

# General instructions to be followed to pass essay

1

## Essay

1- Spend time on rightly comprehension of the topic, you won't pass the essay unless and until you addressed the asked part

~~Topic: Benevolent Dictatorship is Preferable to a Corrupt Democracy.~~

2- Try to make your main heading in the outline from the words in the question statement

A. Introduction

3- Try to add hook in the introduction. The length of introduction must be of 2 sides

~~is preferable to a benevolent~~

4- your topic sentence in your argument must be aligned with the ending sentence

B. Body

heading

5- Avoid firstly, secondly, thirdly etc. in outline

a. Definition of a democracy

6- add references in your arguments with

proper source. Go for diversification of references

~~that a corrupt democracy is~~

~~preferable to a benevolent.~~

7- characteristics of corrupt in Conclusion

~~rather than corrupt democracy~~

8- You won't pass the essay if make more than 4-5 grammatical mistakes

~~participate.~~

9- outlines that are not self explanatory or

~~does not aligned to with the essay statement~~

~~are liable to mark 0 and the essay would become null and void~~

c. ~~Democracy advocates for rule of law, justice and freedom.~~

d. ~~In contemporary era, democracy is considered rationally a sound system.~~

e. ~~A democratic system can't be fully corrupt.~~

f. ~~Democracy ensures the participation of all rather the few.~~

3. Proposed arguments which support that a benevolent dictatorship is preferable to a corrupt democracy.

**Specify it**

a. ~~A strong-leadership in a benevolent dictatorship can lead a country to a fast prosperity. (Effective system)~~

b. ~~Dictatorship is more transparent system than democracy.~~

c. ~~Fewer in rule, in dictatorship can lead to nation-wide prosperity.~~

d. ~~History is fit tells that dictators have ruled the world.~~

4. Proposed arguments which support state that the proposed arguments against democracy are not feasible:

- d. Democratic system is ~~decision-making~~ though slow but it never undermines the majority.
- b. It may face corruption but it can be challenged in democracy, not in a dictatorship.
- c. Modern time doesn't welcome dictatorship because of its tyranny.
- d. Majority rule, majority participate and majority contribute in nation-building

You haven't understood the topic at all.

### C. Conclusion.

You are supposed to talk about the corrupt democracy rather than the characteristics of democracy. Besides, you haven't done the comparison between benevolent dictatorship and corrupt democracy.

Moreover, rebuttals should also be in way which supports your actual stance.

Human-beings have been living on earth for thousands of years. They have experienced different ~~various~~ <sup>It's political system</sup> kinds of government system. From ancient Rome to a modern state-nation system. Human-beings have

~~Sentence structure mistake~~ also witnessed the blessings and curse of all types of government. Among those systems, dictatorship and democracy

are two different and opposite systems. Human-beings have experienced these two also,

It however, both carries pros and cons in the systems, but democracy has more advantages

in modern era for the mass of people than a dictatorship.

Every system possesses shortcomings; a transparent and thoroughly benevolent dictatorship cannot be found in books of history and a pure and

## Must work on your language and introduction 5

crystal democracy also cannot be witnessed in the world. Comparing a benevolent dictatorship and a corrupt democracy, a corrupt democracy is more preferable to a benevolent dictatorship. In democracy, it ensures inclusion of mass, rule of law, freedom and accountability.

Democracy and dictatorship are two different forms of governments. Democracy is a system where elected members in houses represent masses, make legislation for them and contribute their parts for the country. On the other hand, dictatorship is a system where an absolute power remains in hand of one dictator. A single ruler decides the destiny of a country and

6  
people. The single ruler suppresses all opposition at any cost to remain the head of the state.

In contrast, democracy encourages all citizens to freely and fairly participate to decide about their fate. Their elected members also can be brought under law, if they found him/him guilty. In short, democracy and dictatorship are as opposite systems to each other as day and night.

Democracy is a system which ensures the inclusion of all citizens. As Abraham Lincoln has quoted that government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth. It explicitly shows the significance of a democratic system where all citizens try their level

best to decide the future of a state by participation. Democracy encourages the participation of all people. The inclusion of people is meant in all levels; tehsil level, district level, provincial and nation level. This inclusion is also meant that people from different classes can participate equally. In last, democracy is the only system which allows the participation of all citizens.

**No argumentation**  
 Democracy In democracy, people live their lives under those laws which their elected members have made for them. The real beauty of democracy lies here. The best policy is that when the policy makers listen to the effectees. Elizabeth Dole.

## Work on your argumentation

This is the reason that democracy is symbolized a more secure system ~~where accountability is a key feature in~~. People are found more satisfied in such system than a monarchic system where are treated exclusively in decision making. To be precise, democracy allows people to live the lifestyle according to their will.

Democracy, similarly, without any discrimination advocates for rule of law, justice and freedom. It treats every citizen equally. On other hand, a dictator is always above the law. No one can challenge his/her power. On the In contrast, ~~democracy~~ treats every citizen fairly. A system where from

prime minister to a common folk, everyone is accountable before the law, that is why rule of law remains supreme. In conclusion, democracy can be said the system of rule of law with no discrimination.

