NAME :- ARBAZ KHAN

Batch :- 399

Topic :-

India - Pakistan Water Dispute : An Alarming Issue

OUTLINE :-

1. Introduction
   (a) Significance of water in South Asia
   (b) Importance of addressing it as a regional security and survival issue.
   (c) Thesis statement
2. Historical Background :
   (d) Partition of 1947 and division of rivers
   (e) Initial crisis of canal closures in 1948
   (f) World Bank mediation and signing of Indus Waters Treaty (1960)
3. The Indus Waters Treaty
   (g) Division of eastern and western rivers
   (h) Rights and limitations for both sides
   (i) Permanent Indus Commission as conflict resolution mechanism
   (j) Survival of the treaty despite wars

(4) Points of Contention

(k) India's dam and hydroelectric projects (Baglihar, Kishanganga).

(l) Pakistan's perception of violations and fears of "water weaponization."

(m) Seasonal shortages and rising demands.

(n) Climate change and melting glaciers as new stress factors.

(5) Geopolitical Dimensions

(o) Water linked with Kashmir Dispute

(p) Involvement of International actors: World Bank arbitration, China's projects.

(6) Human and Enviornmental Impacts

(q) Agriculture dependence of Pakistan on Indus System

(r) Case of farmers facing crop losses, desertification, water logging.

(7) Possible Solutions

(s) Updating the treaty with climate provisions and ground-water rules.

(t) Joint river basin management and flood control mechanism

(u) Technology sharing for water efficiency

(w) Building Trust Through regional cooperation platforms.

(8) The alarming Future if Unresolved

(x) Risk of water scarcity turning into water wars.

(y) Food insecurity and mass migration

(z) Threat to regional and global peace due to nuclear dimension.

(9) Conclusion

Water is the foundation of life, and nowhere is its significance more acute than in South Asia, where dense population and agrarian economies depend on river systems for survival. Among these, the Indus basin holds special importance, as it sustains hundred of millions of people in both India and Pakistan. Yet, instead of serving as unifying lifeline, the Indus has become a source of mistrust and discord. Since the partition of 1947, India and Pakistan have remained locked in a protracted water dispute that has resisted resolution despite international

mediation and treaty communities and commitments. This issue, rooted in historical injustices, aggravated by political hostilities, and compounded by climate change, has grown into an alarming threat with far-reaching implications for food security, human survival, and regional peace. Unless both states recognize their shared vulnerability and commit to cooperative management, the rivers of life may tragically transform into rivers of conflict. The India-Pakistan water dispute, rooted in history and aggravated by mistrust, political hostilities, and climate stress, poses an alarming threat to regional stability, food security, and human survival, and can only be resolved through cooperative management, treaty reform, and mutual trust building.

The origins of the dispute can be traced back to the Partition of British India in 1947. When the subcontinent was divided, its rivers, canals, and headworks were also split in a manner that sowed (sowed) the seeds of discord. Punjab, the fertile heartland, was divided between India and Pakistan, but the headworks controlling the flow of water remained largely on the Indian side. This geographical arrangement was exposed in April 1948, when India temporarily suspended supplies to Pakistan from Ferozepur headworks. Recognizing the gravity of the situation, the world Bank intervened and mediated negotiations, culminating in the signing of the Indus waters Treaty in 1960.

The Indus Waters Treaty was a landmark agreement that divided the six rivers of the Indus basis into eastern and western categories. The three eastern rivers – Ravi, Beas, and

Sutlej — were allocated exclusively to India, while the three western rivers — Indus, Jhelum, and Chenab — were assigned to Pakistan. However, the treaty granted India limited rights to use the western rivers for non-consumptive purpose such as hydropower generation, irrigation of specific areas, and navigation. To oversees implementation and resolve technical differences, the Permanent Indus commission was created, consisting of representatives from both sides. Despite three wars and numerous military confrontations, the treaty endured, leading many observers to hail it as one of the world's most successful transboundary water agreements. Yet, while it provided a framework, it could not eliminate disputes.

