

Name: Maryam Kainaat

Q.1

Introduction

Sir Syed Ahmad Khan emerged as the most influential Muslim reformer of the 19th century whose educational, social, and political initiatives transformed the intellectual landscape of Indian Muslims. He promoted modern education, defended Islam against Orientalist critiques, and advocated for Muslim political rights.

By emphasizing Hindu-Muslim differences and the preservation of Urdu identity, his reforms set the ideological groundwork for the later demand for a separate Muslim homeland. Thus, Sir Syed's role was central both to the socio-political regeneration of Muslims and to the evolution of the Two-Nation consciousness that ultimately shaped the Pakistan Movement.

1- Social and Intellectual Awakening of Indian Muslims

1- Promotion of Modern Education

After the war of 1857, Indian Muslims faced intellectual stagnation. Sir Syed

believed that only education could rescue the community. His famous slogan encapsulated this vision:

"Educate, Educate, Educate"

Major initiatives included:

Gulshan School, Muradabad

Scientific Society, Aligarh

MAO School and later M.A.O. College

Muhammadan Education Conference

These institutions created the first generation of modern, English-educated Muslims, prepared to compete with Hindus in government and modern professions.

2 - Reform of Muslim Thought and Attitudes

Sir Syed aimed to harmonize Islam with scientific rationalism. His works such as

Ta'biyyan-ul-Kalam and Khutbat-e-

Ahmediya defended Islam against Western

criticism. Through Tahzeeb-ul-Akhlaq, he

challenged superstition and encouraged a

reformist, progressive social mindset.

This intellectual reawakening helped Muslims overcome their defeatist psychology after 1857.

11- Political Awakening and Protection of Muslim Rights

1- Early Political Vision After 1857

Sir Syed understood that Muslims could not survive in a purely majoritarian political system dominated by Hindus. He warned that the British electoral system was unsuitable for India. ~~and~~ He advocated separate political identity and urged Muslims to avoid Congress initially, fearing it would become a Hindu-dominated body.

2- Two-Nation Consciousness

Sir Syed was the first Muslim thinker to articulate what later became the Two-Nation Theory. He asserted that:

"Hindus and Muslims are two irreconcilable nations."

His reasoning included differences in language (notably the Hindi-Urdu controversy), religious traditions, social customs, and historical memories.

His arguments later influenced Allama Iqbal and Qasid-e-Azam's political thought.

3- Institutional Political Mobilization

Through the Muhammadan Educational Conference (1886), Sir Syed provided a platform for Muslim elites to collectively discuss their political future, which later nurtured

leaders of the Pakistan Movement like Nawab Mohsin-ul-Mulk, Maulana Muhammad Ali Johar, Liaquat Ali Khan, and Khwaja Nazimuddin. Consequently, Aligarh emerged as the intellectual capital of Muslim politics.

III- Influence on the Demand for a Separate Homeland

1- Intellectual Foundations of Pakistan Movement

Sir Syed's contributions significantly influenced the development of Muslim nationalism, particularly through his advocacy for education, which fostered modern Muslim leadership. He emphasized Urdu as a key element of Muslim identity and issued political warnings that underscored the need for safeguards. His formulation of the Two-Nation idea became an ideological foundation for the notion of Separation.

His defense of Muslim interests set the tone for Iqbal's 1930 Allahabad Address and for Jinnah's later demand for Pakistan.

2- Development of Muslim Middle Class

The Aligarh Movement fostered a class of Western-Educated Muslim bureaucrats,

professionals, and politicians who significantly contributed to the All India Muslim League, the Khilafat Movement, and the Pakistan Movement.

This class became the backbone of Muslim political consciousness.

3- Urdu and Cultural Identity

Sir Syed's fight for Urdu during the Hindu-Urdu controversy highlighted Hindus' attempts to impose Hindi. This crystallized Muslims' perception that they needed to preserve their distinct cultural identity, a ~~the~~ theme central to the Pakistan Movement.

