

Subjective Part

~~Part - I~~

~~Question No: 2~~

~~Critical Analysis :~~

~~US-Backed Israeli Strike on Iranian Nuclear Sites~~

~~and the Geopolitical Implications~~


~~Introduction:~~

A hypothetical US-Backed Israeli military strike on Iran's nuclear facilities would mark a major escalation. Such actions could trigger regional conflict, accelerate nuclear proliferation and reshape global power dynamics, likely benefiting Russia and China while weakening U.S influence.

Your presentation efforts are appreciable. Please place your content with academic style by building proper argument e.g. headings and paragraph. Make only one or two pictorial forms

Elaborate your introduction

Immediate Consequences:

Risk to   ~~Regional Stability~~

Gulf energy
infrastructure/
Straits

Direct from
Israel exports

Retaliatory
strikes from
Iran / proxies
(Hezbollah/
Houthis)

Iran likely ends
nuclear
agreement,   ~~Nuclear Proliferation~~

persues
weapons

Saudi Arabia/
Turkey /
Egypt may
seek nuclear
capabilities

Sharp rise
in oil
prices

Global
Economy   ~~Global Economy~~

worldwide
Inflationary pressure

Supply
chain
disruption via
Hormuz
Strait

Global Power Shifts : Russia and China's Advantage

Russia

Distraction and Resource Boost

Diverts US
from Ukraine

Capitalizes on
European

strategic gain
Increase arms/
diplomatic support to
Iran

China

Influence

Expansion

Leads diplomatic
criticism of US/Israel

Offers economic
alternatives

Advance energy
corridors bypassing
Hormuz

To Gulf states
May test US in
Taiwan Strait

Strengthened Russia-China Partnership.

U.S. Coordination

Joint Veto's against
Western Resolutions

Narrative Control

Framing the West as
Destabilizing aggressors.

System Building

Accelerating BRICS+SCO
expansion and non-
dollar trade.

Tridilateral ties

Enhanced military operations
with Iran.

Western Position Weakened:

NATO Strains

Donot solely add pictorial description

Add content with proper arguments

European backlash
over energy / refugee
risks.

Global South Distrust

Perceived U.S violations of
sovereignty norms.

Leadership costs

U.S faces military
overextension and
diplomatic isolation.

Systemic Damage

Erosion of non-proliferation
treaty credibility

Presentation is not fine
Discuss your paper in
tutorial

Conclusion:

A U.S. supported Israeli strike on Iranian nuclear sites would likely backfire strategically. While potentially delaying Iran's program short-term, it could spark regional warfare, guarantee Iranian nuclear weaponization, and trigger global economic disruption.

Russia and China stand to stand significantly exploiting Western dislocation to advance alternative alliances, undermine U.S. credibility and strengthen their global influence. This scenario risks accelerating the decline of Western-led international order.

U.S
IRAN - ISRAEL

RUSSIA → CHINA

Question No:3

The Future of Middle East Nuclear Politics After a US-Israel Strike on Iran: A High Risk Landscape

Introduction:

Of the US and Israel to strike on Iranian Nuclear sites, it would make things far worse. Instead of preventing Iran's nuclear bomb programme, it would

push more

middle east countries
to build nuclear weapon

Break global nuclear
rules

Donot use color markers

Helps Russia and China
gain power in the region

WOULD LEAVE THE
MIDDLE EAST MORE
DANGEROUS THAN EVER

• Immediate Nuclear Proliferation Surge:

Why states would pursue weapon
after an attack?

1: Iran:

Motivation: Existential deterrence
likely Actions: • Open weaponize
• Test device
• Leave NPT.

2: Saudi Arabia:

Seems like notes

Motivation: Counter Iran and
ensure survival.

Likely Actions: Buy warheads
(Pakistan / China) or launch

Domestic Program

3: Turkey:

Motivation: Regional power status.

Expected Actions: • Revive Civilian program

- Seek NATO sharing or go independent.

4: Egypt:

Motivation: Restore strategic parity

Expected Actions: Reactivate dormant military - nuclear program.

Countries will believe:

ONLY NUKES CAN STOP AN ATTACK

Collapse of Global Non-Proliferation Architecture:

HOW NORMS AND INSTITUTIONS WOULD UNRAVEL:

Mechanism	Impact	Global Consequence
NPT legitimacy destroyed	Attack seen as violation of sovereignty "Double standard" exposed.	Global South rejects Treaty reinforcement
IAEA's relevance	States withdraw inspectors conceal facilities	NO credible monitoring in ME.
Precedent for strikes	Not allowed in this way Saudi may bomb rivals sites	Cascading preventive wars



A LAWLESS NUCLEAR ENVIRONMENT WHERE CONVERT PROGRAMS AND BRINKMANSHIP DOMINATE

Great Power Exploitation and Realignment

HOW RUSSIA CHINA RESHAPE THE NUCLEAR ORDER

Actor	Strategy	Gains
Russia	Dom and empower Pariah states	Self S-500/S-600 to Iran Provide fuel cycle tech. Veto Sanctions
China	"Stability Broker" Narrative	Offer "civilian" nuclear deals to RSA/Egypt. position as mediator.
Joint	Undermine US Hegemony Build argument in ^{paragraph} "Nuclear aspirants bypass Western Suppliers for Russia/China"	Frame attack as imperialism expand BRICS + nuclear fuel Bank.

reducing U.S leverage" "Middle East in 2030: In Nuclear Danger Zone.



