

Makam -141- Westerlage-NOA.

Day: 10-55 (i) Geopolitical factors: 1900 M mislax The geopolitical factor refers to the. defined as the size of the wordsy. forexample; Pakistan's pronimity to India and China. It also include the natural resources distribution which play a mojor role in defining the foreign policy of a country. Forestample; The OPEC countries eras major role in global politics: because they had oil, and they dominate the global energy politices. (ii) Economic consideration: Economy is a mager factor in determining the foreign policy of a country A stable economy will indicate a prosper nation and the dependency of international aid and loans will be dowered. Furthermore, economy can be increased through trad and multilaterism with will dielp create a diplomaticional peace ful environment between two a more countries. Forexample; toracle routes like BRI, projects like CPEC between Pakitten and Cluma iii Domestic Politics: An inetable political systemwill weaken the economy and the overall governance system, which inturn will effect the foreign policy of a country, decreasing the international

Date:	Day:	
trust and effecting the name	of the	3
country in global economic na		3
well. The ideologies of the go		
regime and pressure groups		
eignificant tole 'n delemini		
foreign policy. Forenample; civil	military	
relations in Pakisten.	mh was the	
(iv) Security imperatives:		
The security invision men		
State is also very significan		1
country foreign policy. Tweat		
and disputes and skirmish		
forexample; due to the ins		
of kerosisine im Pakistan, the		
of the CPEC is depayed. Sec		3194
imperatives shapes the over a		
of the country at Global l		
	The state of the s	
(V) International Systems:	Ratio	
The wunty with stable	oconomy	
military capabolities and technol	legy are	
free in making their foreign pole		
they usually only consider the		
national interests. On the other		
countries which dack such co	apabilities	0.000
one bound to consider the	hegemone's.	
inscress and had to right		
coite the interest of the supe	i power-	
Forexample; the post 9/11 police is aligned with 2.5.A forcing	19 rakistan	\$ 100 miles
Is augued with U.S.A forcig	n policej.	

74 / 70 (4)		
(1)	Leadership and decision making: The personalities of leaders and	A.
Same of	The personalities of leaders and	17
	their ideologies do shap foreign	
	policy and perspectives. The alecision	
	making consultation and style	i in
	also impact the foreign policy of	
	a country.	
	Gernitu imperatives:	W
	DECISION MAKING E ANALYSIS	
	APPROACHES	
	There are give main models in	
	dosein polices emalysis, which are	
	developed by Graham Allison.	
POD:	cal - Models Of Foreign Policy: - interboll.	ranci
mo	clul. I TODELS OF Toreign louicy: political. Mod	let,
	Rational Actor Bureaucratic organizational	
	Rational Actor Bureaucratic organizational Model Political Model Process Model	
	partition race mount	
	Rational Actor Model (RAM):	(v)
	This is the most widely used	(1)
	policy model for malysis of foreign	
	policy- According to this model main	
	actor in foreign policy is a matronale	
	individud who can be relied on to	
	make informed, calculated decisions	
	Mat manimizes Value a la avecação 1	
	that manimizes value and perceived	
	benefit to the state- It relies on the	
	individual state level interactions	
	between nations and government behaviour as unit of analysis.	
	behaviour us will by analysis.	

(ii) Bureauctratic Politics Model Tuis model analyzes decisions on the basis of actions that are taken by a number of independent Compeling entities within a particular State-Each of these entities brings value to the decision making proces and its own view of what's best for national interests. (iii) Organizational Process Model It views the government gen mix of powerful organizations working in concert rather than individual or group of partison entities. This type of decision nating is strict and highed and done with standard operational procedure and no one is allowed to tackle with the expert foreign policy malysis. (iv) Inter-Branch Politics Model It is sprilar to organizational (1) and beautacealis models in that it involves Separately defined garys or entities - However, rather than focusing on singulae goals and results, this model evaluate the results based on efforts. 3 Pear Drailed