4- Strengthening Community Solidarity

His institutions brought Muslims from across India to Aligarh, encouraging unity, self-awareness, and nationalism.

Evaluation

Sir Syed Ahmad Khan's contribution was foundational rather than directly political. He awakened a sleeping nation, restored its confidence, and prepared it intellectually for modern challenges. Without his reforms, the Muslim elite would not have emerged and the ideological basis of Muslim separatism might not have crystallized. His critics

argue that he discouraged early Muslim participation in politics, but his caution preserved the community during a vulnerable period. Overall, his role was transformative and indispensable.

Conclusion

Sir Syed Ahmad Khan played a decisive role in the socio-political awakening of Indian Muslims through education, social reform, reinterpretation of Islam thought, and political foresight. His efforts revived Muslim confidence after 1857, produced a modern intelligentsia, and laid the intellectual and institutional foundations for Muslim nationalism. By emphasizing Hindu-Muslim differences and warning against majoritarian politics, he became the earliest architect of the consciousness that ultimately culminated in the demand for Pakistan. His legacy endures as the intellectual father of modern Muslim identity in South Asia.



Introduction

The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), a flagship project under China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), was launched in **2013** with the aim of linking western China to the Arabian Sea through Pakistan. Envisioned as a **\$62 billion** mega-infrastructure and energy project, CPEC promised to accelerate Pakistan's industrialization, resolve chronic energy shortages, and strengthen regional connectivity. While proponents tout it as a transformative opportunity capable of propelling Pakistan towards an export-led growth trajectory, critics argue it has exacerbated fiscal vulnerabilities and may represent a cycle of dependency, sometimes framed as "**debt trap diplomacy**." Evaluating its socio-economic impact requires examining both its potential benefits and the costs arising from financial, political, and security challenges.

1- Socio-Economic Implications of CPEC

1- Positive Impacts

a- Infrastructure Development

Major investments in roads, railways, and

Gwadar Port are aimed at enhancing connectivity within Pakistan and with China. The upgrading of these transport corridors not only reduces logistical costs but also strengthens regional trade potential, facilitating smoother movement of goods and boosting economic integration.

b- Energy Sector Expansion

CPEC has funded 21 power projects, significantly increasing Pakistan's domestic generation capacity to 42,131 MW from pre-2015 levels. This expansion has helped mitigate chronic load-shedding and address energy shortages in the industrial sector, providing immediate benefits for business continuity and enhancing productivity, particularly in energy-intensive industries.

c- Industrialization and SEZs

The second phase of CPEC emphasizes the development of 33 Special Economic Zones (SEZs), aimed at attracting both foreign and domestic investment. If effectively implemented, these SEZs have the potential to generate employment, boost exports, and foster human capital development, contributing to Pakistan's long-term economic growth.

d- Employment and Skills Transfer

CPEC's infrastructure and industrial projects create direct employment opportunities. They also generate indirect jobs in related sectors. Additionally technical knowledge and expertise from Chinese firms are transferred to Pakistan. This contributes to the development of human capital.

2- Socio-Economic Challenges

a- Fiscal and Debt Burden

CPEC's financing depends largely on commercial loans from China rather than foreign direct investment. China holds only **20%** of Pakistan's external debt.

Nevertheless, debt servicing obligations place a heavy strain on the national budget. High-cost energy projects and guaranteed returns of **27-34%** for Chinese IPPs

result in capacity payments of **PKR 5 billion per month**. This contributes to a circular debt of **\$ 15 billion**.