Modern era is the era of democracy. Most of the countries in the world specially the latest, follow democratic system. It shows its feasibility. If the system were less effective than a dictatorship, the democracy would not be globally known. In fact it is not only prominently known but also applicable. It is the very sound system and form of government for the interest of masses.

rather than few people. It can be stated that democracy is more rationally feasible system than a dictatorship.

Moreover, democracy may not be found thoroughly pure form of government around the world, however, it is the only contemporary form of government with the least defects than a dictatorship. Corruption can be everywhere in various forms of democracies in the world but, it possesses a counted pillar of accountability also where the responsible ones will be stood before the law to answer. On other hand, in dictatorship, corruption may less or more, but none dare to challenge the monarch.

11  
because of his/her absolute power to last, democracy is more transparent system where other anti-democratic factors be challenged and it shows the less possibility of unstoppable /unaccountable corruption.

The following paragraphs will support a benevolent dictatorship, preferable to a corrupt democracy.

A strong leadership is key to effectiveness of a government in dictatorship. In dictatorship, decisions ~~can~~ be taken fast without any delay. As it is said, "Justice delayed is ~~not~~ justice denied." In this form of government every decision is taken fast which help the government to serve the people faster than

12

democracy, a strong leadership is key to the prosperity of all people of a country. Under his/her absolute power, the delays will be ~~not~~ reduced because of little complexity of a system. On other hand, in democracy a single decision-making process sometimes take years which leads the country vulnerable. In short, it can be stated that a strong leadership leads to an effectiveness of a system which ensures the service faster in dictatorship than democracy.

In democracy, a single Dictatorship is more transparent than democracy. The whole system lies in the hand of one person and his/her selected ones.

There is less possibility of corruption, however, in the democracy, majority of the people participate with different interests who lack ~~at~~ the motivation to remain transparent for the good of all. It is observed that democratic states <sup>more</sup> suffer ~~more~~ from corruption than dictators' ones.

In dictatorship, fewer rule which ultimately leads prosperity of all. When power is divided in the hands of fewer rulers, there will be less ~~complexity~~ complexity when it is divided among many; democracy. History ~~has~~ is also the witness the prosperous eras were the eras of dictators when his/her fewer

dictators would decide for the people. In contrary, democracy has been badly criticized for its inefficiency and red-tapism (bureaucratic complexities). In short, dictatorship has always been a pro-service form of government for people rather than democracy.

The following arguments will state that above arguments are less rational and are no more feasible in modern time.

Democratic <sup>decision-making</sup> system is though slow but it never undermines nor suppresses the majority. Democracy provides a framework for every kind of activity to perform. It comes along

with a proper system. It takes time to circulate anything i.e. bills for decision-making to attain the consent of majority. It is though time-consuming but it will not exclude the participation of citizens whose future is being discussed. It never allows one person to officially commit any outlawed activity. It is the charm of a democracy which is with inclusion of all class rather than a dictatorship.

Democratic form of governments may be corrupt partially but it can be challenged, however, in dictatorship, there exists one-man show. Democracy is the real form of government which keeps and treats

all citizens equally. If anyone ~~is~~ ~~press~~ & commits a crime, or does ~~an~~ corruption, he / she will be accountable. In dictatorship the fewest with power and authority enjoy the power, however, the public suffers.

In democracy, majority of the people rule, participate and contribute in nation-building. In contrast, the dictators <sup>only</sup> rule, participate and contribute ~~in~~ <sup>to decide the</sup> future of a state. There is no such divine power or decree given to anybody to be the ~~suppressor~~ of millions of people just to keep and maintain the power. In last, democracy is ~~the government of masses~~ for ~~the~~ masses, however,

dictatorship is the government of a monarch over masses.

To make a long story short, democracy has been far better than a dictatorship. Human-beings have experienced various forms of governments. By the comparison of above mentioned two forms of government, democracy blesses people with rule of law, accountability, free & fair elections, freedom and justice, however, dictatorship bless the people effective service, to more transparency and less complexities of a system that hinder the development of a country. Above all these, modern era is the perfect example of feasibility, stability and its applicability to serve the majority of people and masses of the country. It can undoubtedly be stated that a corrupt democracy

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

18

Day: \_\_\_\_\_

Is more preferable to a  
a benevolent dictatorship.

The End.

## Brain-storming

Benevolent dictatorship  
is preferable to a corrupt  
democracy

	Dictatorship	Democracy
①	Rule of a dictator	Rule of Law, Justice
②	Cruel system	A complete system can't be
③	Exclusion	Inclusion
④	Rationally unacceptable	Rationally acceptable
⑤	Participation of few	Participation of all
⑥	Few beneficiaries; Selected ones only	Majority of them
⑦	Dictator is the law	Majority is authority
⑧	Non-acceptable in modern era; an isolated state	Acceptable; social state

### Pro of Demo

- i) slow but with consent of majority
- ii) Majority is served rather than being

marginalized like in

- iii) Best feasible for all

### Cons of democracy

- i) Slow system;
- ii) Minorities are <sup>Marginalized</sup> neglected
- iii) Least efficient
- iv) Transparency.