

General instructions to be followed to pass essay

1- Spend time on rightly comprehension of the topic, you won't pass the essay unless and until you addressed the asked part

M T W T F S M. NAWAZ - Batch # 75 :Ct

Topic:

Democratic Unrest in Pakistan:

Causes and Consequences

2- Try to make your main heading in the outline from the words in the question statement

Outlines

3- Try to add hook in the introduction. The length of introduction must be of 2 sides

1- Introduction

a- Hook

b- Historical Background

4- your topic sentence in your argument must be aligned with the ending sentence

c- Thesis Statement: Democratic Unrest

in Pakistan arises from a structural

5- Avoid firstly, secondly, thirdly etc. in outline

imbalance of power elite driven
Political Culture, institutional fragility

6- add references in your arguments with proper source. Go for diversification of references

and socio-economic, political, and
institutional, governance, analysis, and
national level vulnerabilities.

7- Do not add new idea or point in Conclusion

Always provide proper

8- You won't pass the essay if make more than 4-5 grammatical mistakes

Body Paragraphs

2- Causes of Democratic Unrest

2.1 - Civil-military imbalance Creates

9- outlines that are not self explanatory or does not aligned with the essay statement are liable to mark 0 and the essay would become null and void

a- Civil-military imbalance

a- When unelected institution hold, Elected
institution loose autonomy

10- always try to be relevant to the topic, if even your 1 or 2 arguments are irrelevant, the examiner would not pass your essay.

b. Result: Political parties fight for alignment with institutions rather than public mandate.

2.2. Weak political parties fails to channel public demand.

a. ~~Personality~~ based, dynastic, and patronage driven parties can't aggregate public interest

b. Result: Political agreement convert into street agitation rather than institutional dialogue.

2.3. Electoral system lack of credibility, ~~Integrity~~ contestation

a. Persistent allegation of rigging, paper manipulation, and post-poll engineering under mine trust.

b. Result: Losing parties reject outcomes which also lead to protest, boycotts.

2.4. Politicized Judiciary fuel Institutional ~~Confrontation~~

a. Perception of selective justice and variable interpretation of constitution.

Result: Court decision become political battleground.

2.5. Governance failure Erodes Democratic Legitimacy

a- State failure to deliver essential service

b- Result: Citizen see democracy is ineffective.

2.6 - Socio-Economic inequality produces Grievance

a- High youth employment, inflation, and class disparities create emotional volatility.

b- Result: Economic anger become political Capital.

2.7 - Media Polarization and digital Misinformation amplify the Conflict

a- Hyper polarized media frames politics as existential struggle.

b- Result: Public attitude become harder, compromise become impossible.

2.8 - Zero-Sum Culture block political Norms.

You haven't understood the topic at all.

M T W T F S

You are providing me the points

a. Parties treat rival as enemies, not competitors

which are related to the absence of democracy or compromised democracy. However, the asked part was about the democratic unrest. Both the terms have a

b. Result: Every political coalition became a confrontation rather than a

huge difference.

constitutional process.

Work on your topic

comprehension

Improve your phrasing

Word selection must be improved

2.9. Constitutional Violation and Weak

Rule of Law Destroy Trust.

a. Frequent deviation from constitutionalism weaken procedural legitimacy.

Result: Citizen and Political actors resort to extra. Constitutional mode of conflict resolution.

3. Consequences of democratic Unrest.

3.1 - Governance paralysis

- a - Policy discontinuity
- b - Weak administrative capacity
- c - Delayed or abandoned

3.2 - Economic instability and investor Flight

- a - Political Crises Scare investors
- b - Rupee instability, inflation
- c - Increased dependency on IMF

3.3 - Social Polarisation and Breakdown of Cohesion

- a - Society divides into hostile camps
- b - Political identities harden
- c - Weaken national unity

3.4 - Weakening Rule of Law

- a - Law applied unevenly
- b - institutions lose public trust
- c - Normalization of extra-constitutional behaviors.

3.5 - Brain drain and youth frustration.

a - Skilled youth seek stability abroad

b - Loss of human Capital

c - Long term development setback.

Recommendations.

- a - Rebalance civil-military relations
- b - Reforms in Judicial system
- c - Professionalize and Democratize political parties.
- d - Establish Political Mechanisms.

Conclusion.

Essay

Introduction: **Never provide headings in your essay.**

"Democracy is not guaranteed by the ballot alone; it survives only when its rules are honoured even by those who temporarily lose power."

The insight captures the central dilemma of Pakistan's political evolution. **Grammar mistake**

Then seven decades, Pakistan has held elections, changed governments, and maintained a vibrant political culture.

The cycle repeats with predictable **Grammar mistake**

violence and protest; governance collapses

Punctuation mistake under pressure, and unexpected centers

of power intervene directly or indirectly. These episodes are not isolated but indicators of a

deeper structural disorder. Pakistan **Grammar mistake**

democracy is not immune from persistent civil-military imbalance, elite-driven, weak institutional

design, socio-economic exclusion, and

a polarized information eco system.