Moreover, coal-based power plants rely on imported fuel. This worsens the balance-of-payments deficit and increases electricity costs.

b- Regional and Ethnic Disparities

Provincial grievances have emerged due to unequal project allocations. **Khyber Pakhtunkhwa** received only 2% of CPEC funds, while Balochistan's western route development was largely neglected. This has created the perception of CPEC as a "China-Punjab Economic Corridor." Such perceptions fuel ethnic tensions and hinder the equitable distribution of socio-economic benefits.

c- Security Concerns

Attacks by the **Baloch Liberation Army (BLA)** and **Tehreek-e-Taliban Pakistan (TTP)** have caused Chinese casualties and disrupted CPEC projects. These security incidents have increased project risk premiums and complicated timely completion.

d- Gwadar Port Underperformance

Gwadar Port's technical limitations, with only three berths compared to **Karachi's 33**, and a revenue-sharing arrangement heavily skewed towards China (**90%**), limit local economic benefits. This has fostered resentment among the local populations.

II- Debt Trap Diplomacy vs. Mismanagement

Western critics often frame CPEC as an example of "debt trap diplomacy", citing cases like Sri Lanka's Hambantota Port. However, a closer analysis suggests that Pakistan's own mismanagement has been a major factor in the challenges faced by the project. Delays in project implementation, poorly negotiated contracts with Chinese Independent Power Producers (IPPs), and political stability have slowed the development of Special Economic Zones (SEZs) and infrastructure.

Had Phases 1 and 2 completed on time, Pakistan could have leveraged increased energy capacity and industrialization to meet its debt obligations. This indicates that the debt trap narrative is exaggerated. Overall, CPEC's difficulties stem more from domestic governance failures, policy inconsistency, and financial mismanagement than from Chinese exploitation.

III- Transformative Opportunity or Cycle of Dependency

a) Potential for Transformation

Infrastructure development and the establishment of **Special Economic Zones (SEZs)** can serve as catalysts for industrialization, boost exports, and generate employment opportunities. Improved connectivity with China and regional markets further enables Pakistan to integrate into global value chains, enhancing trade potential and economic competitiveness.

b) Risk of Dependency

Over-reliance on foreign loans, high-cost projects, and dependence on imported energy can deepen fiscal vulnerabilities. Without reforms in governance, security, and debt management, Pakistan risks remaining in a prolonged cycle of economic dependency on Chinese financing.

CPEC embodies both opportunity and risk. Its transformative potential is real but contingent upon domestic policy reforms, equitable regional development, financial prudence, and robust security measures. Mismanagement, rather than intentional debt-trap diplomacy, has hindered the full realization of its socio-economic benefits.

Conclusion

~~After analysis, both opportunity~~

CPEE has brought tangible socio-economic gains, including improved energy capacity, infrastructure development, and prospects for industrialization. However, delayed projects, financial mismanagement, provincial inequities, and security challenges have limited its transformative potential. Pakistan's experience demonstrates that mega-projects of this scale can either serve as engines of growth or perpetuate cycles of dependency depending on governance, fiscal prudence, and strategic implementation. Moving forward, the corridor can still be a catalyst for Pakistan's economic resurgence if domestic reforms are prioritized alongside continued cooperation with China.



1- Introduction

Pakistan-US relations since 9/11 exhibit a complicated balance of strategic collaboration and mistrust. Initially, Pakistan served as a key ally in the US-led "War on Terror", receiving substantial economic and military aid in exchange for logistical and intelligence support. Nonetheless, tensions arose from differing interests in Afghanistan, issues surrounding US drone strikes, and significant events such as the Abbotabad raid.

Over time, the relationship has fluctuated between cooperation and tension, influenced by strategic needs and regional factors, leading to a more transactional engagement defined by both necessity and conflicting agendas.

2- Post-9/11 Strategic Convergence: Why Pakistan Became a "Major Non-NATO Ally"

After 9/11, Pakistan's geographical proximity to Afghanistan made it a key partner for the U.S. Islamabad agreed to provide logistic support, intelligence sharing, and access to airbases, gaining status as a "Major Non-NATO Ally." This alignment initially strengthened bilateral ties, demonstrating convergence of interests

against terrorism.

