Part-II 0#2 Discuss the supermany of British Parliament _____ absolute in mature. Ans Introduction Boitish pailiament is considered superne authority owing to its ansit of power: Historically events and acts ranged by respective governmente addect to boatain's Parliamentay supermany These events include Magna Casta, Cotorious revalection and Bill of rights, while is acts which increased parliamenting power include Act of settlement, setencial ad and Parliamentary act. All these acts and events made Boctain's Padiatment supreme. However, there are certain checks and balances their limit absalute poul of British Parliament

Supermacy of British Parliament In uncoplified constitution of Britains Parliament holds supreme position it can amend and formulate lacers without being subjected to judicial sureiting as Judiciasy in Britain cannot hold Judicial review. So, no law formulated by Judicia Parliament of United Kingdom can be rejected as said by former Justice " laws made by parliament of UK cannot be rejected by judiacry at it has no right to reject law made by representatives of reople". Av Dicey " view y people about a law declairing it improper or not aligned with some principle cannot poovent pastiament from formulating low. The only thing it cannot do is to bound its successons." words of sir William " Power of British parliament is transendend and absolute."

British parliament can logislate on anything which not naturally impossible " said Blackstone Historical examples of Empowerment British Parliament 1) Magna caota (1715) In 1215, an act was passed by parliamentarians to held monarch accountable to Juclicial pasliament. Before this act monarch had absolute power and enjoyed impaniity. 2) Crlosious revolution (1688-89) Colorious revalution holds important place is empowering Boitish Parliament England withnened a year's long revolut way which ended with Significant changes in structure of government. Crlosious revalection Took Place after yeans long English way In this revolution powers

of monarch further centailed making him subject to parliament and increasing its ambit Power. 3) Bill of rights (1689) In 1689 bill of rights were passed which resulted in stronger Constitutional monorchy. Now monorsch and churches could not hald discretionary powers. 4) Act of settlement (1701) Act asserted that no cathalic can be monaroch and it contends for increase in paver of pavaliament by blocking route for cathetics who were in foucier of absolute monaschy. 5) Act Septennial Act (1716) In septennial act tenuce of Parliament was increased up to 7 years. () Act of pasliament (1911 & 1949) Under this act power of house of loods is seduced House

Commons. Boitish Pauliament is not absolute in malure there are certain checks on its Rower i) Public Opinion's influence Public opinion holds pivotal position when it comes to laws formulated by British parliament. It influence the decision of Parliament and act as check on acts of parliament. ii) Meclia on watch dog Media also act as spectator of parliamentary affairs. I plays essential rale in ensuing transparency of parliamentary proceduresiii) <u>International</u> laus & toeties International laws and totalies ave important vigilant of laws parsed ; by parlament. They ensue that no law enceds limits set by international law and toeatres signed by the country.

Rule of law rule of law is impostant to impose checks on parliament it make sures that no act is passed which violates poinciples of rule of law. Hence, Power of parliament is not absolute in Britain Certain system of checks and balance work to limits its power. ans is satisfactory 9/20 succession and Conclusion : To conclude the depate, Parliament holds supreme possilion in Constitution of united kingdom. Various historic events played essential part ensuing ils supermaces. But certain system of checks and balance are present to limit absolute powens of parliament in Bostain.

0 # 4 Article 62 and 63 -- Uncertaility and ... Any Introduction In 1973 constitution of Palhistan Article 62 and 63 cleals with qualification and disqualification of member of parliament. these asticles pare provisions which generete a faind of uncertainity and obsecurity at these is no concrete defination of details exist. These Poolisions ien constitution of palcistan have become tool to disqualify political opponents on their own definction of certain terms. Various palitical laders in Palaistan have become victim to these poevelant observictions in constitution of Palvistan. Article 62 and 63 of constitution of Paleistan are creating uncertainty. in en

Article 62 of constitution of Pakistan Article 62 of 1973 constitution clears with teems for qualification of pabliament members. There are following clause in this asticle that produce obscueilies regaoding a person's qualification to be elected as momber of pasliament. Article 62(1)(F) enteils that a person must be segacious, righteous, non-progligate, honest, and amen without any declaration to contrary by a Court of law. Article 63 of constitution contends that aret diqualifications of member y paolianent which includes conviction for moral tupitude, propagating opinions prejudicial to pakistan, dissmissal from sevice on grounds of misconduct etc.

Criticism on Article 62: and 63 No concrete definition of « segacious» and «rituous" enshrined in asticle 62(1)(f) 1st reason to exiticize asticle 62 and 63 is that they do not possess clear definction of terms given in them. In asticle 62(1)(f) if a person is not sequerous (sachq) and vitous (ameen) he or she is entitled to desqualification but there is no description of who is called segacious and ritous. Jerns have subjective définitions. Political tool against opponent In history of Palaistan there have been instances where there articles have been used by political leaders to disqualify opponents accessing them of not meeting the vague and subjective standards of these asticles.