The consequences of such Unrest

are profound: Policy paralysis, Economic fragility, institutional delegitimization, social fragmentation, and national security vulnerabilities. Therefore

democratic unrest not require

single-level political compromises

but a systematic shift toward

supermajority and inclusive governance.

- Causes of Democratic Unrest in Pakistan

1. Institutional Imbalance

The most fundamental cause of democratic

Unrest is the imbalance of democratic

institutions. In ideal democracy, Parliament

make laws, Judiciary interprets them, and

the executive implement them. In Pakistan

These boundaries have repeatedly been

violated. Civil-military tensions have

shaped political outcomes since the

1950s. Often weakening elected institutions.

When power is not clearly defined,

Handwriting should be improved.

Otherwise, the examiner won't

mark your essay.

political actors see extra constitutional avenues as viable tool again influence

This imbalance creates uncertainty.

Government function under the perception of legitimacy. Consequently, the democratic process never becomes stable or predictable.

You are not following the essay pattern.

- Weak Political Parties and Political system Personalization.

Another major cause is structural

weakness of political parties. Most

parties in Pakistan revolve around the personality instead of ideology or

internal democracy. Decision making

remain still centralized and party

workers often rely on patronage

instead of policy based politics.

Because of this personalization political

disagreements rarely stay within democratic

challenge. Instead of negotiating

in parliament, parties rely on protest.

Dharmas, and agitation to show strength. This makes politics confrontational and unstable. Weak parties cannot train leaders. All parties prefer personal interest over national interest.

Disputed Elections and Lack of Electoral Credibility.

Democracy collapses when elections lose credibility. In Pakistan, almost every year election has been disputed.

Losing parties often claim rigging etc. external exaggerated. The damage to public confidence is real.

When opposition refuse to accept election results, government start their term under suspicion. This lead to protest, long marches, and confrontations. Electoral dispute also weaken the commission and judiciary. Because every institution become politicized. A democracy can not

not stabilise if its most essential process remain controversial.

Judicialisation of Politics and Politicisation of Judiciary

Courts plays vital role in democracy.

but their excessive involvement in politics creates instability in Pakistan's politics creates instability in Pakistan's political question often end up in courtrooms, leading to perception of selective justice, contradictory judgement and political interference. When political leaders use courts to target opponents institutions weaken.

Populism and zero-sum political culture.

Pakistan culture is highly polarized.

Parties are often portray rivals as enemies rather competitors. Populist leaders are emotional and anti-elite rhetoric to mobilize supporter.

Polarisation intensifies unrest and erodes democratic maturity.

Socioeconomic inequality and Public Frustration

When unrest appears political on surface, its fuel often comes from economic distress. Pakistan faces inflation, unemployment, poverty and many other issues. Political leaders exploit these grievances during protests creating a mass mobilization. Public frustration became a tool for political bargaining.

7- Media Polarization and Information Disorders.

Pakistan environment media is highly polarized. TV channel, digital media, and Political talk shows presenters bias narratives instead of fact. Social media spread fake news and promote vulgarity.

6. Selective Accountability and weak Rule of Law

Accountability become meaningful only when applied equally. In Pakistan, however, accountability institutions are oftenly appear politically motivated opponents are targeted, while allies are ignored. As a result rule of law loss credibility. Citizen began to view Justice as Political Theatre.

- Youth disillusionment and Crisis of Representation

Pakistan has a massive youth population but young people disconnected from mainstream politics. They see same leaders, same parties, and same failure repeating. Social media further energize youth, turning political disagreements into massive movements. Youth disappointment acts as a spark for democratic unrest.

- Consequences of Democratic Unrest

- Governance paralysis and policy instability.

When politics become confrontational, governance suffers. Parliament becomes ineffective, ministers are distracted and long-term reform agendas collapse.

- Economic instability, Investor Fears, and Financial Crises.

Political instability directly harms the economy. Investors from all over the world fear about their investment due to country political situation. Political crises lead towards country financially crises and destruction.

- Social Polarisation and Erosion of National Unity.

Persistent unrest divides society. Families, workplaces and communities, become politically hostile. This breakdown of social cohesion make national unity fragile.

- Decline in public trust and Rise of Anti Democratic Sentiments.

Every Crisis Weakens faith in democracy. People start believing in democracy cannot deliver stability or prosperity.

- Security Risks and space for extremist groups

When Political institutions are busy fighting with each other the extremist groups exploit the vacuum. History shows

That instability often increasing
terrorism and violence.

Way forward

Clear civil rule

Electoral reforms

internal democracy

Judicial restraint

Economic policies

Nation Charter of democracy.

Conclusion

Democratic unrest in Pakistan is

not accidental; it is structural

institutional imbalance, Weak

Political parties, disputed election

Judicial activism, elite conflict

and Socio-economic frustration

collectively fuel instability.

The consequences - economic decline

governance failure, social polarisation

and security threats endanger

national stability. Pakistan's future depends upon strengthening democracy through institutions, Constitutionalism, dialogue and economic justice. Only systematic reforms can ensure that democratic unrest does not keep returning as a permanent feature of political life.