Date:		Day:	
	(v) Pol	itical Process Model	5
(-2.)	This mod	el involves a number of actors	(6)
	in foreign poticy during meterne but		
	nainly the office of president and		
	Congress, but across all levels of		
	government ac well. It is somewhat		
and a	similar to bureacratic model but		
	differs in its focus on more individual		
	participation and raclusion of their		
	personal go	als as well. This approach	
	was given	by Rogers Hilsman.	
	5 1 1 1		
	Historical	Outlook of Pakisten Foreign	
	Policy:		
	Timeline	Pakistan Policy Outlook	
(i)	(1947-1958)	1	94.
	Early Phase:		
		formation, Pakister pined	
	Security	SCATO and CENTO to gain	
	7	05 military and economic	
		support against perceived	
	ice Modul	Indian aggression.	
(1)	1958-1971	Bilatualism, while balancing	
	Era of Prag-	relationships with Us and	
	watism:	China.	
	Post 1971:	After the fall of Dhaka, Pakistan	
	Search for	sought nox-arigned status.	
And an artist of the second	Sovereignity	Islamic Solidarity, epitomiac	
The second		by the OIC Summit in 1974	
(i~)	1979-1989	Frontline state during soviet	
THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	Cold war	Afgram war; Alignment with U.S	
THE RESERVE TO STATE AND THE PARTY OF THE PA	Period	entrenched dependency.	

		M. Committee of the com	
(10)	11990 -2001). Post-cold War	Declining V.S interest led to	
	Post-cold War	economic isolation and sanctions	
4000	Era	Interactional screeting over its	
	rectseta	meclear Program.	
(vi)	Post 9/11	key ally in Global War on Terror	
	Post 9/11 Dynamics	balancing V.S demands and	
		Challenges. Complexitos in water	
	nes of Past	with India & Afghandsten.	
(vii)	Contemporary	CREC and Pakisten - China.	
	Tremos:	strategre partnership on a	
A Comment		Corner stone. Suift towards a	
		multi alignment, tres with	
		Remora, Central Asian and Middle	
		Eest.	
		Explain them in the form of	
	parties of the Control	paragraphs.	
		e dispersión de la companya de la co	
	Conclu	Determinants of Palisi	5
	U JOHON	Culture:	
		Control States States College	
	Conclu	Culture:	

Date: -

Date	:	
	libiture Nation Theory.	EMEDIAL T
	disputes (Rashmir, notably) have embedded	
No. of the Contract of the Con	a security first, mind set in Pakestanis	(9)
	Strategic outlook.	U
	( Military Conflicts	E 12 y vision
	with India willias	100
A SA	was in 1949, 1965, 1911 and 1889	
	have reinforecal afficient centric	
	posture against endra as "enistental	
	Office."	6.1
(ii)	Geopolitical Realities:	
	(a) Geostportegic Location:	
	situated at the cross roads of	
	South Asia Central Asia and the	
	Middle Eas, Pakistern's Strategic culture	No. and the
	is influenced by its roll as a	
	buffer state and a gateway	
	for regional connectivity-	(1)
	(b) Proximity to India:	
	India's luga size, economic	
	strength, and military capabilities	,
	perpetuate Pakistem's perception of	
	asymmetry, deiving reliance en	••,
	deterrance stratégies.	
liji )	Ideological foundations:	
	(a) Islamic Identity:	
	Pakistem's creation as a homeland	•
	for Muslims, has institutionalized the	
19.11.2	role of Jelan as a cliver of national	
	coherron and foreign policy emphasizing	2
	solidarity with the Muslim world.	

MANEFEST		F PAKISTAN'S STRATEGIC CULTURE
Past Enperiences 1:  Pastition Military Legacity Consticts Sindia  Enduring Rivalry  Kashinir Issue.	Strategic Proximity location to India Idealia Idealia  CPEC Balance D Power Power Power	ati Spectrum Doctrine. Scarriery Challenges.  Joseph Roll Spectrum Cold Spectrum Doctrine. Scarriery Challenges.  Jour Pour Post Post Post Post Post Post Post Post