Animatism:

E.B Taylor and James Frazer, studies religion as an evolving phenomenon.

1) Animism: Fear let lke belief in spirits

2) Manaism: supernatural force that exist in people, objects or nature

3) Animatism: Impersonal super natural force.

These stage were thought to reflat the intellectual evolution of humanity.

6) Social organizations:

1) Bands: small egalitarian group

2) Tribes: larger-lain based organization

3) cheifdoms: centralized leadership, with social ranking.

4) States: Formal governance with legal system.

7) Economic exchange:

   1) **Barter:** Direct exchange of goods and services

   2) **Reciprocity:** Mutual exchang of goods

     kula ring case study (Malinovski) Toribriand Island

   3) **Currency:** Paper money - coins

   4) **Digital currency:** Bit-coins

III- Major limitations of Evolutionism:

   1) **Ethnocentriacism:** Non western societies were judged against western standards of civilization

   2) **Over simplification:** Assumed all societies follow same linear path, ignoring cultural diversity

   3) **Neglect of Historical context:** Did not consider specific historical, enviromental

or political factors affecting societies

IV. Culture as a "Text":

Later anthropologists, notably Clifford Greetx, shifted focus from evolution to interpretation. He proposed his theory 'Thick discription' highlighting culture could be read like a text. where rituals, symbols, and practices communicate social meanings

Examples:

1) Balinese Cockfight:
   Symbolic meaning of status, masculinity and commonity? relationship

2) Cargo system:
   Social hierarchy, religious obligation

3) Potlatch:
   not only generosity but prestige and status

4) Kula ring:
   not only exchange of good but creates social alliance

V- Critical Examination of culture as 'Text'

Strengths	Limitations
1) highlights interpretive and symbolic nature of culture	Can overlook material conditions and structural inequalities
2) Avoids ethrocentric approach	Risk of over-interpretation

VI- Conclusion:

Early evolutionism shaped anthropology by emphasizing systematic study of cultural change, social organizations, and religious beliefs. However, its ethnocentric and linear assumptions limited its explanatory power. The later approach of culture veiwired as a text shifted the attention to interpretation, symbols and meanings. providing richer understanding of human societies.

10/20

Question no: 03

Compare Talal Asad's views on religion with Clifford Greet's symbolic approach..... secularism?

1- Introduction:

Anthropologists have long sought to understand religion not just as belief, but as a social and cultural phenomenon. Two influential thinkers in this field are Clifford Geertz and Talal Asad. C.Greetz emphasized religion as a system of symbols that give meaning to human life, Asad critiqued this symbolic approach and examined how religion is deeply intertwined with power, politics and secularism. These perspectives build understandings about how religion shapes and is shaped by social, political and cultural contexts.

II- Talal Asad and Secularism:

Talal Asad's work focuses on the relationship between religion and

secularism. He explains that secularism is not a neutral space where religion and politics are separate; instead, it is historically and politically constructed. In his view, modern secular societies define what counts as "religion" in ways that often privilege certain practices while marginalizing others.

For example:

Some western countries "Praying" in schools may be restricted not because "religion is bad" but because secular laws define religion in a particular and institutionalized way.

III- Key Features of Talal Asad's Approach:

1) Religion is socially and culturally Constructed:
In his view, religion is shaped by laws, institutions and cultural practices not just a personal belief.

2) Link with power:
Religious practices are influenced by

state, discipline and governance.

3) Critique of Western secularism:

Secularism is not simply a separation from religion but a framework that governs which religious behaviours are acceptable in public life.

IV. Reshaping Boundaries between religion and politics:

Asad challenges the strict separation between religion and politics that is often assumed in western thought. He argues that religion and politics are inseperable, because state power often defines and regulates religious practices.

Example:

Islamic law influences family law, banking and holidays in Islamic countries.

Debates on Hijab, prayer and Halal food in secular countries.

V- Clifford Geertz's Symbolic approach:

Clifford Geertz views religion primarily as a system of symbols that gives meaning to human experience. Religion provides a moral framework and explains the purpose of life. Unlikes Asad, Geertz focuses more on cultural meaning then political power.

Example:

1) A christian lighting a candle in church

2) Hindu performing Pyja

These gesters connect them to layer moral orders.

VII- Key Features of Geertz's Approach:

1) Religion is a cultural system of symbols.

2) Symbols give meaning to human experience and motivate actions

3) Focuses on understanding the internal logic of beliefs ratherthan power

VII. Comparative Analysis:

	Aspect	Talal Asad	Clifford Geertz
1)	Focus	Power, law, politics, Secularism	Symbols, meaning, culture
2)	Approach	Political, critical	Interpretive, Symbolic
3)	Religion	Socially regulated and constructed	Provides moral order and meaning
4)	Secularism	Not neutral, shapes religion	Less emphasis
5)	Daily impact	law state, Public space	Rituals, Personal understanding

VIII. Conclusion:

Talal Asad and Clifford Geertz offer complementary perspectives on religion. Geertz emphasizes meanings and symbols, while Asad emphasizes power, secularism and politics. By examining religion through Asad's lens, we can better understand

understand modern debates about religious freedom, secular, law and public life. Everyday practices from wearing religious attire to observing rituals, illustrate that religion is both personal and political, making Asad's insight highly relevant in contemporary society.

