

Impacts of Modernity on Human Intellect:-

Modernity creates contradiction in human's intellectual life, even seeks different expert opinions for their basic problems. Because an expert in one field does not make a person wise about as a whole. The specialist having expertise in his own discipline and it can be helpful to develop a narrow reality that reveals something true but keeps some secret.

This fragmented knowledge has left human being unable to handle hurdles individually.

Some fields require different specialist like climate change it cannot be tackled by

one person only. Middle ages thinker had a unified view of the world and provide a blueprint of knowledge in all fields.

Today's intellectual world integrated knowledge into different pieces of broken mirror, each piece shows different truth which combinedly cannot ~~provide~~ clear the image of knowledge.

Human had given all opportunities to expert while they require ~~only~~ technical learning and experiences of life. It does not mean to reject expert but to integrate knowledge in human behaviours to make human active and rational, ~~which~~ ^{it} can be with the cooperation of different institutions. If all human shall be go on civilization on experts, it would be necessary have top to down knowledge and provide clear image of truth.

Qno: 3

Qno: The author identifies fundamental error ~~in~~ misconception in the popular imagination regarding the relationship between tradition and progress. per author, ~~the~~ misconception has poisoned our discourse and impoverished our civilization because these two forces as lacked ~~in~~ eternal appeal with traditions representing the dead hand of the past seeking to stifle innovation, and progress representing the dynamic energy of future breaking free from obsolete constraints. So, this dichotomy ~~it~~ has led us into a dangerous intellectual trap.

QNO: 2 The author use historical example in this parce is, those who suggest that our ancestors might have understood certain things better than we do, or that some old practices contained wisdom we have thoughtlessly discarded, are dismissed as romantically yearning for a past never existed. But this is a dismissal itself reveals a profound historical ignorance.

QNO3 The writer mean by describing tradition as a living conversation across generations that genuine progress requires roots. A tree does not grow taller by cutting ~~off~~ itself off from its roots.

The writer mean by describing tradition as a 'living conversation across generations' rather than 'a static repository of dead customs'. This dialogue interrogates present from ~~past~~ ~~present~~ and the past challenges the present. This conversation emancipated from history, we do not become free, we become restless, unhelpered, vulnerable to every passing fad and ideological wind.

QNO4 The paradox exists in the modern search for meaning of spiritualities, therapeutic techniques and political movements, never suspecting that they seek might be found in traditions they have been ~~also~~ taught to despise.

QNO5 The author argues that distinguishing between valuable traditions and harmful ones requires real intelligence and judgments. Yes, it can be possible if we came out from irrational traditions. The world we are living is modern. Every thing require authentic judgments and rational thinking.