

04/01/23

①

Current Affairs (Mock January)

QNO5

Pak-KSA defence pact would
have ~~outstandingly~~ ~~offering~~ for reaching
geo-political achievement for both the
countries ?

Introduction:

Pakistan and KSA
defence pact is impressed by the
North Atlantic Treaty Organization
article no 6. According to this article
attack on one country would be
recognized attack on all countries
of NATO. Therefore, Pakistan and Saudi
Arabia made a plan for mutual
defence against foreign attack.
Pakistan and Saudi-Arabia had already
in informal defence of each other
that Pakistan protected Harmain Sharfain
Pak in 1969 and countered terrorists
in 1983 in Saudi-Arabia.

Therefore, both countries diversified defence
frame work from informal to formal.

Pakistan and Saudi-Arabia are ideologically
similar to each other as a muslim. It
is a great geopolitical achievement
for the both countries that both countries
are connected with each other. Further, it
is a great geopolitical achievement the
military, joined and it is the aim of
foreign Policy of Pakistan to establish
good relationship with all muslim world.
and KSA already want to develop
friendly, military and economically relation-
ship to Pakistan. On the other
hand, this agreement provides a
great economic opportunity to Pakistan.
Pakistan can get free visas, cheap
and tariff free oils and bailout Packages
from Saudi-Arabia. Thus, this
agreement is a great geopolitical
achievement for the both countries
and muslim world-together with it
would fruitful for Pakistan in
economic domain.

NATO Impressed agreement

Pakistan - Saudi Arabia defense Pact is NATO impressed agreement which is implemented in world.

Both the countries copied the NATO article 6 for collective defence, that "attack on one state would be treat attack on all NATO members".

Historically defence event between the both countries.

An late of twenty Century, both countries already helped with each other. Pakistan help KSA in 1969 for the protection of Harmain Sharifain by the request of KSA King.

Secondly, Pakistan countered terrorists in 1983 in KSA by the request of KSA Government.

In the result, Pakistan get

Several bailouts and other economic supports from Saudi-Arabia.

A great geo Political achievement for both states

This act is great geo-Political achievement specially for ~~the~~ both countries and generally for all muslim states. This agreement is a bond between ~~two~~ muslim countries and ideologically both countries are similar. Furthermore, Pakistan and Saudi-Arabia ^{was} wanted to develop friendly relationships with all muslim states. Therefore, it is a not only mutual defense agreement between the both countries but it is ^{an} ideological agreement between two countries.

Pakistan foreign Policy.

It is the objective of Pakistan to develop friendly relationships

with all muslim countries. So, it is great diplomatic and political achievement for Pakistan.

Ideological achievement for Pakistan.

Pakistan is a sectarian state, but Sunni people are in majority; who want that Pakistan should establish friendly relation ship with Saudi Arabia. Thus, it is not only strategic achievement for the two countries but also ideological and diplomatic achievement for Pakistan.

KSA Political achievement

Kingdom Saudi Arabia already want to develop friendly relation ships with Pakistan because Pakistan is only nuclear muslim state in the world. Pakistan and Saudi Arabia already supported

each other in difficult time.

Pakistan provided military supports to Saudi Arabia and in return Saudi-Arabia provided economic support to Pakistan.

Threat from Israel and Iran to KSA

KSA feels threat from Iran and Israel because both countries has already bad relationship with KSA.

USA will provide support to Saudi-Arabia against Iran but not against Israel because Israel and USA are strategic partner to each other.

Israel ~~has~~ already attacked 7 of six muslim states in 2005. So, Saudi Arabi government feel threat and decided to develop a defence agreement with Pakistan.

In the nutshell it is a great geo political achievement

for Saudi Arabia.

Lesson from Qatar attack

KSA learned lesson from Qatar that now Middle East security is in threat. So, Saudi Arabia decided for mutual agreement for the sake of self defence against Iran and Israel.

Economic opportunities for Pakistan.

(i) Bailouts.

Pakistan had already get three bailout package from KSA after 2019. Pakistan is facing economic crises. So, it is a return chance for Pakistan for economic benefits. Thus, this agreement will be fruitful for Pakistan economic support.

A chance to

(ii) Pulled out restrictions on Pakistani citizens visas.

