Date:
Central to Maris political Thought is the
idea that history is driven by class struggle,
the conflict between anners and wolkers:
over the control of resources and production.
Morris angues that there are two main classes
h human society, "the burgeoise" and "the
Proletariale. Le burgeoile controls lie means
of production while we protetorioute, lacking
aunership, must sell lier labour to survive.
Le emphasizes that this struggle à the eighe
of historical change, as the oppressed classes
resist viel, exploitation. Class struggle holds
that history consists of different periods in which
one Class rule over the other Classes. 1645
emploitative dynamics execute lensons, that according to Many, would eventually lead to revolutionary
upheaval and the establishment of a classless
Communist socialy.
Human Society (2 classes)
Burgeoise/ Owners / Struggle over   Wolfers/
Owners / Struggle over wolkers/ Capitalists who will control wage camer.
(controls the mean (Prrovide the labour)

HISTORICAL/DIALECTIO MATERIALISM:	
" - DEFICECTED MAIERTALISM:	
from each according to his abilities	
to each according to his needs."	
Marx has given this statement as the condition under communism  (Kowl Mary)	
the philosophy behind Marx's reasoning on	
the process of change largely came from	
his predecessor, Georg Hegel. in steony emplains	
that a change, progress or development is the	
result of struggle between contradictions.	
Marx introduced hotorical materialism as a	
method to analyze societal development. He	
orqued that the material condition, of a	
society - its economic base - determine it pointied	
and ideological base/superstructure, such as	
laws, culture and religion. This perspective	
emphasized the primary of ecnomic factors in	
shaping history and human Yelatlang. Manx	
authored how modes of production, such as	
feudalism, capitalism and socialism, evolve	
Through Contradictions and crisis within each	
system driver by technological advancement	
and class conflict. Historical materialism provides	•
of framework to understand societal transformation as	
a process rooted in material realities, rather	

then abstract ideas.	
STAGES OF HISTORICAL MATERIALISM: Protetorian rule and	
development of a dom	credic
Eva of Communistic Utopia,	
Burgeoise Conflict	
(Eva g. Fendalism)	
landed Anistoring Conflict & Burgeoise	
(Eva 9)	
Empire Conflict Barbarians.	
( Fra of princitive )	
unorganised Conflict and Triabalism.	<b>.</b>
Fig: Déalectic Malwalism.	
Karl Marx graved that capitalism will be the	
last stage and that, my writings will serve as	
inspiration for proleternale to rise against the	
burgeobe. Begining with small strikes, demonstrations	
and not, the revolutionary movement will become	
more militent, organized and uniffed, capturing the	
state power and establishing Communium. This will	
be the end of thistory and there will be a	
 class-less and state-less society.	

	CONCLUSION:	
	Karl Mani's contributions to political	
	Thought, particularly his theories of class struggle	
	and historical materialism, offer a powerful	
	critique of social and economic systems. His	
	ideas have not only shaped academic cliscowic	
	but have also served as boundation for	
	Yevolutionary movements worldwide. Marx's	
-	insights into the dynamics of power, inequality	
	and societal change remain highly relevant in	
	understanding modern political and economic	/
	Structures.	
	Good 13	

QUESTION: 06	
ANSWER:	
INTRODUCTION	
the debate between direct	
democracy and representative democracy	
has long been central to discussions on the	
ideal system of governance. Direct democracy,	
noted in the active parthipation of citizens	
In decision-making, emphasizes the sovereignty	come in section
of the people and their ability to shape	
policies without intermediantes. In contrast,	
representative democracy relies on elected	
officals to make decisions on behalf of	
the populace, offering a move structured	
and scalable approach, to governance in	
complex societies. Each systems has distinct	
philosophical underpinnings and practical	
implications, porticularly in ensuring political	
accountability and citizen partnership.	
In the modern would where the governance	
must bolonce efficiency with inclusivity , examining	
the strengths and limitections of hese	
systems is crucial to determining their	
effectiveness in addressing Contemporary Challenger.	

