

## Part-II

Q-NO-2:

write short and self defining title please

Precis Title: 'International Law; A ~~Compromise~~  
~~Between State's Sovereignty and~~  
~~Internationally Binding Rule~~'

Precis: Just as an individual's behaviour is guided by municipal law, state's behaviour is guided by international law. However, nature of international law is different from that of municipal law as international law does not impose any sanction on the state like municipal law impose on individuals. Some lawyers do not even accept the legal nature of international law due its non-binding nature. The theory of consent and classical definition of sovereignty further explains that sovereign nature of state make it impossible the existence of legally binding rules, and it all depends upon their acceptance by the state. Due to this complex and compromising nature of international law some people consider it waste while other view it as way for development of peace in the world, and none alone is true due to its dual expression.

understanding of the passage is fine  
work on your grammar please

do not start a sentence with due to

Total words : 385  
Precis words : 130

Q. NO-3:-

plz explain what is ad hominum

(1) It is evident from the text of paragraph that meaning of "ad hominum" is the prosecutor who is discredited by the defendant attorney. Attorney of the defense may use some malicious or non-malicious tactics to discredit the prosecutor.

(2) Lincoln convinced the jury by raising doubt in jury's mind about the legal knowledge of prosecutor. In this way, he weakened the credibility of prosecutor's argument.

(3) Lincoln's tactic was non-malicious as it didn't ~~has~~ cause any damage to the character or reputation of ~~defend~~ prosecutor. It only weakened the credibility of his argument in front of that particular jury.

(4) It was a risk because jury could have diffuse the Lincoln's argument by considering it an attempt to influence the jury's opinion by illegal tactics that have nothing to do with the case.

plz explain more comprehensively

- (5)
- (i) fallacy: Not true, deception
  - (ii) gleam: ~~flashing~~ of eye upon viewing an opportunity or hope  
mild-light
  - (iii) Plaintiff: A person who accuses someone for committing certain crime against him or her

(iv) Cripple; ~~incomplete~~, unable to perform his function  
properly

(v) Vicious; ~~extreme~~

### Q-NO-4:-

(a) \_\_\_\_\_

Hundreds of students gathered to attend the conference  
by Hassan Ali ~~Condal~~

(b) \_\_\_\_\_

I am one of those persons who cannot describe what  
they feel.

(c) \_\_\_\_\_

Reciting poetry gives more delight than reading prose

(d) \_\_\_\_\_

The professor and the ~~orator~~ are dead

(e) \_\_\_\_\_

I have never ~~and~~ will never abuse anyone.

### Q-NO-5:-

(A) One day, a friend visited Hodja and said, "Hodja, I  
want to borrow your donkey." "I'm ~~sorry~~!", replied Hodja, "but  
I have ~~not~~ already lent it to someone else." As soon as he  
said this, the donkey ~~begged~~. "But, Hodja" (said friend), "I can

hear the donkey, it is in the stable." Shutting the door in his friend's face, Hadju told him with a dignity, "a man who believes the word of a donkey above my word, doesn't deserve to be lent anything."

(B)

(i) for (ii) into (iv) He went to the desert (v) along  
(vi) of (vii) over (viii) in

in future write in complete sentence form

Q.7:-

Rise and fall is the story of every nation, but a sense of wastefulness and patriotism is necessary for it. Post World War-2 period severely tested Japan. Their two major cities were already destroyed, but the bigger dilemma for the Japanese was the dishonouring of their king. Disrespectful behaviour of General MacArthur towards the king sparked the fire of revenge inside the Japanese. Although they had lost in the field of war, they started to outmaneuver the USA in every other field. At last, after the nation succeeded in recovering their lost honour only in a few decades. There is a great lesson for Pakistan in this story.