KSA. had ^{already} banned entry of Pakistan employees due to their beggar act in Saudi-Arabia. So, it would be fruitful for revival of Pakistan entry in Saudi-Arabia.

Free oil or Cheap oil agreement.

Pakistan get cheap oil from KSA from 1998 to 2011. Now, Pakistan can get free oil from KSA by using this mutual defence agreement.

Relief on Hajj for Pakistani People.

It is a chance for Pakistan that Pakistan can get relief on Hajj packages. Thus, this agreement would be proved beneficial for Pakistan People.

Conclusion:

This mutual defence agreement

is a great Political achievement for both countries because both states already want to develop good relationships with each other for protection and economic growth. Furthermore, this agreement would be proved fruitful for Pakistan that Pakistan can get bailout package, free and tariff free oils and free visas together with relief on hard packages. Thus, this agreement is a great achievement for the Middle East countries as well as Pakistan.

Q NO 2 = Privatization is inevitable as state owned enterprises have been ?

Introduction

According to the theory of New Public Services in Public Administration, Privatization is an important part of administration.

New Public Service theory which is mostly implemented in some countries which prefers Privatization of State own enterprises because some State own enterprises are the source of economic stagnation. Therefore, Privatization of State own enterprises is necessary which loss economy. Same is the case in Pakistan which is economically worst country and its 90% State own enterprises are in loss. So, Pakistan must applied effective services and management techniques for efficiency and effectiveness of State own enterprises together with if Pakistan can't improve State own enterprises then Pakistan must Privatized it timely.

State own^{ed} enterprises as a burden on economy.

State own enterprises provide services to ordinary men which is its good action but on the

Other hand, State own enterprises sometime is the source of economic stagnation because state own enterprises do not work efficiently and effectively. Therefore, a great budget spends on state own enterprises working.

Thus, state owned enterprises, if work properly with efficiency, it will be fruitful for economic growth of ^{the} state.

State owned enterprises in Pakistan.

Pakistan has several state owned enterprises. Pakistan Steel mill, some banks, some industries and Pakistan railway. Pakistan yearly spending a lot of budget on all owned enterprises because state owned enterprises not providing sufficient amount to government.

Pakistan which is already facing numerous economic challenges and the burden

Bhutto Nationalization Policy and its impacts

Nationalization Policy of Bhutto provided one side employment opportunity to Pakistan people but on the other hand this Policy would proved a loss of economy for Pakistan. Zulfikar-Ali-Bhutto made three Phase of nationalization Policy, in which he taken the control of industries, steel mills, financial banks and factories. this Policy economically impacted on Pakistan growth. Consequently, Pakistan Politician decided to Privatized these institutions that are providing less amount of government and receiving more amount in budget.

Privatization of Pakistan Air Line.

Pakistan air line already in

economically loss because a great amount of budget was spending on movement of Pakistan International Air Line. Therefore, Pakistan bureaucrats and politicians decided to privatized it. So, Pakistan federal govt privatized it in previous year with amount of 134 billion rupees. Pakistan spendes several billion rupees of Pakistan air line working.

Privatization is inevitable for State owned enterprises.

Privatization is an important step if the state owned enterprises were going towards more and more economic loss. Privatization method is not only administration method of Pakistan and India, but also several Western and African countries adopting it for the welfare of state. It is not necessary that state owned enterprises can't work efficiently without govt administration but with private administration, sold state owned enterprises

can work effectively.

Case Study of America

Woodrow Wilson who was the 28th President of America supported Privatization method in Public-administration. Private administration can enhance the Productivity of an organization.

Privatization of State owned enterprises can reduce the burden on economy if they are not working efficiently. On the other hand New Public Management method encourages Privatization of state owned enterprises and argues that government should provide ^{emp. equity} opportunities to citizens rather than employment. In the nutshell, Privatization is an other important function of government ~~if~~ State owned enterprises in loss.

Recommendation

Pakistan government must focus

on education sector and intellectual productivity because research and development can reduce the economic stagnation.

Experienced technocrats with specific task **should** make administrations of state controlled enterprises.

If state owned enterprises are not generating sufficient amounts then it ~~must~~ be privatized.

Govt can take the step of Public-Private Partnership, if govt feel that it is fruitful than privatization and state control.

Human Relation management technique must ^{be} used in human resource management that productivity can increase.

