

# Mock Exam for CSS-2026 January 2026 (Final Mock)

## Political Science, Part-1

QNo:2

Critically examine Machiavelli's concept of power, and analyze its relevance and applicability in contemporary statecraft and international politics.

### Introduction:

Niccolo Machiavelli is arguably the first modern political thinker to treat power as an autonomous domain. His theory divorced from traditional theology and ethics. His writing in the 16<sup>th</sup> century during Italy's period of extreme fragmentation and foreign intervention. His works notably **The Prince** and **The Discourses** sought a practical blueprint for national unification. In 2026, his theories remain a cornerstone of political realism. It is offering a cold-eye analysis of how power is actually wielded rather than how it should be.

# Machiavelli's Concept of Power

Machiavelli's conceived power as the practical ability of a ruler to maintain authority, secure the state, and prevent disorder. Unlike classical philosophers who emphasized virtue and justice, Machiavelli prioritized effectiveness and stability. In the Prince, he argued that a ruler must learn "how not to be good" when circumstances demand it, thereby separating politics from conventional morality.

**Power, was not a  
moral right but  
a political necessity.**  
(The Prince)

## 1. Power as an end in itself

Unlike Plato or Aristotle, who viewed power as a means to achieve justice, Machiavelli argued that a ruler's primary responsibility is the acquisition, retention, and expansion of power.

## 2. Deception and Cunning in Politics

Machiavelli emphasized that a successful ruler must combine the qualities of both the lion and the fox, that strength and cunning. He argued that deception is a legitimate tool of power because politics is not governed by honesty but by survival.

Ruler must know how to break promises when it is advantageous, as others will not hesitate to deceive him.

(The Prince)

This pragmatic approach underlines the realist assumption that moral integrity is often a liability in power politics.

use more specific and self explanatory headings.....

## 3. Fear Vs. Love

He asserted it is better to be feared than loved as fear is more reliable for maintaining obedience. However, he cautioned that a

ruler must avoid being hated, as hated inevitably leads to rebellion.

#### 4. The Concept of Virta Vs. Fortuna:

Machiavelli introduced the concepts of virta (the skill, courage, and intelligence of the ruler) and fortuna (luck or circumstances). He argued that while fortune influences events, a capable ruler can control and shape it through virta.

add more arguments in this part.....

### Relevance and Applicability in Contemporary Statecraft

In contemporary era, Machiavelli's insights are deeply embedded in the "Realpolitik" practiced by modern states.

#### Crisis Management and Executive Power:

Modern governments frequently invoke Machiavellian "necessity" to expand authority during crisis such as pandemics, terror threats, or economic collapses. The use of emergency power mirrors Machiavelli's

advice on decisive action during instability.

## Political Domain: Centralization of Authority

In the political domain, Machiavelli's concept of power supports strong centralized authority. He believed that a fragmented state invites foreign intervention and internal conflict. Contemporary examples can be seen in China's centralized political system and Russia's strong executive leadership under Vladimir Putin. The stability and state control are prioritized over liberal democratic norms. These systems reflect Machiavelli's realism in prioritizing order over pluralism.

add and highlight references/examples against these arguments.....

## Information Warfare and Perception Management

Machiavelli's emphasis on the art of appearances is highly relevant in the digital age. Modern states use sophisticated propaganda, digital disinformation, and strategic communication to shape public perception and manufacture legitimacy.

## Strongman Leadership

The rise of contemporary "strongmen" who prioritize personal authority over institutional constraints. It includes such leaders in various flawed democracies reflects the person-oriented power model described in *The Prince*.

## Relevance in International Politics

Machiavelli is a foundational figure for Classical Realism in international relations theory.

### 1 National Interest and Survival

The core tenet that states act primarily in their own self-interest - It often ignoring international law or moral norms if they clash with security, is purely Machiavellian.

### 2 Power Dynamics and Alliances:

Machiavelli's advice on avoiding neutrality and choosing sides in conflicts continues to inform the strategic calculus of mid-level <sup>level</sup>

powers in global rivalries such as U.S.-  
China dynamics)

### 3. Deterrence and Military Might

His belief that the presence of a strong army indicates the existence of a strong law underpins modern concepts of military deterrence and "the balance of power."

### Critical Analysis:

Critics argue that normalized deception and ruthlessness can lead to a "corrosive political environment" that eventually erodes the public trust necessary for long-term stability. Modern democratic systems, with checks and balances, independent media, and judicial review are designed specifically to counteract Machiavellian tendencies by holding leaders accountable to moral and legal standards.

