

Mock #02

Name: SONIA ATTA

Date: 04/June/2024

"The road to hell is paved with good intentions"

Brainwashing

meaning: ① actions undertaken with good intentions can lead to negative outcomes

② a good progress in life may leads to negative consequences.

Example from history.

① Individual, community, regional, International level:

(-) partition of subcontinent (India) 1947 (human)

- League of nations after WW-I

- The US invasion of Iraq aimed to eliminate weapons of mass destruction and promote democracy but it results

in significant loss of life, and regional inst

- community:

Hijab compulsory in Iran that led to protests (Saudi Arabia vision 2030)

(Benazir Bhutto — returning to Pakistan but soon she was assassinate.

- Malala Yousafzai
activist for girls

education → political backlash

PI attempt it at the end of essay

intentions

Outline:

1.1 Introduction

The saying "the road to hell is paved with good intentions" means that even well-meaning actions can lead to bad outcomes. Good intentions sometimes can lead to difficult consequences, which highlights the need for careful planning and understanding when trying to make a change.

1.1.2 Thesis Statement:

"Hell is full of good wishes or desires"

1.2 A good progress in life may lead to negative results

1.2.1 ~~evidences on international level that prove the statement~~

(i) partition of sub-continent - 1947 and its serious conflicts

(ii) The formation of league of nations and its failure that lead to WW II To restrict

(iii) Invasion of US That caused Afghanistan that leads ~~regional instability and terrorism~~

1.2.2 examples from community level that support the statement

(i) Compulsory of Hijeab law in Iran led to widespread protests

(i) The polio vaccination campaign in Pakistan and the rise of conspiracy theories in some communities.

(ii) Mao Zedong cultural revolution in China

1.2.3 Evidences from National level

(i) Ayub Khan's family law ordinance 1961

(ii) The Benazir Income support program

(iii) Saudi reforms under vision (2030)

1.2.4 Evidences from Individual level

Supporting the meaning of proverb

(i) Benazir Bhutto's return to Pakistan and her assassination

(ii) Malala Yousafzai on girls education

(iii) Imran Khan political struggle

1.3 How road to hell instead of good intentions can be countered?

1.3.1 Through proper understanding, research and analysis on the concept

1.3.2 By adopting steps like community engagement in decision making

1.3.3 To work with clear objectives

1.3.4 By ensuring transparency and accountability

1.4 Critical Analysis
Conclusion

The Essay

The statement means that sometimes the actions taken with good intentions can lead to negative outcomes. During WW-II the United States relocated hundreds of Japanese Americans to internment camps after Pearl Harbor attack. People being born in the United States and being loyal citizens were forcibly relocated to internment camp. Many families were uprooted from their homes and businesses and placed in remote and hard conditions. The internment camps, though formed with the good intention of national security, were a violation of the civil rights and caused lasting trauma. This shows how even in times of war, the road to hell can be paved with good intentions, as the national security led to the widespread violation of rights and dignity of entire community. Therefore, this essay would prove the veracity of the statement by the examples of individuals, on national and community, international level.

On the International level, first come the the great, biggest partition of India (1947). The partition plan was implemented under the rule of Britishers to create separate homelands for Hindus and Muslims. The aim and good intention was to provide separate states so that political, religious, ethnic and socio-cultural conflicts can be

controlled. As a result the partition of sub-continent resulted in one of the largest mass migrations in history. This led to a widespread communal violence, killing and bloodshed. Especially women suffered greatly through abduction, and rape cases. This impact badly on the social fabric of both nations leading to regional tensions and mistrust. Similarly, ~~during~~

after WW-I, the League of Nations was established through collective diplomatic efforts. The objectives of the forum were to promote peace and prevent future conflicts but unfortunately, the League failed to prevent world powers. Due to its failure WW-II came into exist that leads to huge bloodshed and community demolishing such as Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombing.

Moreover with the similar positive intentions and objectives United States invaded Afghanistan to eliminate terrorism and promote regional stability, however the invasion led rise of other non-state actors within Afghanistan and neighbouring states i.e (TTP) in Pakistan as well.

Second comes on community level where world's communities tried to bring state friendly resolutions or laws that leads nation-wide conflicts. Such examples include the Iranian compulsory Hijab law. The law was intended to enforce Islamic dress codes and promote modesty among women but resultantly the

The enforcement has led to widespread protests, and social unrest among women who view it as a violation of their personal freedoms. It has also resulted in significant legal and physical punishments for those who resist the dress code. The similar case is seen in 2022 when a 22 year girl faced to death on violating the hijab rules.

Moreover, the international polio vaccination campaigns in Pakistani communities faced more reliable setbacks.

The intention is to eradicate the disease through proper vaccination in early ages. But some backward communities believe conspiracy theories that the vaccines are harmful. This leads to resistance and low vaccination rates, risking the spread of polio and hindering efforts to protect public health.

Third comes on national level where different nations worldwide proposed laws for community and national interest, which resultantly face failures. For example During Aftab Khan's era, family law ordinance was introduced in Pakistan to reform and improve family laws especially women's rights in marriage, divorce and inheritance. While the law introduced progressive changes, yet it faced strong opposition from conservative and religious groups. This shows that how good intentions can lead legal and social pushback that limits the

effectiveness. The same scenario is seen in the Benazir Income Support program (2008) which was introduced to support low income families financially, but due to