Conclusion:

State owned enterprises must be privatized, if they are not generating

Sufficient amount. Furthermore, Govt must review Bhutto nationalization Policy and encourage Public-Private Partnership if it is more beneficial than Privatization. In the nutshell, Govt should provide employment opportunities to citizens rather than employment. So, Govt should take step after cost and benefit analysis and ~~prefer~~ country interest rather than economic stagnation.

10) Critically Evaluate Gaza Peace plan and the chance of its success?

Introduction:

United Nation devise a Peace Plan. After negotiations with muslim's leaders and israel Prime minister.

Israel has accepted Peace plan but rejected the Peace for of 1948 and Turkey and claimed 15 Percent of Gaza land. On the other hand, Hamas totally accepted the Peace Plan but rejected technocratic government in Palestine. After ceasefire, 700 violation cases has identified. Both countries

wanted to amend this agreement and gain objectives which are behind Israel-Palestine Conflict. Now, Partially ceasefire is implemented in Palestine but in future this ceasefire could be removed because Hamas does not deweaponized in Palestine. In future, war could start between the both states and Pakistan government is supporting ~~the~~ Palestine ^{People with} on Permanent Settlement in Gaza. Islamabad has tried to amend the Gaza Peace Plan and devised that Hamas influences in Gaza should not be eliminated and Israel should be reversed to their back places. Pakistan as a muslim brotherly country ~~devised~~ ^{has} the United Nations for Palestine support in infrastructure development, Permanent Settlement, Palestine representative government and Hamas disarmament.

GAZA Peace Plan

It is a peace plan which is agreed in United Nations general assembly, in which the genocide attack in

stopped
Palestine was ~~affected~~ and develop
a proposal for ceasefire and given
the responsibility of both countries to
release the hostages and prisoners.
CASS Peace Plan give favor the ~~assad~~
because task forces could not take action
against ~~assad~~ but Palestine.

Am Rets of Cassa Peace Plan

(i) immediately ceasefire.

Cassa Peace Plan provided a support
to Palestinians opposed Peoples because
they were facing genocide attacks
from ~~assad~~. So, this plane
give temporary support to Palestinians
Peoples.

(ii) Task force rejection and reason.

United Nation devised a international
force which can take action of violation
of Cassa conflict but ~~assad~~ rejected
task force of Iran and Turkey and
Hamas rejected it because this
task force ~~can't~~ not take any
action again ~~assad~~ violation.
and gene code.

(iii) technocrate govt impact & future

United Nation technocrate govt Plan would be merged in 2002 because technocrate govt ~~would~~ favoring Israel & United States & America. So, this technocrate govt Plan is unsuitable for Palestine's community.

(iv) Disarmament of HAMAS and future.

United Nation drafted in plan that Hamas would be permanently deweaponized in Gaza, but Hamas rejected it, Hamas claimed that ~~Gaza~~ is the Holy Land of only Muslims and Palestine People. So, disarmament of Hamas is a myth.

(v) Land retaining and vacating issue and future.

Firstly, Israel ~~stanced~~ that 45 Percent land would only vacated.

Secondly, Israel desired to 55 Percent land vacated and lastly stanced that only 15 Percent land of Gaza would be retained but Hamas

rejected it. Hamas stanced that no land would be vacated to israelis people. Thus both countries are not agreed on this plan but in totality they accepted it

Development Agenda in Gaza Peace plane.

Gaza Peace plan does not provide any framework for ^{immediately} development of Gaza. Temporary shelter would not enough for Palestines people more than few month. Climate issue would hamper the health of Palestines people. Thus, gradually development strategy for Palestine is the loss of Palestines Peoples.

Aslams bad Policy regarding Gaza Peace plane

All muslim countries and non-muslim countries supported Palestines people

against genocide attack in ~~1948~~ 1948.
Aslamabad Play important role in 1949
peace plan but this plan could not
made by the full choice of Palestine.
Pakistan devised Permanent Settlement
of Palestinian People in Palestine and
argued that representative government
of Palestinian People would govern
Palestine. Pakistan Policy regarding
Palestine is that economic and food
support would be served to Palestine.
Furthermore, diplomatic support in all
international and regional platform would
be done in favor of Palestine
but military support would not be
provided to Palestine for the
sake of national interest.