Critical weakness:

"No emergency hotlines between Iran, Saudi Arabia, Israel and high risk of accidental war."

Conclusion: An Inevitable

Nuclear Multipolarity

An attack on Iran's nuclear sites would backfire badly arms race as 4-5 middle eastern nations get nukes by 2040. Additionally, there will be no rules, global nuclear treaties will collapse. Similarly, there will be a power shift, as Russia and China will replace US influence and there will be the danger of high risk too, because of the access of nuclear weapons and multi-nuclear enemies with no safety plans and much likely.

Discuss your paper in tutorial

Look into the suggestion below for improvement

Question No:8

The Axis of Convenience: Critical Evaluation of Deepening Russia-China Relations and Global Power Implications

Strategic alignment between Russia and China challenges Western Hegemony, driven by mutual opposition to US primacy and shared authoritarian governance. This "no limits" partnership reshapes global power dynamics.

Core Strategic Drivers:

1 Counter Hegemonic alignment:

Joint resistance to US dominance and NATO/India Pacific alliance.

2: Sanctions Survival Mechanism:

Mutual economic /diplomatic
insultations against Western
pressure.

3: Asymmetric Interdependence:

~~Russia Needs~~: Chinese markets for
energy exports, tech access,
political cover.

~~China needs~~: Russian hydrocarbons,
military tech access, geopolitical
distraction for the west.

4: Authoritarian Solidarity:

- Rejection of liberal norms
- Promotion of sovereignty
- Non-interference doctrines

Key Partnership Manifestations:

Domain Critical Actions Strategic Impact

Domain	Critical Actions	Strategic Impact
Political	Syndicate UN vetoes mutual support on Ukraine / Taiwan	Legitimizes revisionist agendas

2) Military	Advanced joint exercises Dual-use technology	Projects power. • Sustains Russian war machine.
3) Economic	Revised energy trade Yuan / Ruble settlement	Builds - Sanctions evasions - Dedollarization push.
4) Institutional	- Dominance in BRICS + SCO - anti-western Narratives	Builds alternative governance platforms.

Global Power Implications

Accelerated Multipolarity:

Ends US unipolarity and forces two theaters (Containment Europe + Indo-Pacific).

2: Systemic Fragmentation:

Security: Undermines arms control and increases nuclear brinkmanship.

Economy: Splits tech trade into rival blocks.

Institutions: Weakens UN and strengthens BRICS / SCO.

Regions Destabilization

1: Europe: Fuels Ukraine war, and threatens energy security.

2: Indo-Pacific: Encourages Chinese aggression near Taiwan.

3: Global South: Offers anti-West alternatives.

Build paragraph than bullets

2: Systemic Fragmentation:

Security: Undermines arms control and increases nuclear brinkmanship.

Economy: Splits tech trade into rival blocks.

Institutions Weakens UN and strengthens BRICS / SCO.

Regions Destabilization

1: Europe: Fuels Ukraine war, and threatens energy security.

2: Indo-Pacific: Encourages Chinese aggression near Taiwan.

3: Global South: Offers anti-West alternatives.

Conclusion: The Axis endures but frays

This partnership fragments the Western-led order. It fuels global instability and empowers autocrats, forcing the West to respond with unified deterrence. This partnership is reshaping world order, but its internal tensions limit its potential.

QNO: 5

Pakistan's Post-Conflict

Diplomacy with India

Key Drives and Challenges:

Introduction

Pakistan's post-conflict diplomatic approach towards India balances cautious engagement with persistent strategic disputes. Despite

historical tensions, Islamabad employs multi-track diplomacy, combining a backchannel talks, economic incentives and military de-escalation to manage relations. This explains Pakistan's key diplomatics.

Key Diplomatic Strategies

1 Backchannel and Track-II Diplomacy

i. UAE facilitated talks: Behind the scenes negotiations on Kashmir ceasefires.

ii. Think Tank and Cultural Exchange: soft diplomacy to ease public hostility.

* Limitations: Hardline factions on both sides under progress.

2: Economic Outreach:

- Trade Resumption Debates:
Occasional calls for MFN status restoration.
- SAARC Revival Push Advocating regional trade to reduce bilateral tensions.
~~Limitations: Security disputes (Terrorism, Kashmir) stall economic ties.~~

Military De-escalation:

- 2021 LOC Ceasefire: Successful but fragile truce.
- Nuclear Risk Reduction: Nothie upgrades, no first-use discussions
- Limitations: Deep distrust prevents lasting agreement.

Conclusion: A fragile Equilibrium

Pakistan's diplomatic outreach to India remains stuck in crisis.

General instructions to get good marks in current affairs paper

~~Important Note:~~

Marks would be given on the following parameters

a- Content 60% References 15%

Subject specific language 15%.

Graphs and charts 10%

Add 12-13 headings in each question

the questions carry 3-4 parts... each part has equal weightage so discuss all equally

"Neither side is willing to

pay the price for real normalization. The struggle starts quo continues.

Give attractive introduction and Conclusion as well

always give headings from the question statement.. take words from the statement

link each of the argument to the asked part in the question... if you fail to do so, no matter how accurate content is, if your heading is not aligned with what is asked in the question, it won't be accurate

Good Luck