3- Phases of Close Cooperation (2001-2011): Counterterrorism, Aid, and Military Alignment

During this phase, Pakistan received billions in US aid under the Coalition Support Fund (CSF) and military assistance for counterterrorism operations. Joint operations targeted Al-Qaeda and the Taliban, and Pakistan's role in Afghanistan was considered indispensable by Washington. Cooperation was pragmatic: Pakistan aimed to secure aid and legitimacy, while the US sought operational leverage.

4- Sources of Mistrust: Divergent Interests in Afghanistan and the Sovereignty Question

Despite cooperation, mistrust emerged from divergent strategic interests. The US criticized Pakistan for allegedly supporting the Taliban, while Pakistan resented US drone strikes that violated its sovereignty. Afghanistan became a primary source of tension, with Washington emphasizing regime stability and Islamabad prioritizing strategic depth.

5- Flashpoints of Breakdown

Key incidents that severely strained US-Pakistan relations include, the **Raymond Davis Affair** in 2011, which involved a CIA contractor's killing of civilians; the **Abbottabad Operation**, where **Osama Bin Laden** was killed without Pakistan's consent, increasing mistrust; the **Salala incident**, where a **NATO** airstrike killed Pakistani soldiers, leading to a temporary closure of supply lines; and **drone strikes** that violated Pakistan's sovereignty, ~~inciting~~ inciting public resentment.

6- Fluctuation Explained

- The relationship fluctuated due to:
- Structural factors:** asymmetry of power and dependence on US aid.
 - Strategic factors:** competing objectives in Afghanistan and regional influence.
 - Perception-level factors:** mutual suspicion, domestic politics, and public opinion.

These factors ensured a cycle of cooperation followed by periods of mistrust.

7- Post-2014 Shift: US Drawdown, India Tilt, and the Rise of China-Pakistan Partnership

After NATO's Afghanistan withdrawal began, US attention shifted towards India and countering China, reducing Pakistan's strategic leverage. Pakistan strengthened ties with China and emphasized self-reliance in security matters. The US-Pakistan relationship became issue-based rather than fully strategic.

8- Post-2021 Realignment: Afghanistan Withdrawal and Issue-Based Cooperation

The US withdrawal from Afghanistan in 2021 marked a new phase: while Pakistan played a key role in facilitating regional stability and evacuations, cooperation was transactional and selective. Both countries engaged in counter terrorism, border management and limited diplomatic coordination, maintaining pragmatic engagement despite continued mistrust.

a- Critical Evaluation

Critically, Pakistan-US relations are characterized as "strategic ambiguity" or "managed mistrust". Cooperation occurs when interests converge, especially in security and counter-terrorism. However,

historical grievances, policy contradictions, and domestic pressures prevent genuine trust. This pattern suggests that while mutual dependence persists, the relationship lacks deep institutionalization or strategic alignment.

10 - Conclusion:

Pakistan-US relations in the post-9/11 era have been marked by episodic cooperation and chronic mistrust. While Pakistan assisted the US militarily and logistically, sovereignty violations, conflicting Afghan interests, and the India-US strategic partnership produced repeated diplomatic crises. As Pakistan moved closer to China through CPEC and strategic alignment, the US shifted to a transactional approach. Thus, the relationship has fluctuated between temporary convergence and long-term divergence, and today stands as a pragmatic but cautious engagement shaped by changing regional and global power politics.



Introduction

Religious extremism in Pakistan is a multidimensional phenomenon shaped by historical, political, and socio-economic factors. Since its creation in 1947, Pakistan has used Islam as a unifying identity in a state fragmented along ethnic, linguistic, and cultural lines. However, politicization of religion, state patronage of Islamist groups, and regional conflicts have collectively fostered an environment conducive to extremism.

Both internal policy choices and external geopolitical pressures have contributed to the rise of religious militancy, though their relative significance varies across time.

