

# Why Men fight?

63

## 1-) Introduction

Hook

General Statements

Thesis Statement

## 2-) Why Men Fight: An Analysis at different level.

### 2.1) An analysis at Individual level

a-) Egoistic and selfish nature of man

b-) Cognitive bias: Flawed decision making capacity.

c-) Lack of trust over other men

d-) Crazy leaders: Self centered approach.

### 2.2) An analysis at Domestic or state level

a-) Nationalism: ideological or political

b-) To balance power or increase their relative power.

c-) To pursue their national interests

d-) International system of anarchy.

- 2.3) An analysis at Global level
- a-) To secure ~~peace~~
  - b-) Imperialistic approach of the Great Powers.
  - c-) To induce ~~terror~~
  - d-) Transnational fundamentalism.

3-) An Analysis through the lens of International ~~Relations'~~ theories

- a-) Realists' perspective
- b-) Liberals' perspective
- c-) Constructivist's perspective
- d-) Feminists' perspective

4-) Conclusion.

The late President of the United States, once said; "It is unfortunate that we can only secure peace by preparing for war."

The conflicts and wars in the history prove that fights and battles are inevitable.

Throughout the evolution of this society mankind has achieved prosperity and peace through war. Ever since the evolution of this international society, humans have debated

~~why~~ why wars and conflicts happen and how peace can be achieved without engaging in fights. The then president

of the U.S., Abraham Lincoln, once said; "I have not controlled the events, events have controlled me." Hence, to answer the question

of fight among men an analysis at different levels is required - individual, state or domestic and Global level - Keeping

in view the egoistic human nature and its ~~flawed~~ decision making capacity; ~~International~~ anarchic structure and national interests of states along with ~~behaviour~~ of Great powers and non-state transnational actors. Furthermore, the viewpoint and ~~perspective~~ of international ~~relations'~~ scholars give a comprehensive analysis of this debate.