

Your language is fine, but unfortunately, you have not understood the topic.

Outline

* Work on your punctuation

* Work on your comprehension failure.

* Your analysis is missing in your arguments.

* You are just providing the information.

* Use simple vocabulary and avoid high frequency words. You are implicitly talking about states' failure not nations failure.

Must attend the tutorial session for further suggestions and mistakes

1. Historical context:

2.1: Brief overview of the nations that have failed

- Ancient Rome, Zimbabwe, Venezuela

Venezuela

3. Institutional framework

3.1: Role of institutions in a nation's success or failure

3.2: Emergence of inclusive and extractive institutions and impact on nation

4. Economic factors

4.1: Economic policies and their impacts

4.2: Corruption and resource distribution
Examples: No Resource

rich countries, like Nigeria

5. Political factors

5.1: The influence of political power structures

5.2: Authoritarian regimes v.s. Democracies

Example: North Korea vs South Korea

6. Social factors

6.1: Education, social capital and civic engagement

6.2: Ethnic divisions and social cohesion

Example: Rwanda before and after the genocide

7. Globalization and external affairs

7.1: Impact of globalization on national success

7.2: Trade relations and foreign aid

Example: Role of IMF and World Bank

8. Conclusion:

You are providing the factors which can be associated with the state or government not with the nation.

You haven't understood the topic.

Why Nations Fail?

The concept of national failure is complex and multifaceted, encapsulating the socioeconomic and political dimensions that determine a nation's trajectory. Understanding why nations fail is crucial for policy makers, and citizens alike as it sheds light on the underlying mechanisms that lead to poverty, conflict and instability. A failed nation includes government incapable of tax collection, law enforcement, security assurance, territorial control, and infrastructure maintenance. Nations fail primarily due to the interplay of extractive institutions that concentrate power and wealth in the hands of a few, hinder economic growth, and limit social mobility, ultimately leading to political instability and social fragmentation.

Throughout history, various nations have experienced decline or outright failure. Ancient Rome stands as a prime example. Once

a beacon of civilization. Rome's decline was precipitated by a combination of internal strife, economic mismanagement and corruption. Resistance to change brings death either they are people or nations. Similarly, Roman empire's inability to change its circumstances, along with its reliance on a dwindling supply of slave labor, led to economic stagnation and social unrest.

Not clear

This is a state failure rather than a nation's failure

In more contemporary contexts, Zimbabwe and Venezuela provide stark examples of nations that have descended into chaos despite possessing significant natural resources. Zimbabwe, once the breadbasket of Africa, saw its economy collapse under the mismanagement and authoritarian rule of Robert Mugabe. Hyperinflation, land seizures, and widespread corruption turned a prosperous nation into one plagued by poverty and food insecurity. Similarly, Venezuela, endowed with the world's largest oil reserves, has faced catastrophic economic decline due to corruption, poor

governance and reliance on oil revenues.

Grammatically, it's fine but otherwise, it's not an argument

A foundational aspect of understanding national failure lies in examining institutions - the formal and "informal" rules that govern a society. Acemoglu and Robinson, in their influential work "Why Nations Fail" differentiate between inclusive and extractive institutions, promote broad participation in economic activities and political processes, whereas extractive institutions concentrate power and wealth in the hands of few.

This essay is not supposed to be attempted with respect to that book

Acemoglu and Robinson argue, "extractive institutions are designed to extract resources from the many to benefit a few."

This is evident in countries where elites manipulate political power to protect their interests, thereby stifling economic growth and innovation. For example in many resource-rich nations, wealth generated from natural resources does not benefit the broader population. Instead it often leads to corruption

and conflict, as various factions vie for control over these lucrative assets. Evidently, nations like Somalia and North Korea governed by extractive institutions, experience stagnation and decline.

Economic policies and the structures of economies significantly influence nations' fate. The economic landscape is shaped by the type of governance in place. Nations that adopt sound economic policies promote entrepreneurship and invest in education and infrastructure tend to prosper. Conversely, those plagued by corruption, mismanagement, and poor policy choices often find themselves in dire straits.