The disputes that emerged stemmed largely from India's construction of dams and hydroelectric projects on the western rivers. Pakistan consistently raised objections to project such as the

Baglihar Dam on the Chenab and the Kishanganga Project on the Jhelum. Pakistan argued that these projects violated treaty provisions by reducing downstream flows, while India defended them as permissible under the treaty's technical clauses. The technical debate, however, masked deeper political anxieties which feared Pakistan that India, as the upstream state, possessed the ability to manipulate flows during critical crop seasons. These fears were further heightened whenever bilateral relations deteriorated, with Pakistani leaders warning of India weaponizing water, and Indian leaders hinting at reviewing the treaty after terrorist attacks. Thus, the hydrological project was inseparably tied the political mistrust.

Beyond treaty interpretation, structural challanges compounded tensions. Both countries face growing populations and expanding agricultural and

industrial demands, which intensify the competition over limited water resources. Seasonal variability exacerbates the problem: rivers are swollen during monsoon months but shrink drastically during lean seasons. Pakistan, heavily dependent on the Indus system for nearly ninety percent of its irrigation, feels particularly vulnerable. Climate change introduces an even more alarming dimension, as Himalayan glaciers often described as the "Third Pole" are melting at unprecedented rates. This has created unpredictable patterns which triggers sudden floods in one season and devastating droughts in another. Both states thus find themselves trapped in a cycle of scarcity and suspension.

The water dispute also carries clear geopolitical tension. Most of the Indus headwaters originate in the contested region of Jammu and Kashmir, which has long been the epicenter of Indo-Pakistan rivalry.

International actors also play roles : The World bank has been called upon to arbitrate technical disputes, while China's involvement in upper Indus Projects in Tibet and Gilgit-Baltistan adds another layer of complexity. The geopolitics of water in South Asia, therefore, cannot be separated from the broader matrix of rivalry, alliances, and mistrust.

Yet, behind the high politics lies the human dimension of the crisis. Farmers in Pakistan's Sindh and Punjab Provinces increasingly complain of reduced canal supplies, soil salinity, and desertification. Many families, once reliant on wheat and cotton farming, are abandoning their ancestral lands as yields plummet. On the Indian side, farmers in Jammu and Kashmir often express frustration that despite India's legal rights under the treaty, they remain deprived of adequate irrigation

infrastructure. Thus, ordinary citizens on both sides feel betrayed by the politicization of water. Desertification in Pakistan and recurring floods in both countries point to an environmental crisis with serious humanitarian consequences.

Possible solutions to this alarming issue must therefore be both technical and political. First, the treaty needs to be updated to incorporate climate change realities, ground water management, and modern technologies. Second, both states must move beyond zero-sum perceptions and adopt joint river basin management, which would enable coordinated flood control, ecological preservation, and efficient use of resources. Third, sharing of water-efficient technologies, such as drip irrigation, could be reduce wastage and ease pressure on river flows. Fourth, dispute resolution mechanisms

must be strengthened to avoid protracted legal battles. Finally, regional cooperation frameworks, including SAARC, should be revitalized to address water as a shared regional concern rather than a bilateral quarrel. Only through such measures can water become a bridge of cooperation rather than a trigger of conflict.

However, if the dispute remains unresolved, the consequences could be catastrophic. Analysts warn of the possibility of water wars, and while outright military conflict over water remains unlikely, scarcity can aggravate existing tensions, pushing the region towards instability. Food security is an imminent threat and Pakistan's dependence on the Indus for its agriculture makes it highly vulnerable, while India, too, cannot afford discontent among its farming populations. Given the nuclear capabilities of both states, water insecurity is not only a regional issue but

a global security concern.

In a nut shell, the India – Pakistan water dispute is an alarming issue that demands urgent attention. It is not merely about dams and canals; it is about human survival, food security, and regional peace. The Indus Waters Treaty, though a milestone in its time, no longer suffices in the face of new challenges. Both states must recongnize that water is too vital a resource to be politicized or weaponized. Instead, they must rediscover the spirit of cooperation that once enabled them to sign the treaty, and build upon it with updated provisions, joint mangement, and mutual trust. The rivers of the Indus should serves as a bridge of peace, not a frontier of hostility.