

Q3 Is Privatization of Public Services beneficial or detrimental to developing countries?
Outline

1 Introduction

Thesis statement

Privatization of Public Service is both ~~positive and negative~~ impacts but ~~positive~~ effects more than ~~negative~~ effects.

Sentence structure

2 Understanding the term of Privatization and its both aspects.

3 Privatization of Public Services is beneficial. (Thesis statement)

a Public will take best service.

b Innovation will arise in Public Sector.

c Government will take more taxes and expand on Public

Tense not suitable

d Job opportunities ~~will~~ be created in country.

e ~~Competition will~~ be created.

4 People believe Privatization of Public Service is detrimental

To developing countries.

a ~~Private Service is very costly.~~

How is that detrimental to developing countries.

b. ~~Private Companies motives are profit earning.~~

c. ~~Private Companies do not care his employees after retirement.~~

How are these detrimental to developing countries.

d. ~~Innovation is beneficial but middle class people can not afford it.~~

e ~~Private Companies can be united and create monopoly.~~

5 How Privatization of public service ~~is beneficial than its negative effects~~ in developing countries. (Synthesis)

Sentence structure

a. Government, ~~and~~ health insurance and banks can

help public.

b Everyone struggles for gaining in life.

c Now, ~~Public~~ government also takes changes in Budget because ~~the~~ government can not afford it.

d Government will prevent monopoly by the help of constitution.

These do not refute the counter stance.

This is a completely irrelevant and very surface-level outline. The examiner is not going to read your essay beyond this point. Basic grammar and structure are being evaluated.

General guideline.

CONCLUSION

Maintain the tone of the topic

Answer the asked part

Pay attention to the tense of the topic and the given keywords

Follow the outline exactly as it is

Provide substantial research-backed evidence.

Use formal language always

No use of 1st and 2nd person pronouns.

Maintain unity of idea in a single paragraph.

~~Public~~ Private Companies ~~and~~ Public Companies are two concepts which exist in countries. Private companies run by Individual Person or group of people. Public Company run by government. Both companies are positive and negative impacts exist

The opening is too generic and unrelated to the context.

Irrelevant detail. Should not be part of intro

The topic is not about companies or enterprises. It's about privatising services.

in countries. Privatization of public services company has more benefits than public company. Private company provides best service to his client. Innovation sector grow due to Privatization. Due to Privatization government ~~can collect~~ more taxes from private companies and ~~not~~ spend on his citizen. Job opportunities creates in Country and Competition raised. Some people believe Privatization has more negative impact in developing countries. Private service is very costly and its motive is only Profit earning. They do not Care their empolyees after retirement. They can also be disaster if they United and make monoply in country. opposite opinion is correct but not valid because

The topic is specific to privatisation of public services not just privatisation.

Poor grammar and sentence structure.

The discussion is not specific to privatisation of public services nor with respect to developing countries.

Government exist in country
and they make policies and

Expression

spend taxes on his citizens

Further argument will be

latter paragraph which will

be counter argument.

This is not how you write the thesis statement.

Privatization means

decentralization and government

Sentence structure

role limited. Private companies

govern market. Government

just makes policies and

takes action against holding

mafia who disrupt market

Irrelevant detail. Explain in context of public services.

supply and demand

The topic is not about companies

Private companies given many

benefit to public and government

China was also poor country

before 2000. when he

his economy now he govern

in the whole world. Privatization

company has also negative effects

if government weak then they

make black mail government

regarding policies because they

give a lot of taxes to government.

Irrelevant, china privatised market not public services.

Sentence structure should be formal and the expression should be academic

So, Privatization has both positive and negative effect.

Public Companies provide

best service to his client because they now

if they did not

they would go

to another shop. In Pakistan

when Pakistan telecommunication

company was not privatized

that time only U-fone

company provide services

to his client. Now, there

are multiple companies

in Pakistan and provide

better services to his client.

Many subscriber shifted from

U-fone to other companies.

because Ufone company do

not take innovation in his

company. Hence, Privatization

is beneficial for public.

when Privatization occur

in country then every

Irrelevant to the topic
The discussion is not about
Companies, it
About public services.
There is a huge difference
One is commodities and the other is
Basic necessities that are state responsibility.

You are not discussing
privatisation of public
services.

The topic is if
privatisation is
beneficial for
developing countries
not the public

Company try to differentiate from other company brand.

They invest in research and development.

During Covid-19 Many pharmaceutical companies invested in research and development and make vaccine again Covid-19 virus.

Mainly, in developing countries do not invest in research and development due to

constraint of budget. Multinational companies have huge budget they can do it. So, privatization promote innovation in country.

When more private companies established then government can collect more taxes from private

companies and spend on public.