The concept of "resource curse" is particularly relevant here. Many countries rich in natural resources experience economic hardship rather than growth. Nigeria for example has abundant oil reserves yet suffers from widespread poverty and violence. Corruption surrounding

Where is your argument? You are just providing the information

oil revenues has led to lack of investment in essential services such as education and health care. The misallocation of resources hinders economic development and perpetuates cycle of poverty. Moreover, economic inequality plays significant role in national failure. When the rich get richer, the poor get restless. The middle class which drives innovation and economic growth is weakened, leading to stagnant economy. In contrast nations with more equitable wealth distribution, such as Denmark and Finland, tend to enjoy higher levels of social stability and economic resilience.

Political structures and governance models are paramount in shaping a nation's trajectory. Authoritarian regimes, characterized by central control and repression, often stifle innovation, curtail freedom and hinder economic growth. In contrast democratic nations that embrace pluralism, accountability

and the rule of law generally experience greater prosperity and stability. The contrast between North and South Korea exemplifies the impact of political systems on national outcomes. North Korea's authoritarian regime has resulted in widespread poverty, oppression, and isolation from global economy. In stark contrast South Korea has embraced democratic governance and open markets, emerging as a global economic powerhouse. The contrast in these two nations highlight how political choices can significantly influence a nation's fate.

Moreover, political instability, whether through coups, civil wars, or persistent corruption, can lead to national failure. Countries like Syria and Libya illustrate how political turmoil can unravel the social fabric of nations leading to violence, displacement and economic collapse. In these contexts, the lack of legitimate and effective

governance creates a vacuum that can be filled by extremist groups, further exacerbating national failure.

Social cohesion and the level of civic engagement are also critical components in determining a nation's success. Societies characterized by high levels of trust, social capital and educational attainment are generally more resilient. In contrast nations paralyzed by ethnic divisions, social fragmentation and low level of education often struggle to maintain stability. Taking the example of Pakistan fits the situation best. Pakistan nowadays is experiencing social fragmentation especially in Balochistan. It is also witnessing ethnic divide of Baloch and Punjabis, Pakhtoon and Baloch, or Sindhi Punjabi divide. Religious extremism has encroached Quaid's secular nation into its extremist web. Similarly, Pakistan lags behind ^{other developed nations} in education. Therefore, it is on 136th rank in prosperity index, which demonstrates its backward

in major sectors.

The Rwandan genocide of 1994 serves as a tragic example of social disintegration. Deep seated ethnic tensions, exacerbated by political manipulation, culminated in one of the most devastating genocides in history. In the aftermath, Rwanda has made significant strides in rebuilding national unity and fostering social cohesion. The experience underscores the importance of addressing social divisions and promoting inclusivity to prevent national failure.

Education plays a pivotal role in shaping societal resilience. Nations that invest in education tend to experience higher levels of innovation and economic growth. Conversely, countries that neglect education risk perpetuating cycles of poverty and stagnation. Inadequate educational systems can lead to a lack of skilled labour, hindering economic development and contributing to social unrest.

The impact of globalization

on nations destiny can not be over^{red}looked. While globalization presents opportunities for growth through increased trade and investment, it also exposes nations to external shocks. Countries that fail to adapt to the global economy may find themselves struggling to compete, leading to economic decline.

International financial institutions such as the IMF and World Bank play a significant role in shaping national economies. Although these organizations aim to stabilize economies, their policies can sometimes exacerbate problems. For instance, structural adjustment programs implemented in many African nations in the late 20th century often led to increased poverty and social unrest, as public spending on essential services were cut to meet external debt obligations.

Moreover, the role of foreign aid is contentious. While aid can provide critical support during crises, it can also create dependency and undermine local governance structures. In some cases, aid flows have been siphoned off by corrupt

officials, failing to reach those in need. A 2013 study by the Institute for Justice and Democracy in Haiti highlighted that much of the aid contributed to a lack of accountability and transparency, further weakening local governance. However, Haiti received significant aid since the devastating earthquake in 2010. Despite billions of aid, country still struggles with poverty, infrastructure issues and political instability.

In conclusion, the failure of a nation is a complex phenomenon rooted in the interplay of extensive institutions, economic mismanagement, political repression, social fragments and global dynamics. Understanding these factors is essential for addressing the root causes of national failure and devising strategies for recovery and growth. Inclusive institutions that empower citizens, promote equitable economic policies, and enhance social cohesion are critical steps towards ensuring that nation not

only survive but thrive. Addressing these challenges faced by nations in the way of their success, is not merely a national concern but a global imperative for sustainable development and peace. Only through a concerted effort to foster inclusive governance, invest in education, and build resilient societies can overcome the challenges of national failure and create a more prosperous world for all.