

Part - IIBatch no: 067

Q.5 Introduction: Weak presentation
 Switch pen when give
 headings
 Always give margin line

The post conflict diplomatic engagement between Pakistan and India, two nuclear armed neighbours in South Asia, are marked by a cycle of hostility, de-escalation and cautious re-engagement. While historical grievances and territorial disputes, particularly over Kashmir continue to hinder long term peace, both nations have intermittently pursued diplomatic efforts following periods of tension. These efforts reflect a blend of strategic interests, domestic, political considerations and international pressure.

1.

Add conflicts first

Initial diplomatic freeze post - conflict:

After major military or terrorist incidents, such as the Targid war (1999), Mumbai attack (2008) or Pulwama-Balakot crisis (2019), the first diplomatic reaction is typically a breakdown in official communication. High commissioners are often recalled, bilateral

dialogue is suspended, and relations worsen in public and media.

These initial responses reflect:

• Public pressure for a strong stance.

• Political exploitation of national sentiments.

• A deep-rooted trust deficit between the two states.

2. Build proper one paragraph

Backchannel Diplomacy: The Invisible Hand:

It despite the public hardline stance, both countries have regularly engaged in backchannel diplomacy. These behind the scenes interactions aim to:

- To prevent further escalation.
- Keep diplomatic channels open.
- Explore space for future formal talks.

Example: Avoid bullets

In 2021, LOC ceasefire reaffirmation reportedly facilitated through backchannel talks with IAF's mediation. Such informal diplomacy often lays the foundation for later official engagements.

b. Bilateralism vs Multilateralism:

While India insists on bilateral talks for the Simla Agreement, Pakistan often seeks third party mediation.

c. Role of Domestic Politics:

Leadership changes, military influence, and nationalist politics in India impact diplomatic continuity.

d. Global Pressure and Mediation:

International stakeholders such as the US, China and Gulf states play subtle but crucial role in encouraging de-escalation.

5.

Build headings otherwise it would give glance of notes.

Some diplomatic shows promise:

Kartarpur Corridor (2019):

Opened a visa-free religious corridor for Sikh pilgrims, praised globally as a soft diplomacy success.

Releases of prisoners and soldiers:

Such as Wing Commander Abhinandan's return (2019) after Balakot strikes.

Military hotline communication:

Cultural exchange, sporting events serve as valuable tools for reducing hostility.

b. The Role of Regional and Global Forums:

While SAARC remains dormant due to India - Pakistan tensions both countries' interest at forum like :-

- SCO
- UN General Assembly
- OIC and G20 events

7.

Assessment of Diplomatic Effectiveness Post conflict diplomacy between India and Pakistan has shown periodic success, but remains fragile. Durable peace has remained elusive due to

- The unresolved Kashmir issue
- Non-state actors and terrorism
- Strategic competition and nuclear posturing

Conclusion :

The post-conflict diplomatic drives between Pakistan and India reflect a pattern of short-lived engagements followed by long periods of hostility. While both nations occasionally demonstrate diplomatic maturity, peace remains hostage to security concerns.



Add 8-9 sides

~~And unresolved core disputes. A forward-looking strategy involves sustained dialogue, confidence-building and respect of mutual concerns is essential to transform episodic diplomacy into a lasting peace process.~~

Q.6

Introduction :

The Russia-China relationship has deepened significantly in recent years, particularly following the 2014 Ukraine and intensifying after Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine in 2022. Both countries increasingly challenge the western-dominated global order and seek to build a multipolar world. However, despite their strategic convergence, there are underlying asymmetries and limitations. This evolving partnership has profound implications for global power politics.

1.

Strategic Convergence Against the West:

- Both Moscow and Beijing oppose U.S. global dominance and NATO's influence.

1. They offer coordinate diplomaticly in forums like the UN security council and BRICS to block western initiatives.

2. The 2012 "No limits" partnership declared by Xi Jinping and Vladimir Putin emphasized their unity in shaping a new international order.

Reference: "Joint Statement of International Relations Entering a New Era" Kremlin and Xinhua

2

Economic and Energy Interdependence

After western sanctions, Russia and turned eastward, becoming increasingly dependent on China for trade, technology and energy supplies.

However, the trade balance heavily favors China, making Russia the junior partner.

Data: Bilateral trade reached \$140bn in 2013, according to China's Ministry of Commerce.