Question no : 07

Discuss anthropology's relevance and contribution in understanding globalization and public policy formulation.

1- Introductions

Anthropology, the scientific study of human societies and culture, provides critical insights into the complexities of human life. In an era of globalization, where cultural, economic and political systems are increasingly interconnected, anthropology helps analyze the global forces impact on cultures, economies and social structures. Moreover, it provides

insights that are critical for public policy formation, ensuring policies are inclusive and effective in diverse contexts.

II- Relevance of Anthropology in Understanding Globalization

1) Cultural Relevance:

Anthropology emphasizes understanding cultures in their own terms. through following tools.

i) **Ethnography:** In depth study of daily life

ii) **Ethnology:** Comparative study of culture

iii) **Emic :** Insider's perspective

iv) **Etic :** Outsider's perspective of culture

These tools allow to analyze how globalization affect cultural practices.

2) Economic Relevance:

Anthropologists study the evolution of economic systems such as,

Barter

Reciprocity

Redistribution

Industrialization

Surpluss. which provides insights to global trade and economic policies. affect local people. They also understand economic institutions

Banks and

Trade networks to analyze the impacts of globalization on economies.

3) Societal Relevance:

Globalization transforms social structures from hunting-gathering societies to agricultural, industrial and post industrial societies. Anthropologists study the impact of these transition on

Family structure

Migration patterns

health

urbanization

III- Contribution to public policy making:

1) Gender inclusivity:

Anthropological research highlight gender roles, inequalities, and social norms to create a gender inclusive policy

Example: Microfinancing Programs in Bangladesh

2) Politics:

Understanding local political dynamics, power structures and social hierarcles, allows policy makers to design inclusive governance framework

Example: Pakistan's Federal model or Integretative policies in multi-ethnic regions

3) Social science:

Social science perspective blends knowledge about culture, society and human behaviour.

Example: Resistance to Covid vaccination in South. Asian regions

4) Geographic:

Anthropologists study human environment helping policy makers implement context specific strategies.

Example: Disaster management programs in Rural areas of Balochistan and Sindh.

5) Education:

Anthropology examines cultural attitudes towards education.

Example: Bilingual education programs in Sindh and Balochistan.

6) Environment:

Anthropological research informs sustainable environmental policies.

Example: Water conservation
Reforestation

7) Economic institutions:

Analyses local economic practices.

Example: Hand embroidery industry in rural regions
Agrarian economy. incessantives

and microfinancing

8) Technology:

Assesses societal responses to new technologies to ensure effective adoption.

Example: Tele medicine services for rural areas.

IV. Conclusion:

Anthropology plays a crucial role in understanding globalization and shaping public policy. Its insights ensure that policies are culturally sensetive, economically viable, societal inclusive, and environmetal sustainable. By bridging global processes with local realities, anthropology contributes to effective, equitable and sostainable policies that respect human diversity and societal complexity.

10/20

Question no: 08

Write short notes on followings

(a) Participant observation

1) Definition:
A qualitative research method in which the researches participate in daily activity observing behaviors, interaction and customs.

2) Purpose: To gain Emic perspective understand cultural practice by living in culture rather than just relying on reports.

3) Example:
Malinowski living among Trobriand Island "Kula exchange"

4) Advantage: Provides rich and in-depth data

5) Challanges: Time consuming Researchers bias

(b) Ethnography

1) **Definition:**

A comprehensive written account of a culture or social group, derived from feild work, often combining observation, interviews, and participation.

2) **Purpose:** To document
   To analyze
   To interpret social life includig customs, rituals, economy, politics and religion.

3) **Example:** Detailed Trobriand Islander's trade, kinship and ritual Kin practices by Malinowski.

4) **Characteristics:**
   Focuses on
      holistic understanding
      Descriptive
      Interpretive

   capturing both behaviour and meaning

(c) Key Informants

1) **Definition:**
   A person with in a community who has detailed knowledge about cultural practices, social norms, history, or language.

2) **Role in research:**
   Provides
   i) Guidance
   ii) Explanation
   iii) access
   to local knowledge that may not be apparent through observation alone

3) **Example:**
   A village elder explaining
   i) Lineage
   ii) Ceremonies
   iii) Dispute resolution
   to an anthropologist

4) **Importance:**
   Prevents misunderstanding encourages accurate insight

(d) Genealogical Method

1) **Definition:**
   A systematic technique to record kinship, family ties, and social relationships within a community.

2) **Purpose:**
   To understand
   i) Social organisation
   ii) inheritence
   iii) Marriage rules
   iv) Political alliance
   speially in societies where kinship is central.

3) **Tools:** Family trees   descent
   diagrams   showing   marriage
   charts   lineage

4) **Example:** Using genealogical charts in tribal societies to study clan relationships.

5) **Significance:** helps in analzing
   power
   cooporation
   conflict   within commnly.

	Method	Definition	Purpose
1)	Participant observation	Researcher lives and observes community	Emic perspective
2)	Ethnography	written account of culture from feild work	Document and interpret culture
3)	Key informant	Knowledgeable community member	access to detailed cultural information
4)	Genealogical method	Record family ties and kinship	understand social organisation

GOOD WORK
WELL COMPOSED PAPER