

General instructions to be followed to pass essay

1- Spend time on rightly comprehension of the topic, you won't pass the essay unless and until you addressed the asked part

2- Try to make your main heading in the outline from the words in the question statement

3- Try to add hook in the introduction. The length of introduction must be of 2 sides

4- your topic sentence in your argument must be aligned with the ending sentence

5- Avoid firstly, secondly, thirdly etc. in outline

6- add references in your arguments with proper source. Go for diversification of references

7- Do not add new idea or point in Conclusion

8- You won't pass the essay if make more than 4-5 grammatical mistakes

9- outlines that are not self explanatory or does not aligned to with the essay statement are liable to mark 0 and the essay would become null and void

10- always try to be relevant to the topic, if even your 1 or 2 arguments are irrelevant, the examiner would not pass your essay.

Democratic Unrest in Pakistan: Causes and Consequences

Outline

1. Introduction

1.1. Thesis Statement: Democratic

unrest in Pakistan is a systemic

issue arising from institutional

injustices, chronic political culture

flaws, and deep electoral vulnerabilities,

which collectively generated substantial

consequences across the nation's

politics, economy, and social fabric.

2. Causes of Democratic Unrest in Pakistan

2.1. Perpetual Civil-Military Imbalance

Case in Point: Three successful military coups in Pakistan.

2.2. Deficits in Political Accountability

Case in Point: The Panama case led to the judicial disqualification of a sitting PM, fueling political instability and accountability debates.

2.3. Failure to Achieve Institutional Consensus

Case in Point: Ayesha Jalal described Pakistani state as one of "contested legitimacy", reflecting blurred institutional lines.

2.4. Flaws in Electoral Integrity

Case in Point: low voter turnout (around 50%) in general elections.

2.5. Entrenched Dynastic Politics

Case in Point: Family-based

You haven't understood the topic at all. You are presenting the

arguments pertinent to the absence of democracy. However,

you are supposed to provide

arguments about the democratic

unrest. Both the terms have a huge difference.

Work on your topic comprehension

Improve your phrasing

Word selection must be improved

2.7. Systemic Policy and Governance Paralysis

Case in Point: Major infrastructure projects remain stalled for decades due to continuous political deadlocks.

3. Consequences of Democratic Unrest in Pakistan

3.1. Severe Economic Volatility

Case in Point: Pakistan has required over 20 IMF programs.

3.2. Erosion of Public Trust

Case in Point: Public erosion of trust lead citizens to view extra-constitutional interventions as acceptable alternatives.

3.3. Policy Inconsistency and Reversal

Case in point: It deters both domestic and foreign investors seeking Predictable regulatory environments.

3.4. Heightened Social and Political Polarization

Case in point: Periods of heightened Political unrest in major cities have historically correlated with upticks in civil disorder and violence.

3.5. Neglect of Human Development

Case in Point: Pakistan consistently ranks low on the UN Human Development Index due to insufficient sustained investment in health and education.

3.6. Weakening of Federal Structures

Case in point: The full spirit of 18th Constitutional Amendment is often constrained by political dead lock.

3.7. Break down of Law and Order

Case in Point: Major political sit-ins have historically paralyzed the capital.

4. Way Forward: A Path to Sustainable Stability

5. Conclusion

The Essay

"Democracy must be something more than two wolves and a sheep voting on what to have for dinner." This powerful quote by James Bovard highlights the persistent challenge of establishing a truly rooted democratic culture in Pakistan. Since its inception, Pakistan's political journey has been characterized by a recurring cycle of political crises and instability, making the consolidation of governance difficult. This 'democratic unrest' is defined as the systemic failure to maintain the continuity of civilian institutions and consistently uphold the rule of law. Factually, no elected Prime Minister in Pakistan has ever completed a full five-

Introduction is not up to the mark,
but your language is good and
fluent, good. Keep it up.

term in office, clearly demonstrating chronic political fragility. Democratic unrest in Pakistan is a systemic issue arising from institutional imbalances, chronic political culture flaws, and deep electoral vulnerabilities, which collectively generate significant, detrimental consequences on nation's governance, economy, and social fabric.

To begin with, the most fundamental cause is the structural and historical power asymmetry between the elected civilian government and security establishment. This dynamic often restricts the functional space of elected leaders, leading to frequent political tensions and crises. The failure of the civilian side to consistently assert legislative and administrative supremacy

The pattern of Argumentation is fine, but unfortunately, you are talking about the absence of democracy, you were supposed to talk about democratic unrest.

Pakistan has experienced three successful military coups and multiple periods of prolonged military influence since its foundation, demonstrating this recurrent institutional friction. This power dynamic fundamentally undermines the stability required for democratic consolidation.

Moreover, democratic process is frequently undermined by widespread reports of political corruption and a significant governance deficit. The failure to enforce robust accountability mechanisms erodes the public's faith in political class. High-profile case of alleged financial mismanagement and illicit enrichment lead to widespread public frustration. The Panama papers case, which resulted in the

Judicial disqualification of a sitting prime Minister, demonstrated the high-stakes political nature of these accountability issues in the country. This fuels the perception that the democratic system is inherently unjust and self-serving.

This is not a topic sentence

Along with that, Democratic Stability requires all pillars of the state — the Executive, Judiciary, and Legislature — to respect each other's constitutional domains. However, there have been recurring instances of institutional overreach, particularly through certain instances of institutional overreach, particularly through ~~ext~~ judicial activism perceived as interfering in executive and policy decisions. Political Scientist Ayeshia Jalal described the Pakistani state as one of "contested legitimacy".

Avoid cutting

Analysis is missing in this paragraph

highlighting this systemic friction and the perpetual struggle over institutional boundaries. This adversarial relationship prevents collaborative governance and creates legal ambiguities that opponents can exploit.

