

Part - II

Answer Question No: 04

4(a)

Hundreds of students gathered to attend the conference by Hassan Ali Ghandal.

4(b)

I am one of those person who can not describe what they feel.

4(c)

The professor and orator is dead.

4(d)

I never have and I never will abuse anyone.

4(e)

Of novel and poetry, the latter is more important.

Answer Question No: 5(B)

i) The doctor gave me a prescription of my cough.

iv) He went to the desert.

vii) The bird flew over my head.

(viii) In the examination you have to answer all the questions within an hour

iii) Through Throw this pen into the dustbin

Answer Question no: 7

Ups and downs is a part of every story

Ups and downs is story of every nation. But the feeling of oneness and integrity is the prerequisite.

After the World War II, there was a time of trial for Japan. The destruction of atomic bombs

had already destroyed the two cities. But the tragic

for the Japanese was the insult of their King. The insulting behavior of Marke Arthur

that he adopted with King, had blown the flame of revenge

within the Japanese. Not in battlefield, but the Japan had

begun to move one step ahead of America in every field of life. ~~At last, after a few decades this nation would succeed in achieving their lost status again.~~ There is a great lesson in this story for Pakistan.

Answer Question No: 5(A)

One day, a friend visited Hodja and said, "Hodja, I want to borrow your donkey." "I'm sorry", replied Hodja, "But I've already lent it to someone else." As soon as he said this the donkey brayed.

"But Hodja, I can hear the donkey! It's in the stable!", shutting the door in his friend's face, Hodja told him with dignity, "A man who believe the word of a donkey above my word doesn't deserve to be lent anything!"

Answer Question No: 3

Question No: 2

Lincoln succeeded in convincing the jury by giving the reference of shirt's front. He asked the jury to not be influenced by his knowledge of the law because his opponent lawyer had no idea about which side of his shirt ought to be in front.

this is about distracting attention from the main argument when there is no argument

Question No: 3

Lincoln tactic ^{was} non malicious because he had not abused his opponent. Yes, in the view of result, it does matter whether the tactic was malicious or not. This is because, process is more important than result. If someone uses non-malicious tactic to achieve the desired result, then this process will hurt other people.

do not write meaningless expression

Answer Question No: 4

The risk that Lincoln took by using ~~ad hominem~~ was that he ^{had} chosen a fallacy approach. He could be scolded by the jurists. If I had been an opposing lawyer, I might have countered Lincoln's move by calling that he has chosen a fallacy approach. And his arguments are irrelevant and inappropriate.

Answer Question No: 1

'Ad hominem' means that a person who chooses a fallacy approach to achieve the desired result. For example, Lincoln chose a fallacy approach by referencing the style of his opponent's shirt's buttons.

Answer Question No: 5

a) Fallacy: a wrong or inappropriate approach

a logically wrong or inappropriate approach

d) Cripple: struggling with something

e) Vicious: a situation in which a person struggles/circular

c) Plaintiff: smooth / without ups and downs / plain

b) gleam: sorrow / sadness

Answer Question No: 6

i) Big fish: Elon Musk is a big fish in the world - because he is the richest man in the world.

5) To give the Pakistan army give devil his due the devil his due to terrorist in operation Rah-e-Nijath.

10) At the top of the tree. Islam worked very hard in his exams day. Now

he is at the top of
the tree.

8) For good ~~The main aim of
my life is to serve
humanity and for
good of my country.~~

9) To eat the
humble pie. ~~Aslam is very gentle
and loving young man
because he has eaten
the humble pie.~~

~~Aslam meets humbly
with everybody because
he has eaten the
humble pie.~~

International Law and Sovereign States

Some names do not show its true meanings such as international law and sovereign states. International law operates without any central body and implement its rules with sanctions.

Some professional lawyers claims that international does not have legal characteristics. Sovereign states and international law are incompatible because if states are sovereign then they are not bind to any kind of rules. Theory of consent claims that international law is bindable only if states accept these rules

explicitly. But the traditional definition of sovereignty states that a state rule or treaty should not refrain a state to perform a particular task. Since international law is based on such an uneasy compromise.

If all politicians and lawyers are allowed to draft a code which ensures a peace upon earth that this ^{code} would described both of state sovereignty and of its limitations.

Total word count is 135