They can use these taxes for making

roads and facilitate companies by which more companies will be established in

Country. The developed countries
~~the~~ collect taxes from
Companies and spend
on Public health, ~~and education~~
and their security. So, Privatization
is ~~the~~ way to solve the
Public problems because
~~the~~ public will pay less
taxes ~~less~~ and gain more
benefits.

The topic is about
privatisation of public
services i.e. health and
education. Why would
state spend on them
after their
privatisation?

Makes no logical
sense

when Privatization
more Companies will
be established in Country.
Companies runs by human
resource. when more
Companies established then
job opportunities will be
raised for Country. Every
year information share on
media there are millions
of people migrated from
developing countries to
developed countries because
there are more Companies
and high ^{job} opportunities. If

a developing countries start
privatization and invite
investors ~~from~~ for investment
then no one will go another
country for job. because
there will also create more
job opportunities. Hence,
privatization is the way
by which job opportunities
will create in country.

when more companies
established in country
they all will be trying
to gain more clients.
It is that they will start marketing
strategy by which they
provide better quality in
affordable price to people.

In Pakistan, telecommunication
communication companies are
in competition because they
all want to maximize the
control of market. They
~~cannot~~ give free offer to new
subscriber and provide internet
service in affordable price.

Tense. Why isn't the
discussion in will.

after this pension

This all benefits go to
people. Hence, Privatization
~~create~~ & creates competition
in country which is beneficial
to people.

Saying the opposite
opinion, he says Privatization
has more disadvantages
than benefits. This is correct.
Private companies sell maximum
price and public company
cooperate with public and
sell services on discount, ~~but~~
private company charge high charge
but give best services.
In Pakistan, public health
sector is not proper working
because government does not
invest in public sector and
there are also corruption and
tapism, which disturb
health service. Private

Private companies sell his services very costly.

Poor people can not afford it. Public sector provides

free service or very low

price charged from public.

Pakistan is a developing

country. Pakistan has PIA

(Pakistan International Airline)

which provide his service

at low cost and at every

event they 10% or 20% offer

for immigrat. Private companies

do not care poor people

because they want maximum

profit. So, privatization

is not good for people

in developing country.

when employee retire

from private companies. they

do not care their employee

after retirement. Public company

gives pension to his employee

after retirement. If the pensioner

is death then this pension

No relation to the topic in the topic sentence

PIA is not a public service. Why is high price detrimental to developing countries.

is transfer to his family.
so, Public company is beneficial
than Private company.

Privatization is the
cause of innovation in
developing countries, because
government has not ~~sufficient~~^{sufficient}
fund for research and development
but this innovation is beneficial
for the company owner and
rich people. ~~when~~ New
innovation in market it
is very costly only rich
people can afford it. |

Private companies can
unite and pressure build
on government for policy
making. Due to Privatization,
Private companies unite and
create monopoly. In Pakistan,
recently want tax to impose

on shopkeeper but government could not collect amount which he determine, they only ^{collected} 0.01% of determine amount. So, Privatization has more disadvantages than benefits.

Seeing the opposite opinion. Private companies are motives to earn profit but they also provide best service to his client. Government can also subsidized services for public because government collect taxes from people.

NAM Governmental organizations can also play role for providing ^{di} best services to people.

So, Privatization is more beneficial because they provide best service and government can make partnership with private company for providing services in affordable price for public if the service is necessary item.

~~Everyone~~ seeing the
opposite opinion, he says
~~Private companies~~ do
not care his employee
after retirement. This is
correct but now in
developing countries. ~~Government~~
Pakistan is a developing
country, Pakistan's Government
can change in budget
rules and prefer contract
base job because pension
budget is high therefore
government can not afford
pension. employee ~~can~~ ^{have to} also
save his money in ^{bank} ~~every~~ ^{or where} ~~year~~
her prefers. while this amount
use ~~in~~ after retirement.

Seeing the opposite
opinion, he says ~~Private~~
~~Companies~~ can unite and
~~create~~ monopoly. This is
correct but there is
~~government~~ responsibility to
make policies where monopoly

is not created. Pakistan
passed competition act 2010,
which enforces the company
can not be in dominant position
and not violate the right
of small businesses. Government
also made inclusive economic
policy where all business
grow then monopoly may be
~~not~~ not made created.

At the conclusion,
Privatization of public
service is more beneficial than
~~disadvantage~~ because ~~there~~
private company provide
better services to his
client and innovation is
also promoted due to
privatization. Government
also collect taxes from
private company which utilize
on public health and
road construction. There
are some people believe
that privatization is not
good because privatization

Create monopoly in market
by which they sell expensive
goods on public. Synthesis
is ~~that government lacks~~
exist in country by
which monopoly can be
~~prevented~~ and ~~public~~ → Private
Partnership is the solution
of ~~expensive~~ costly services.
Hence, ~~privatization~~ has
more benefits than disadvantages
in developing countries.