

Great nations win without fighting

Introduction:

Hook

Background knowledge

Thesis statement:

Nations who believe on resolutions through negotiations in today's modern world, can prevent the ruthless destruction, loss of innocent lives, loss to economy, environmental degradation and long lasting enmity.

Main Body:

Nations who won through wars:

- Humanitarian loss; Bloodshed of innocent people.
 - (Ruthless killings of children, women and elders).
 - (Chronic diseases to generations).
- Environmental degradation
 - (No greenery for years).
 - (Extinction of several species and habitats).
 - (Climate change: Acid rain)

→ Destruction of infrastructure.

- (No schools - No hospitals - Destroyed Bridges and roads).

→ Loss of Economy.

- (Maximum percentage of economy on defense)
- (Restoration cost)

Nations who win without fighting

→ Peaceful negotiations

- (Hudaybiyah - Mecca conquest - China - Pak border conflict)

→ Ideological win

- (Nelson Mandela - Prophet Muhammad)

→ Flourishing humanity; No bloodshed

- (Stable lives and environment)

→ Stable institutions

- (Economy of budget on other sectors)

→ Long lasting harmony.

- (Friendly relations)
- (Tourism and economic relationship)
- (Free trade).

The Essay

In today's multipolar world, superpower countries are spending trillion of dollars on its defense sector to maintain their hegemonic role. On the other hand international poverty line is increasing to more than 12% of global population (World Bank). In past, we saw nations sorting out their conflicts through military power to show their aggressive image and strategy to the world. Later, those aggressive behaviors left long lasting scars on humanity and endless enmity between generations. On the other hand, world also witnessed some of those moderate nations who set their examples of converting the expected enmity into ever friendly relations. Nations proved that disputes can be resolved through table talks without harming to anyone. Nations who believe on solving their disputes through negotiations in today's world of warfare, can prevent the ruthless destruction of environment, loss of innocent lives, loss of economy and long lasting enmity. So, in order to preserve the

beauty of world, nations must sit together for peaceful options of their conflicts and make world beautiful and flourishing for everyone.

(170 words)

The world war I left scars of cruelty and bitter lessons behind for the world. When America first time dropped nuclear bombs on Japan, it shakened the whole region and resulted in the deaths of many innocent lives. The post war impacts were even more devastating when there was no greenery for years, birth of children with missing body parts and more of it were those haunted memories of war. It spread a message to the powerful nations that its not always win or lose but pushing one side centuries beyond with infinite humanitarian loss.

"War is never a solution of any dispute", an ideology followed by great nations with concerns for the humanity. When there can

be so many better options to resolve issues then why to imbalance the generations. We can have insight into so many incidents where a predicted/expected war turned into peaceful negotiations. In context of religion Islam, the prophet Muhammad (S.A.W) contributions on resolving the tribal conflicts of decades in Madina by establishing a treaty, (Misag e Madina) ~~set~~ established an exemplary madina state. Same contributions were acknowledged at the time of fixing black stone. (Hajra e Aswad) Through prophet's contribution, it led to the extinction of longlasting conflicts without having wars between them. Another exemplary negotiations were seen/observed between China - Pakistan border dispute. Although emerging states were opposite in their ideology (communism - capitalism) but still to manage peaceful talks between them despite of letting it an unresolved issue. From above examples the notion "Great nations win without fighting" can be justified.

Peaceful negotiations are savior of humanity. Unlike large scale wars or time to time attacks interventions with horror impacts of genocides and bloodshedding peaceful negotiations can prevent large scale killings of innocent people. The devastating impacts on humanity were seen in Israel-ghaza war where an average of 50 people die perday (Ministry of health, Ghaza). The war of ideology (Greate Israel) has ~~letting~~ killed more than 50k of civilians including 20,000 children. Many of them are dying because of starvation. The unnecessary war has displaced thousands of families. If the same dispute between Israel and Hammas was tried to resolve by establishing a boundary wall by international bodies, the results could have different and far more better. The genocide of palestinian people and killings of Israelis would have never happened and the displaced families might be living happy with their lost

loved ones. The children sleeping
in graves might be playing in
their backyards. So, nations who
are concerned with the well being
of humanity never think war as
an option to solve their conflicts
rather they take human-rational
discussions.