Additionally, the legitimacy of the democratic system is perpetually questioned due to recurring allegations of rigging, malpractices, and result manipulation that follow major elections. This pervasive lack of public confidence encourages political parties to choose disruptive street power and agitation over parliamentary resolution. Voter turnout in Pakistan has historically remained low, averaging around 50% in general elections, which suggests significant public skepticism and apathy regarding the integrity

and effectiveness of the electoral process. This makes the election outcome itself the first point of post-poll unrest.

Also, many of Pakistan's major political parties lack functional internal democracy, operating instead as vehicles for dynastic politics. Leadership transitions often occur within established families rather than through transparent, merit-based internal elections, severely limiting the pool of competent talent. This reliance on personality cults over ideological consistency stifles genuine political competition and discourages the necessary policy innovation required to address complex national issues. This structure perpetuates an elite class disconnected from the grassroots electorate's

Your style of argumentation is fine, but you are not addressing the asked part

needs.

As well as, political unrest is deeply rooted in the extreme economic inequality and severe regional disparities across the country. The huge gap between the rich and poor, compounded by high inflation and youth unemployment, creates a massive population easily mobilized by political actors. World Bank data shows that poverty rates are significantly higher in rural areas (over 40%) compared to urban centers, creating a reservoir of economic disconnection that feeds political instability. When the democratic state fails to deliver justice and economic opportunity, it loses its popular mandate. As per Nelson Mandela, "democracy

is the people, for the people, by the people."

Also, the constant threat of government dissolution or political overthrow forces administrations to adopt short-term, populist measures aimed at political survival rather than engaging in necessary, but unpopular, long-term structural reforms. This lack of continuity prevents effective national development planning. Major, necessary infrastructure projects, such as vital water storage facilities, remain stalled for decades primarily due to chronic political and provincial discord, demonstrating paralysis. This constant policy fluctuation damages both the economy and the credibility of governance.

These causes of democratic unrest in Pakistan trigger the series of consequences. Political instability is the primary inhibitor of economic growth, directly impacting market confidence and leaving to volatility. Crises often trigger rapid currency depreciation and discourage long-term investment.

Pakistan has required over 20 borrowing programs from the International Monetary Fund (IMF), one of the highest numbers globally, underscoring chronic fiscal dependence that is often exacerbated by political crises. This perpetual need for bailouts compromises the nation's economic sovereignty and limits fiscal policy options.

Also, the relentless cycle of corruption scandals, institutional

clashes and failed governance diminishes public's confidence in the ability of democratic institutions to function effectively. This sustained disillusionment is dangerous. This erosion of trust lead citizens to view extra-constitutional interventions, or appeals to non-elected institutions, as viable or even preferable alternatives to democratic processes, further destabilizing the system.

Consequently, short-lived governments are unable to sustain crucial policies across political divides. Policy shifts and reversals are common with every change in government, wasting public funds and making strategic planning impossible. This instability discourages both domestic and foreign

Investors seeking predictable regulatory environments and reliable contract enforcement. No long-term reform can be implemented effectively in this environment.

Moreover, the intense, adversarial nature of Pakistani politics — where parties often treat opponents as enemies — spills over into society, exacerbating existing ethnic, sectarian, and political divisions. This hinders the formation of national consensus. Periods of heightened political unrest in major cities like Karachi have historically correlated with significant uprisings in civil disorder and targeted violence, demonstrating the breakdown of public peace.

As well as, the state's constant expenditure of energy

and resources on political survival and conflict resolution diverts attention away from critical social sectors. Pakistan consistently ranks low on the UN Human Development Index (HDI), partly due to the inability to sustain investment and focus on areas like, health, education, and social welfare. Thus, basic public services suffer when political crises dominate the national agenda.

Additionally, democratic onset often translates into political friction between the federal government and provincial governments, particularly when different political parties control these tiers. This hinders consensus-building. This friction challenges the spirit and effective implementation

of key legislative reforms, such as the devolution of powers and resources provided by the 18th Constitutional Amendment.

Moreover, political agitation frequently manifests as disruptive mass protests, sit-ins, and sometimes violent confrontations.

These events interrupt daily life, commerce, and education. Major political sit-ins have historically paralyzed the capital, diverting security forces and placing undue strain on city administration and commercial activities, it causes measurable economic losses.

This normalization of street power undermines the sanctity of the parliament.

The future of Pakistan's democracy depends on a multi-pronged commitment to

institutional maturity. The foremost step requires all state organs to operate strictly within their constitutional mandates, with the military and judiciary exercising institutional self-restraint and accepting the ultimate supremacy of the elected Parliament. The civilian leadership must reciprocate by ensuring good governance and accountability. A cross-party consensus on comprehensive electoral reforms is ~~not~~ critical to strengthening the Election Commission of Pakistan and ensuring credible results, removing the primary trigger for post-election unrest. Finally, the state must address the roots of discontent by empowering local government systems, thereby building

Popular legitimacy and stability.

To sum up, democratic unrest in Pakistan is a systemic affliction that extracts a devastating toll on governance, economic growth, social cohesion, born from unresolved institutional conflicts and deep socio-economic inequalities. Achieving lasting stability is not about radical change but the faithful and mature application of the existing constitutional framework. This demands sincere commitment from all political actors to dialogue, tolerance, and the unambiguous supremacy of the rule of law. It is this unifying principle that must guide the nation forward, allowing the elected system to fulfill its promise to the citizenry. (Quaid-e-Azam

Muhammad Ali Jinnah stated,
"Democracy is in the blood
of Muslims, who look upon
complete equality of mankind."

This vision of equality is the
only foundation for a stable
democratic Pakistan.