### Conclusion:

Machiavelli remains a "citizen of all states" because he accurately diagnosed

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Day: \_\_\_\_\_

the enduring, often uncomfortable, mechanisms of power. While his methods are frequently criticized as amoral or cynical. They provide an essential framework for understanding the "dirty hands" problem in 2026 politics. His relevance persists not because he advocated for tyranny. He insisted that political success requires an unflinching recognition of human nature and the harsh realities of a world where security is never guaranteed.

## Introduction:

History of philosophy is marked by some of the most remarkable teachers and student relationships such as Aristotle and Plato. These two personalities whose ideas shaped Western intellectual tradition. Aristotle studied in Plato's academy for almost twenty years. However, Aristotle respected Plato but did not agree with many of his basic theories. The saying Aristotle was a great but not a grateful student means that while Aristotle respected Plato, he was not afraid to correct his teacher's ideas. He went on to build his own philosophy, often opposed to Plato's views. Aristotle was greatness on own thinking to build a new system of philosophy based on observation and common sense. However, the Plato's theories based on abstract and ideological.

Aristotle and Plato: A student who changed his teacher's ideas

## ① The Critique of the Theory of Forms:

Plato believed that perfect ideas exist in a separate world. Our world only has poor copies. Aristotle disagreed on his stance. He said the real world is the one where we live.

## ② Concept of Knowledge: Empiricism vs. Rationalism

For Plato, knowledge is recollection of pre-existing ideas. The true knowledge is remembering what our souls knew before birth from the world.

Aristotle stressed on empirical observation. He said that we learn by using our senses such as seeing, touching, hearing. Knowledge comes from experience.

## ③ Political System

Plato's political system was based on the ruled by philosopher-kings where they had no private property for rulers. Whereas, Aristotle is too idealistic. He studied over 150 real city governments. A good state is one where the middle class is strong, and laws are

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
practical - He thought Plato's plan was unrealistic.

#### ④ Difference in Ethical Thought

Plato saw virtue as knowledge and defined the good as the form of the Good. Aristotle rejected a single universal good. He said that good life (eudaimonia) is achieved by building good character habits like courage and honesty.

#### ⑤ Concept of State and Citizenship.

Plato's ideal state was rigidly structured and hierarchical. Aristotle preferred a pluralistic society. He emphasized to recognising the role of middle class and mixed constitution.

“  
Plato's state is a moral organism where the good life is realized through cooperation.”

“  
(Ernest Barker)”

#### ⑥ Epistemology: Plato's Dialectics vs. Aristotle's Logic

Plato relied on dialectical reasoning to reach higher truths. Aristotle constructed formal

logic and creating syllogism. This provided a rigorous, rule-based framework for demonstrative science. Aristotle logic was the first systematic logical method in history.

## ⑦ Rejection of Plato's Communism of Women and People

Plato proposed communal sharing of wives, children, and property is only for guardian class. Aristotle sharply criticised this calling it against natural affection and responsibility.

## ⑧ Psychology: The Soul as the form of the Body

Plato viewed the soul as a pre-existing, immortal, and separable entity temporarily imprisoned in the body. Aristotle's soul was the form of the body which is inseparable from the living organism. Aristotle, in De Anima defined the soul as:

"The first actuality of a natural body that has life potentially"

## Conclusion

In conclusion, Aristotle's philosophical trajectory vividly illustrates the dictum attributed to him: "

Plato is dear to me, but  
truth is dearer still.

"

Aristotle respected Plato as a teacher but disagreed with many of his main ideas. He challenged Plato's view on reality, knowledge, politics, ethics, the soul, and the state. Plato focused on ideal and perfect worlds, whereas Aristotle focused on the world we live in. This disagreement created the two main paths of Western thought <sup>that is</sup> in which idealism and realism. The hope is that students and thinkers' today also develop the courage to question, refine and improve the ideas they inherit just as Aristotle did.

## Section-8

Q:7

Compare and contrast Hegel's idealist conception of the state with Marx's materialist theory, focusing on their views regarding powers, class and role of the state in society?

### Introduction

Hegel and Marx represent a fundamental shift in political philosophy, often described by Marx's famous metaphor of "turning Hegel on his head". While Hegel views the state as the ultimate realization of human reason and freedom, Marx critiques it as an instrument of class domination. Hegel's idealist theory, primarily articulated in "The Philosophy of Rights (1821)" posits the state as a rational and ethical culmination of human history. In contrast, Marx's materialist theory, developed in works like Critique of Hegel's Philosophy of Rights (1843) and

The Communist Manifesto (1848), argues that the state is a superstructure determined by the economic base and serves the interests of the ruling class.

## Domain of Power

### Hegel: Rational Authority

Power in the Hegelian state is the authority of Reason actualizing itself through laws. It is not arbitrary force but a "divine" institution that provides the only framework through which individuals can be truly free.