Example: DAVA on militing Disqualification of Nawar Shadif in 2017. Disqualification of Imran khan in 2023. Remarks of Justice Asif saeed khosa " clause (f) y asticle 62 of the anstitution provides legal jeast and obscurities. They relate to State of mind and cannot be peoperly encompassed. why have such requirements in constitution that do. cannot be defined". So, Article 62 and 63 of constitution of 1973, particularly Some of Their claus such as 62(5)(1) creat uncostainity and obscurity in Constitution ans is too short 6/20 Conclusion To conclude, Article 62 and 63 af 1273 constitution of Palhistan deal with qualifications and

disqualifications of member of parliament. Due to their vague and subjective nature they merety exect obsurily and uncertainely in constitution 2#EG - salient features of India Act of 1935, Ans Introduction Indian Acts of 1935, was formulated by British ruler in 1935. The act granted provinces autonomous power. With regard to provincial powers act was a Step towards improvent of enisting Status of poounces. Along with delegation of certain powers to provinces act was designed to hald Strong center. British government kept powers of accountability of governor generals, dontal of department of police and other such powers. The

act due to its calonialistic nature garnered criticism from almost all pasties of India. Salien features -1935 Act Written Act of 1935 was wlitten in nature: It included 14 parts and 10 scheduales. mitubattont Federalism Act was federal in nature it was bicamercel Lower upper House House partiament state councils 1/3 od of its elected for majosity inasto 5 years be elected every year Provincial autonomy provinces were delegated responsibility of celucation, health, transport etc.

Legislative lists 3 legislative lists je federal legislative list, provincial Degislative list and concount list was made. Inconsis lencies In case of inconsistancy between federal and provincial lists daw of pereteral centes will dominate. In concurrent list federation and provincial both certald legislate. Federal list (Sgitemy) Provincial list (Stitems) concurrent list (36 items almost) Appointment of governor generals Crovener general were appointed in provinces who were accountable to British government only. Council of ministers to Council of ministees was established une would assist governor generals.

council of ministers was accountable to parliament as well as governor general Powers of governor generals Crovenor generals were not accountable to parliament. but only to British government. federal court federal coust was established. unde 1935 Act. provincely states Princely stats were given choice to join federation but the did not while poter Provinces it was compulsary to Jain federalion. Representation in parliament Elected representators were not representator of province in Pagliament but endy these reminated by pruleis were the toue representations. Diaschy Diaschial form of government enisted.

Rigi City Constitution under 1935 Act was rigid in nature. people had no power to pupose amendments. Criticism and and Act of Inclia 1935 was formulated to improve the autonomous Status of poovinces. But ils baisness towards strong center made ut subject to wide criticism. Almost all pooties in indian subcontinent criticised it. M. Ali Jinnah called it completely unacceptable. Grandhi also criticised and rejected this. Croounds on which conticers arose: O strong center made provincial autonomy merely a tog. @ Discretionary powers were given to governor generals who were not

even accountable to parliament 3 Rigid nature of constitution move allowed its reople to puppese any amendment Conclusion satisfactory ans 9/20 To conclude, 1935 Act of india ensued provincial autonomy and establised a federation in India. However, in this act center remained poweful which did not allow provinces to have complete autonomy. The Act precieved compter india wide criticism from all major pasties. Q#7 The principle of Judicial review Criticm Parkiston. IN Entroduction Judicial review

is a legal doctoine which grante Indiciary power to interpret and define law along with declaring law passed by legislature mul and voici. it is legal right of Indiciary which oxiginates from decision of Justice coke of United States in a landmark case of aparbury vs. machison in 1603. In Paleisten The doctoine of jucticial oneverus offen remains subject of exiticism in legal circles due to its overseach and unintended impacts on country's Jucticial system. Legitimacy ~ Judicial review Jucticial relevant article of const??? legilimate right of Jucliciany it has to protect and alefine laws. Judicial review as necessity to ensure check and balance Judicial review is impostant

past of system of checks and balance . it ensures that legislative branch may not ancreat its Constitutional bounds. Protection of fundamental sights Indiciary has power to protect foundamental rights from anykind of infringement. through Judicial sevieu. Interpret and define Constitution Under cloctoine of Jucliceal review Jucticiany holds status of interpoeter of constitution of a country. Safe guard of constitution Judiciary, by ensuing night implimentation of constitution, pet a sajequard of econstitution. Jucticial review grants butho sily to sayagaurel constitution of the country

Under 1973 constitution of Pakistan "Jucticial review" Article 184(3) of constitution of Palaistan grants Jucliciany outhority to interpoet constitution of Palkostan. It is discoelionaby power of appallent court. Reasons for criticism in Pakistan Judicial activism in encercise when any Jucticiasy excellise power of Jucticial review enersively it is called jucticical activism. In Parlaistan highest court which enjoys this power frequently are This Nower and over stepp its constitutional bounds which garner criticism. Impacts of judicial activism

Breaching sovereignty of Parliament In Palaistan Judiciary oversaache and breaches sovereignily of parliament by interfering parliamentoy proceedings. This leads to the breach in sovereignty of Pabliament which built goound for coniticism en judicial review. Compornise reutral image y judiciasy When Judiciary encenively interferes with woolding of athe organ of state it perlieved as biased toward other institutes This compromises the neutral image of Juckiciary in Palistan. Politicisation of Judiciary Frequent interference of Jucticionsy leads to the paliticisation of Judiciary. which tarnish independent image of judiciary and execute

tussie between institutes : it further leads to instability in country. As Ayesha ijlal in her book "Judging the state" usite that Judiciany of Paleistan multiple times involves deliberately in palifics of Pakistan which leads to its politiciscetorn ". Hence, frequent interference of Jucticiary in palitical affairs by Judiciant review leads to its politicization. Delay in other cases when judicicity becomes enersively involve in interpreting and declaring legislature lan null and void. it deviates form its primary pupere of providing justice to public and the jutrice delayed means justice elenied. So, judicial activism shifts judiciacy's focus from its primary pupese which enteils criticism factors country

Date Conclusion Inclicial review is the legitimate right of judiciary in multiple democrate eauntry. In Palastan due to its overseach it remains subject of criticsm. discuss new THE SUPREME **COURT (REVIEW OF** JUDGMENTS AND ORDERS) ACT, 2023 of the parliament and about sou motu a very debate happened in the sc in this ans rest is ok 7/20