1- Historical Roots of Religious Extremism in Pakistan

Pakistan's foundation was rooted in religious identity, establishing a state where Islam is central. Early political compromises, including the **Objective Resolution (1949)**, institutionalized Islam in governance, restricting secular ambitions. Religious parties like **Jamaat-e-Islami** shaped policies, paving the way for future Islamization. Sectarian tensions arose early, exemplified by **anti-Ahmadi campaigns** in the

1950s, leading to the 1974 constitutional amendment that declared Ahmadis non-Muslims.

These measures established the precedent of using religion as a political and legal instrument, sowing seeds for radicalization.

2. Internal Policy Drivers

a) Islamization under Zia-ul-Haq (1977-1988)

The Islamization of Pakistan under Zia-ul-Haq transformed laws, courts, and military training, altering the state's ideological framework.

This era emphasized madrassas and Islamic education, serving as recruitment hubs for militant groups and fostering radical ideologies. Such policies also eroded women's rights and secular legal principles, entrenching conservative social norms and facilitating the growth of religious extremism in society.

b) Military-Religious Alliances

During the 1980s, the Pakistani military strategically utilized religious groups as instruments in its foreign policy, particularly in the context of the Afghan Jihad against the Soviets and the ongoing conflict in ~~Kashmir~~ Kashmir.

This collaboration not only served military and geopolitical objectives but also significantly enhanced the political and social influence of Islamist parties within Pakistan, embedding them more deeply into the fabric of the state and society.

c) Weak Governance and Socioeconomic Conditions

Pakistan's education system weaknesses and limited economic opportunities foster radical ideologies, especially among youth lacking critical thinking and vocational resources. Additionally, local political parties often exploit religious sentiments for electoral benefits, indirectly legitimizing extremist narratives and enabling radical group influence within society.

Internal policies and institutional choices directly facilitated the growth of religious extremism by legitimizing radical groups and creating ideological polarization.

3. External Geopolitical Pressures

a) Afghan Jihad and Cold War Dynamics

US and Saudi funding of Mujahideen

through Pakistan's ISI in the 1980s resulted in a significant armed network of ideologically radical individuals. Madrasas acted as recruitment and indoctrination hubs for global jihadists, establishing the groundwork for future militant organizations like al-Qaeda.

b) Post-2001 US-led War on Terror

Anti-American sentiment increased in response to drone strikes and selective ~~targeting~~ targeting of militants, leading to domestic backlash. This prompted the Pakistani Taliban and allied groups to oppose the state, furthering internal instability.

c) Regional Rivalries (India and Kashmir)

The Pakistani military's backing of militant proxies in Kashmir has solidified the view of militancy as legitimate national security tool. This involvement which includes training, funding, and operational support, has intertwined extremist groups with state narratives of patriotism. Consequently, religious militancy has become not just tolerated but ingrained in strategic policy, normalizing radical ideologies as part of Pakistan's national security.

framework and connecting jihadist efforts to the goal of conquering India.

External pressures exacerbated radicalization by incentivizing militant activity, shaping ideology, and embedding extremist networks in the societal fabric.

4- Societal and Sectarian Dimensions

Sectarian violence is prevalent between Sunni and Shia groups, with attacks on Ahmadis and Sufi communities. Extremist factions wield significant street power and social influence, challenging state authority and democratic frameworks. Blasphemy laws and societal divisions amplify religious intolerance, leading to the radicalization of extremists.

Internal ~~societal~~ ~~divisions~~ Social divisions, fueled by policy choices and amplified by external influences, perpetuate cycles of violence and extremism.

Conclusion

Religious extremism in Pakistan arises from a combination of internal policies, such as state-led Islamization and military-religious alliances, along with external

DATE: ___/___/___

DAY: ___/___/___

influences like the Afghan jihad. These factors established conditions for radicalization and strengthened extremist groups.

Without significant reforms in governance, education, and foreign policy, Pakistan will likely continue to face challenges with religious extremism, threatening its democracy, societal cohesion, and regional stability.



The
End