### Marx: Organized Oppression

Marx redefines political power as the organized power of the class for oppressing another. Power does not stem from an abstract "Spirit" but from the possession of material resources and the control of the means of production.

## Domain of class

### Hegel: The Universal Class

Hegel recognizes social division but proposes a "universal class" of civil servants (the bureaucracy) whose role is to transcend private interests and manage society for the common good. He views classes as functional "estates" that provide stability.

### Marx: Class Antagonism

Marx rejects the ideas of neutral bureaucracy calling it a "spiritualism" that masks the "materialism" of private interest. He viewed classes as inherently antagonistic, with the state getting as a "committee" for managing the affairs of the ruling bourgeoisie.

## 3. Role of the State in Society

### Hegel: Ethical Arbitrator

The state is the synthesis of the family and Civil Society. It acts as a

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

a mediator but a tool for (exploitation). It reinforces existing hierarchies rather than resolving them, that resolves the atomizing conflicts of the market. For Hegel the state is the end-goal of history. According to the Hegel,

Individuals achieve true freedom only by submitting to the rational authority of the state.

### Marx: Instrument of Domination

Marx view the state as a superstructure built upon the economic bases. In Critique of the Gotha Programme, Marx argued that state is not embodiment of universal interest but a tool of class oppression. He envisioned that:

After the proletarian revolution, the state would eventually "wither away" as class divisions disappear. Therefore, while Hegel glorifies the state,

Date:

Marx predicts its eventual abolition.

## Critical Evaluation:

Hegel is criticized for justifying authoritarianism by sanctifying the state - He potentially suppressing individual freedom. Karl Popper labeled Hegel as:

**An Enemy of the open society.**  
(Karl Popper)

Marx, on the other hand, is criticized for economic determinism and understanding underestimating the resilience of political institutions. His prediction of the state's withering away has not materialized.

Yet, both provide powerful lenses:

Hegel explains legitimacy

Marx explains/exposes inequality.

## Conclusion:

In conclusion, Hegel and Marx offer two radically different conceptions of the

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Day: \_\_\_\_\_

state rooted in idealism and materialism respectively. Hegel regarded the state as the highest expression of ethical life. A rational and moral institution that harmonizes social interests. Marx, by contrast, viewed the state as an instrument of class conflict. Together, their theories continue to shape modern political discourse. It offers competing visions of authority, justice, and social transformation.

The state is the actuality  
of the ethical idea.  
(Hegel)

The state is an instrument  
of class rule.  
(Karl Marx)

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Q no: 6  
Critical  
and p  
politic  
on p  
democr

Intr  
In  
no f  
instru  
shap  
prefe  
have  
ther  
su  
Cr  
th

## Section-8

Q no: 6

Critically examine the role of propaganda and pressure groups in contemporary political systems, highlighting their impacts on public opinion, policy-making and democratic governance.

### Introduction:

In modern political systems, power is no longer exercised solely through formal institutions; it increasingly operates through the shaping of ideas, perceptions, and public preferences. Propaganda and pressure groups have emerged as powerful non-state actors that influence political behavior, policy outcomes, and democratic processes. A critical examination of understandings of their role reveals a complex relationship with public opinion and other factors that oscillating between civic engagement and elite manipulation.

## Conceptual Understanding of Propaganda:

Propaganda refers to the systemic dissemination of information, ideas, or rumors.

Harold Lasswell defined propaganda as the

management of collective attitudes by the manipulation of significant symbols.

In contemporary politics, propaganda operates through mass media, social media algorithms, political advertising, and state narratives. It makes it a central tool of modern power.

## Conceptual Understanding of Pressure Groups:

Pressure groups, also known as interest groups or lobbying groups, are organized associations that seek to influence public policy. David Easton argued that pressure groups are an inevitable

outcomes of pluralistic societies. Examples include trade unions, business associations, environmental groups and human rights organizations.

## Role and Impact in Contemporary Systems

### 1. Impact on Public opinion

The rise of computational propaganda utilizing AI, bots and algorithms that created echo chambers. It entrenches biases and intensify pol. polarization.

Pressure groups acts as essential mediators between the state and the public. It galvanizes public support and encourages active political participation.

### Influence on Policy-Making

By using propaganda techniques to shape public opinion, pressure groups create a groundswell of support.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Day: \_\_\_\_\_

## Conclusion:

In conclusion, propaganda and pressure groups are integral components of contemporary political systems. A healthy democracy requires not the absence of influence, but the ~~presence~~ presence of transparency, critical awareness ensure that power serves the public goods rather than private interests.

Those who control  
the narrative control the  
nation.

Noam Chomsky

04)