3

Military Cooperation and Strategic Signalling:

- Joint military drills showcase growing security ties.
- Cooperation in military technology and arms sales reflects trust, though China is cautious not to antagonize the West overtly.

Implications for Global Power Politics:

- The West now faces a de facto axis of autocracies challenging liberal values and institutions.
- The Global south may increasingly tilt toward alternative development models backed by China-Russia-led platforms like SCO and BRICS.
- The relationship fuels like-bloc politics, reviving Cold War-style polarization.

Implication: Undermines efforts at global consensus on climate, human rights and conflict resolution.

F

Asymmetries and Divides in the Partnership:
This was the asked part
You need to elaborate it

- Russia is increasingly the junior

partner, reliant on Chinese economic and political support.

• China is careful not to violate global sanctions or directly assist Russia militarily in Ukraine.

• Competing interests exist in Central Asia, where both vie for influence via BRI and the Eurasian Economic Union.

(Critical View): The alliance is more marriage of convenience than a full-fledged strategic union.

6:

Impact on US and NATO

Strategy:

• The West now faces dual-front strategic competition: militarily with Russia in Europe, economically and technologically with China in the Indo-Pacific.

• NATO's strategic concept now includes China as a systemic challenge.

• US has increased alliances in Asia (AUROS, Quad) to counterbalance China, while arming Ukraine to contain.



Russia.

7

Global Polarization and Risk of Conflict Escalation:

- Growing Russia-china alignment reduces space for global cooperation.
- The UN security council is increasingly paralyzed due to sino-Russian vetoes.
- Risk of regional flashpoints escalating border conflict is growing.

Conclusion:

The growing Russia-china relationship is significantly challenges the post-Cold War liberal order and accelerates the shift toward multipolarity. However, this partnership is rooted more in shared opposition to the west than in deep mutual trust. While their cooperation intensifies global power competition, internal asymmetries and regional divergences may limit its durability in the long term.

Please work on paper presentation and arguments building



Scanned with CamScanner



CamScanner

Q.3

Introduction:

The future of nuclear politics in the middle east after a potential US-Israel attack on Iranian nuclear sites is likely to be turbulent, uncertain and fraught with strategic and security challenges. The region already a hotspot for geopolitical rivalry and proxy wars may face a dangerous escalation in nuclear ambition, arms race dynamics and diplomatic polarization.

1.

Strategic Backlash and Regional Instability:

An attack on Iran's nuclear infrastructure will not completely erase its nuclear know-how but may provoke a strong retaliation. Iran could abandon any remaining cooperation with the IAEA, withdraw from the NPT and accelerate a clandestine nuclear weapons programme.



Scanned with CamScanner



CamScanner

2. Breakdown of Non-Proliferation Norms:

The pre-emptive strike doctrine sets a dangerous precedent in the region. Other regional states like Saudi Arabia, Turkey or Egypt may perceive that nuclear capability is the only credible deterrent against aggression. This would undermine the global non-proliferation regime and could lead to multiple regional powers pursuing nuclear weapons covertly or openly.

3-

Emergence of Regional Nuclear Arms Race:

With Iran potentially doubling down on its nuclear ambitions post strike, Saudi Arabia may seek to match Iran's nuclear capabilities, possibly through technology-sharing deals with Pakistan or Western allies. Turkey and Egypt, too, might initiate or accelerate their own programmes.

Substantially low

General instructions to get good marks in current affairs paper

4. Weakening of Diplomatic Channels:

such military action would deteriorate the possibility of future diplomatic negotiations, including the revival of the TSPOA (Comprehensive Plan of Action).

a- Content 60% References 15%

Subject specific language 15%.

Graphs and charts 10%

European powers China and Russia may criticize the US and Israel, leading to a fractured global approach to Iranian nuclear

containment and weakening collective efforts at arms control.

Add 12-13 headings in each question

the questions carry 3-4 parts... each part has equal weightage so discuss all

equally

Increased Role of Non-state

Actors:

Iran's proxies such as Hezbollah, the Houthis and PMF (Popular Mobilization Forces) may respond violently, escalating regional

tensions. The risk of nuclear-

war also grows if Israel

under attack decides to share

nuclear technology or materials

with allied militant groups.

link each of the argument to the

asked part in the question... if you fail

to do so, no matter how accurate

content is, if your heading is not aligned with what is asked in the question, it

won't be accurate



Scanned with CamScanner

Good Luck



Scanned with CamScanner