

## English Precis &amp; Composition

TALHA SARFRAZ

OB-59

Question # 02:-

Title:-

Values First: The True Defense of Civilization

Chinese built the ~~great~~ Great Wall of China to live in peace but were invaded on three occasions in the century. Enemy bribed the wall guards to get in: China utilized their capital on making the wall but forgot to build the character of the guards. Three ways to destroy a nation according to an orientalist. Degrade the motherhood to destroy the family. Reduce the value of teachers to deminish the education system and depreciate youth's role models. When all three are lost, nobody can teach human values. A nation that



prioritizes entertainment over education  
suffers loss in the end.

Total words in Passage = 287

No. of words in Precis = 99

Question # 04:-

(v) I had better called my parents to let them know I'm running late.

I better call my parents to let them know that I am running late.

(vi) She speaks as though she has been an expert on the subject.

She speaks as if she is an expert on the subject.

(iv) She was cooking dinner while he watches TV.

She was cooking dinner while he was watching TV.



(vii) Many a travelers have gotten lost in this maze-like city.

Many travelers had got lost in this maze-like city-

(vi) The movie was too interesting.

The movie was very interesting-



Question # 05:-

(Part - B)

(viii) We will have to answer for our sins at the last day-

(vii) Your principal will preside in the annual function-

(iv) He prevented his younger brother on going to cinema-

~~iii) Would you like to attend~~

(iii) She did not qualify herself in English-

(vi) You are not polite in your conduct to me-



## (Part - 9)

### Punctuation:-

A fast train raced along the border of the biggest cattle ranch in Montana.

A passenger gazed at the huge herd, and when the train finally passed the other boundary of the ranch, he turned to the man who was sitting beside him and said, "What a huge herd of cattle! I counted 13,750 heads."

The man was surprised. "That's amazing!", he answered, "I own that ranch and you are exactly right.

I have exactly 13,750 head of cattle. How were you able to count them so fast?" "It was easy I just counted their legs and divided by four."



Question # 06:-  
(part A)

(v) To drop in

I decided to drop in on my friend for a quick visit after he told me he is sick-

(iv) To bring to book

Corrupt politicians were brought to book for their crimes-

(viii) Elephant in the room

Nobody addressed the elephant in the room during the meeting, which was company's financial troubles-

(ii) The writing on the wall

Company's bankruptcy was the clear writing on the wall.

(ii) On the anvil

A new policy is on the anvil and will be announced soon



## (Part-B)

Sarah asked Mark if he had heard about competitive exams to which Mark replied that he was thinking about it and asked its importance.

Sarah explained its significance and told him about how competitive exams can enhance their certain abilities. Mark was worried about the stress this can bring and how he would perform under pressure.

But she was told by Sarah to feel relaxed as its normal to feel such heat.

Sarah highlighted the achievements these exams can bring after clearing them.

Mark agreed to this and thanked Sarah for helping him understand the value of these exams. He assured her that he would give his best.



Question # 07:-

Translation:-

A violinist played the tune for forty five minutes in New York <sup>\$</sup> subway.

A handful of people stopped, a couple applauded and the violinist collected about thirty dollars. Not one

knew that the violinist was Joshua Bell, one of the best musicians in the world. In that subway, Joshua

played one of the most complex tunes ever written on a violin worth

3.5 million dollars. Two days before the subway situation, Joshua Bell

performed in Boston and earned thousands of dollars. The experiment

proved that in an ordinary environment, extraordinary things often go

unnoticed and undervalued. Everywhere,

there are exceptionally talented people who are not receiving the

recognition and rewards they deserve.



Therefore, go where you are valued and appreciated.

Question # 03:-

Q1) The role of courts is shaped by ~~judges~~, judge's perception, societal expectations and governmental requirements.

While judge's views influence their decisions, public, executive and legislative body also play a significant role. These factors create a dynamic judicial role, constantly evolving with societal changes and influenced by broader political and social institutions.

(Q2) Changing conditions and conceptions have a profound impact on the role of courts. As societal, political and economic landscapes evolve, so do the demands on the judiciary.



New social norms, technological advancements and shift in public opinion can alter the types of cases brought before courts and the way judges interpret and implement the law. Furthermore, evolving conceptions of justice & equality may lead to judicial activism or calls for reform. So, changing conditions and conceptions continually shape and redefine the scope and boundaries of courts' role.

(Q 3) Public criticism of the judiciary often stems from perceived disconnects between judicial decisions and societal expectations. Controversial cases, driven by emotional or political factors, attract attention when they diverge from public sentiments. Judges may also face critique for perceived timidity, lack of innovation. Critics accuse the judiciary of conservatism and argue for a broader judicial role in society.



(Q4) Academic and professional critics often describe the judiciary as conservative, lack of innovation and overly reliant on precedent. They criticize the judiciary for being timid and for exercising excessive self-restraint. These critics sometimes bestow titles upon judges such as socially reactionary or the high priest of rigid stare decisis and the limited role for judiciary.

(Q5) Certain judicial rulings might become controversial due to various reasons - Firstly, if the ruling appears to contradict widely held social values, it can provoke public outcry. Moreover, controversial rulings may arise when judges interpret laws in ways that diverge from public expectations or when they appear to prioritize legal technicalities over



justice. However, in my opinion, controversial rulings often occur when there is a gap between the law as written and the principles of fairness and equity that society expects the legal system to uphold.