

Igra Rashid
Batch 361

General guideline.
Maintain the tone of the topic
Answer the asked part
Pay attention to the tense of the topic and the given keywords
Follow the outline exactly as it is
Provide substantial research-backed evidence.
Use formal language always
No use of 1st and 2nd person pronouns.
Maintain unity of idea in a single paragraph.

1. Topic: "Global Warming is an Expensive Hoax" Outline

A. Introduction

1. Brief Introduction to the topic of global Warming.
2. Explanation of the Controversial Statement: "Global Warming is an expensive Hoax"
3. Global Warming is a fabricated issue driven by economic interests.

Don't introduce one aspect of the topic. Introduce your essay.

Does not clarify what your stance is.

B. Background on Global Warming

1. Explanation of global Warming and climate change: Key Concepts, Scientific Understanding.
2. Overview of the global Scientific Consensus on climate change.
3. Historical perspective: How the climate change debate has evolved.

Irrelevant detail.

C. The Argument: Global Warming is an Expensive Hoax

1. Overview of the arguments made by critics of global Warming.
2. Key points raised by climate change skeptics:
 - a. Manipulated Data and Flawed Science
 - b. Natural Climate Variability
 - c. Economic burden of climate

Write the points. Also your language is not clear. Your main heading agrees with the given statement but the wording of sub-points contradicts them.

Insufficient. Also do not discuss
How climate change is an expensive hoax.

change Mitigation policies political Agenda Behind climate change Narratives D. Counter-Arguments: Refuting the Hoax Argument

1. Evidence Supporting the Reality of global Warming
 - a. Scientific Consensus
 - b. Empirical Data (Temperature increases, Ice Melt, etc.)
 - c. ~~Human Contribution to Global Warming (Greenhouse Gases)~~
 - d. ~~Extreme Weather Events and Long-Term Impacts~~

Does not relate to the economic cost of climate change

E. The Economic Costs of Climate change

1. Overview of the economic impact of climate change, ~~Extreme weather, rising sea levels, agricultural impacts.~~
2. The long-term economic costs of inaction.
3. Benefits of addressing global warming (green jobs, renewable energy industry growth).

Irrelevant. You are to discuss whether the given statement is true or not. Not break it down in multiple parts.

F. The Cost of Inaction vs. The Cost of Mitigation

1. Analysis of the cost-effectiveness of climate mitigation strategies.
2. Potential long-term economic gains from transitioning to a green economy.

Not asked.

You do not answer whether climate change is an expensive hoax or not.

3. Case Studies and examples of countries that are in green technologies seeing economic benefits.

This is a completely irrelevant and unstructured outline. The examiner is not going to read your essay beyond this point. Basic grammar and structure is being evaluated.

G. Conclusion

Essay

The issue of global warming has been one of the most hotly debated topics of 21st century. It is presented as a major threat to the environment, economy, and human civilization, causing many governments to implement policies aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions. However, there exists a significant counter-narrative, particularly among skeptics who argue that global warming is an "expensive hoax". According to this perspective, climate change is either exaggerated or manipulated for political or financial gain. This essay will critically examine the arguments for and against the idea that global warming is a fabricated crisis, focusing particularly on the economic costs and scientific evidence surrounding the issue.

No clear stance chosen. Does not overview the essay and the outline. No opening statement, no thesis statement.

To begin with, Global Warming refers to the long-term increased

Short introduction. 200-250 words at least.

in Earth's average surface temperature due to the buildup of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. This increase in temperature is primarily driven by human activities, such as burning fossil fuels, deforestation, and industrial processes, which release carbon dioxide (CO₂) and other greenhouse gases. Over the past century, global temperatures have been risen by about 1.2°C, a change that has been linked to a range of environmental and socio-economic disruptions.

Reference?

The overwhelming majority of climate scientists agree that human activity is the primary driver of climate change. This consensus has been supported by multiple scientific studies and international bodies like the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).

The paragraph does not match the respective heading.

No B2 and B3 Discussed.

Despite this, there is a faction of skeptics who argue that the phenomenon of global warming is either exaggerated or fabricated altogether.

This disagrees with the outline. In outline you agree with the statement not with the reality of climate change.

This is the first argument. Wrong connector

In addition to one of the primary claims of global warming skeptics is that the data supporting the idea of climate change is manipulated.

Climate change or global warming?

or flawed. Critics argue that many of the ~~scientific studies on~~ ^{elaborate} climate change are based on inaccurate or ~~incomplete data~~. For instance, some skeptics point to the so-called "Urban heat island effect", which occurs when temperatures in urban areas are higher than in rural areas due to human activity. According to these critics, including data from urban weather stations in climate models leads to ~~artificially high~~ global temperatures ~~readings~~. Concluding sentence?

No argumentation
No analysis.

Tone is contradictory to your chosen stance. No evidence.

Moreover, critics claim that climate scientists are often motivated by political or financial incentives to exaggerate the threat of global warming. They argue that government funding for climate research is often tied to results that align with the prevailing narrative that human activity is causing catastrophic climate change.

How does that prove that climate change is an expensive hoax. It barely proves the hoax aspect of the argument. No relation to economic aspect.