	Day:	
	PHILOSOPHICAL AND PRACTICAL	
	DIFFERENCES BETWEEN BIRECT	
	AND REPRESENTATIVE DEMOCRACY:	
ún	Philosophical Found actions:	
	Direct Democracy: Rooted in the idea of	
	direct citizen involvement, direct demonary	
	allows individuals to participate in	
	decision-making without intermediates.	
	그 이 집에 있는 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이	
	Philosophically, it aligns with Rousgeau's	
	Concept of general will, emphasizing	
	that Soverlegnty lies entirely with the	
	People.	
	Representative Democracy: Built on the	
	principle of delegation, representative democrace	
	involves electing officials to make decisions	
	on behalf of the populance. This model	
	reflect Madisonian ideas of Viltering public	
	opinion through a body of informed repre-	
	sentatives to avoid the tyranny of the majority.	
cii,	Practical Mechanisms:	
	Direct Democracy: Citizens vote on laws	Ψ.
	and policies directly, as seen in	
	referendums, initiatives and public assemblies.	
34000		

	Modern enample, include Switzerland's Grequent	
	use of referenda.	
	Representative Democracy: Citizens elect officials	×
	who are suponsible for legislative and	
	executive decisions. Countries like India ad	
	Pakistan enemptify this system.	
	do not leave blank lines	
citt,	Citizen Participation:	
	Direct Democracy: Offers higher levels of	
	direct citizen engagement but requires	
	Significant time, effort and understanding	
	of complex brues, which can lead to	
	decision-making fatigue or poorly informed	
	Chaices.	
	Representative Democracy: Ensures broader	
y	participation imough elections, but may	
	diffule direct public influence on specific	
	policies, as representative may prioritize	
	party interests or their political survial over	
	· Public demand,	
ιίν,	Political Accountability:	
	Direct Democracy: Accountability is inherent	7-14-16
	as altizons make decisions directly. However,	

П		
	It can be susceptible to populism, emotional	
	decision-making, and misinformation camposigns.	
	D Representative Democracy: Representatives ove	
	held accountable through regular elections.	
	While this provide a structured mechanism	
	for accountability, it can be undermined by	
	voter capathy, corruption or matripulation of	
	electoral processes.	
LV)	Scalability and Feasibility:	
	Direct Democracy: More beasible in small	
	homogenous communities where consensus is easier	
	to achieve. In modern, large and diverse	
	sciettes, implementing divects democracy at	
	scale poses logistical and practical challenges.	
	Representative Demo cracy: Designed for large	
	scale governance, it enables efficient-decisten	
	making through elected officials and structured	
	institutions, Though it may distance its citizens	
	from policy decisions.	
	Trickly and the second of the	
	SYSTEM MORE EFFECTIVE IN MODERN WORLD:	
	In the modern would, representative	
	domocracy is more practical and effective	
	V	

-11		
	for ensuring political accountability and citizen	
	participation. It accomposates the complexity of,	
	diverse societies while maintaining structured	
	governance. Mechanisms like free elections,	
	independent media, and judicial oversight	
	Enhance accountability, while participatory tous	
	such as public consultations and digital	
	Platforms improve citizen engagement. However,	
	incorporating elements of about elemenacy,	
	such as referendums and citizen assemblies.	
	winin representative systems can bridge the	
	gap between public opinen and policymaking,	
	fostering a move balanced and participatory	
	governance model,	
	CONCLUSION:	
	In Conclusion, both direct	
	and representative democracies have unique	
	Strengths and challenges in ensuring political	
	accountaining and atten participation. Direct	
	Involvement but faces practical limitertons in	
	large Complex societies. Representative democracy	
	white more feasible, at scale, can some-	
	Pines distance citizens from decision-making.	(4.5
	33107	

In the modern world, a hybrid approach
that combines the efficiency of respresentative systems with participatory tools like referendams,
the most effective means of governance. Such
Integration ensures accountability, fosters
inclusivity, and adapts to the demands of
Contemporary political landscapes.
13

Conceptual clarity is satisfactory

Paper presentation is okay