Conclusion:

All muslim countries and
non-muslim countries helped Palestine
at global level. United Nation devised
a peace plan which is temporary
promised for both nations but in
future it would be not stayed

more because Palestine rejected its
Some Points which are in favor of
Israel. Further more, Pakistan Policy
towards Palestine is economic and diplomatic
friendly but in military support, Pakistan
would not take any step in favor
of Palestine against Israel.

On the other hand, Pakistan played an
important role in ~~1947~~ 1947 Peace Plan
as muslim state but military Pakistan
would not take any step of war
with Israel.

Q No 7

US used chip as weapon and China
used Rare earth metals - critically
evaluate the future trade
war b/w ?

Introduction:

United State of America used
a chip in trade and focused on
chip industry improvement. On the
other hand, China used chip as well
as rare earth metal for export.

Chip is used in all modern technological equipments. Therefore, United State of America and China improved chip industry additionally China used rare earth metal in competition of America. Now, economically China has got strong position in the world and earned more than five trillion dollar in export of equipment materials. Therefore, the future trade war is ^{the} a chip war and both the states are trying to win economically above each other. Thus, future war lies in a economic zone and chip and rare earth metal are using as exports.

United State chip (semi-conductor) export Policy.

United State of America made a Policy to improve ^{their} semi-conductor productions with good quality and with less expenditure.

United State focused on chip sector because chip is most useful

material in modern instruments.
Chip is using in mobile phones, TV,
radios, computers and other artificial
intelligence related equipment.

Hence, United State of America
invested in semi-conductor sector
that export could be increased.

On the other hand, United State of
America focused on tariff to
China.

United State tariff on China Product.

United State of America implemented
hundred per cent tariff and other non
tariff barriers to China import.

This act of America is due to protection
of local market.

Protectionism:

America implemented
highly tariff on China products.

that China rare earth metal and chips can devalue. This act of America is a prove of protection of local industry.

United State of America tariff total rate.

America imposed 400\$ billion on China Product in America. However, China Product value decreased in America and China export rate decreased 200\$ billion in the Past year.

Positive list:

America and China ~~heat~~ shared a list in which the name of products are mentioned. Positive list products have good value in foreign country and it allowed to export.

Negative list

This is the list of those products

in which prohibited products and
negated products are mentioned.
Therefore, these products have
less value in foreign trade.

China Step against United State of America Policy of tariff and other non tariff barriers.

China imposed taxes on United State Products.

China retaliated and imposed
heavy ~~tariff~~ on American import
in China.

According to the rules of World Trade Organization
"No country can retreat foreign products
to foreign like and ^{it} shall binding that
to retreat foreign products as a
national products".

On the other hand, World Trade
Organization provides a surgical right
to every country that it can
imposed tariff on all foreign

Products. Therefore, ^{all} countries are moving in trade war to each other but China and America are now largest trade enemy to each other.

Reason behind tariff and non-tariff barriers on America from China.

American country imposed tariff that they want to protect the local productive industry. Therefore, China imposed tariff for self defence.

Modern technology and Future trade between China & America.

Chip is used in all artificial intelligence hardware material. Further, world is moving toward advancement, and human activities are increasing day by day. Therefore, new products are producing for human welfare and safety. It is necessary for economic superior country to

transform their product according to needs of society. However, China and America are trying to winning the economic game in the world.

Determines of future trade game.

Tariff on imports ~~decreases~~^{increases} import ~~rate~~^{rate} in product sale and ~~purchase~~^{purchase}.
The tariff imposition ~~on~~^{on} import is a source of increase the rate of products.

Product according to needs

The country would perform better in ^{her} economic growth that ^{would} focus on the needs of people. Modern equipments are the need of contemporary time. So, modern product exportation is fruitful for economic growth but product must be in good quality and ⁱⁿ less rate

Conclusion:

Chip (semiconductor) which is a most useful material in the world due to its uses in modern materials. It is a source of economic tussle between China and America. Both countries invested in **chip** sector and ^{they are} trying to more improve it. As the result, both state imposed ~~tax~~ tariff on each other. Therefore, both countries are trying to improve export sectors. However, future trade depend on tariff and quality of product together with exports the needs of people. Thus, major countries are moving towards strong economy rather than direct military war, and, tariff and other non tariff barriers are ~~is~~ restricting the way of trade.