Another common argument used by skeptics is that climate change is a natural phenomenon that has occurred throughout Earth's history, independent of human influence. They point to periods of warming and cooling in the Earth's past, such as the Medieval Warm Period (950-1250 AD)

and the Little Ice Age (1300-1850 AD), to suggest that the current warming trend could be part of a natural cycle rather than the result of human activity. Skeptics argue that the climate has always fluctuated over geological time scales and that the current warming trend is simply another phase in this natural variability, not something caused by human emissions of greenhouse gases.

Again no evidence. And no relation to how it is an expensive hoax.

How is this a second argument when it is a fourth paragraph?

Secondly, skeptics argue that the economic costs of addressing global warming are prohibitively high. Policies such as carbon taxes, cap-and-trade systems, and the push for renewable energy technologies are viewed by critics as both economically disruptive and ineffective. They argue that transitioning away from fossil fuels would result in job losses, higher energy costs, and economic stagnation, particularly in countries dependent on the fossil fuel industry.

Wrong use of the word.

How does that prove that global warming is a hoax? It just proves that mitigating global warming is expensive

For example, skeptics often point to the closure of coal-fired power plants and the loss of jobs in coal

No proper evidence.

mining regions as evidence of the harmful economic effects of climate policies. The Claim that the move toward renewable energy sources like wind and solar is not only costly but also Unreliable due to the intermittent nature of these energy sources.

Thirdly, Critics of global warming also suggest that the alarm surrounding climate change is driven by political agendas. They argue that environmental organizations and governments are using climate change as a pretext to push for more regulation, government control, and redistribution of wealth. This viewpoint posits that global warming is a tool used by elites and international organizations to further their political goals, rather than a legitimate environmental concern.

No argumentation
No analysis. No evidence

No relation to the topic

Further ^{One word.} more, Despite the arguments made by skeptics, the scientific consensus on global warming remains robust. Over 97% ^{Reference??} of climate scientists agree that human activity is a significant driver of global warming. peer-reviewed studies

No proper transition from theses to antitheses

No study quoted
No argumentation

have consistently demonstrated the link between rising levels of CO_2 and global temperatures increases. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), the World Meteorological Organization (WMO), and other leading scientific bodies all support the view that global warming is real and caused by human actions.

No structure

Firstly, Empirical data further strengthens the case for global warming, satellite measurements, temperature records from weather stations, and ocean temperature data all point to a consistent rise in global temperatures since the late 19th century.

No relation to the topic
No coherent argument
No analysis

Additionally, Arctic sea ice is melting at an alarming rate, and glaciers worldwide are retreating. These physical signs of warming are difficult to dismiss as part of natural climate variability.

Secondly, The scientific evidence for human driven global warming is overwhelming. Carbon dioxide levels in the atmosphere have increased sharply since the Industrial Revolution, coinciding with the widespread use of

fossil fuels, studies of ice cores from Antarctica reveal that CO₂ levels are higher than they have been in at least 800,000 years. This increase in greenhouse gases has caused the Earth's atmosphere to retain more heat, leading to the observed rise in global temperatures.

Thirdly, in addition to rising temperatures, global warming is linked to an increase in extreme weather events. Heatwaves, hurricanes, floods, and droughts are becoming more frequent and severe as the planet warms. These changes are already having profound impacts on agriculture, infrastructure, and human health.

Moreover, skeptics argue that addressing global warming is costly, the economic costs of inaction are far higher. Extreme weather events, rising sea levels, and disruptions to agriculture will result in significant economic losses in the coming decades. According to the Stern Review on the Economics of Climate Change (2006), the cost of inaction on climate change could be equivalent to losing up to

No relation to the topic
Does not prove that
Global warming is not an
Expensive hoax.
No reference given for the
Facts mentioned
No structure to the discussion

Not a
proper
paragraph.
No structure

Irrelevant
and
incoherent

20% of global GDP each year. on the other hand, investing in renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies can create new jobs, reduce energy costs in the long run, and decrease dependence on fossil fuels. A transition to a green economy presents a major opportunity for innovation and growth in industries, like solar, wind and electric vehicles.

Irrelevant and incoherent

Although when comparing the costs of addressing climate change to the costs of inaction, the benefits of mitigation become clear. A report by the Global Commission on the Economy and Climate (2018) found that investing in climate action could yield up to \$26 trillion in economic benefits by 2030.

Furthermore, green technologies such as renewable energy sources are becoming increasingly cost-competitive with fossil fuels.

Putting it briefly, the argument that global warming is an "expensive hoax" is not supported by the weight of scientific evidence or economic analysis. The overwhelming majority of

climate Scientists agree that global Warming is a real and human-driven phenomenon that poses significant risks to the planet and human societies. While the economic costs of addressing climate change are real, the costs of inaction would be far greater.

By embracing clean energy technologies and policies aimed at mitigating climate change, we can not only protect the planet but also stimulate economic growth and create new opportunities for future generations. It is crucial that global leaders and individuals take the necessary steps to combat global warming and ensure a sustainable future for all.

No structure to the conclusion. No recap. Does not agree with the taken stance.