

Q5-B Re-write the following sentences

- i) - Through this pen into the dustbin.
- ii) - The bird flew above my head.
- iii) - While walking along the bank of river, words worth saw a large number of daffodils.
- iv) - In the examination you have to answer all the question within an hour.
- v) - The doctor gave me a prescription at my cough.

Q5.A Punctuate the following passage.

One day a friend visited Hodja and said, "Hodja, I want to borrow your donkey."  
"I am sorry" replied Hodja, "but I have already lent it to someone else." As soon as he said this, the donkey brayed. But Hodja: I can hear the donkey, it is in the stable, shutting the door in his friend's face! Hodja told him with dignity, "A man who believes the word of a donkey above my words does not deserve to be lent anything!"

Q No 4 Correct any five of the following.

- i) Hundred of students gather to attended the conference by Hassan Ali Gondal.
- ii) I am one of the person who can not describe what one feel.

iii- The reading of poetry gives greater delight than the reading of prose.

iv- The professor and Orator is dead.

v- I neither here and nor will abuse anyone.

Q6 Use any 5 idioms

i- To burn one's bridges: ~~Ess has t~~  
One wrong direction by teacher burn one's bridges of success.

ii- Big Fish: The judiciary in developing countries unable to take action against big fishes.

iii- For good: Intelligent people left bad habits for good of society.

iv- To give the devil his due: One time more trust on someone is like a give the devil his due.

v- To eat the humble pie: Those who work for peace can not eat the humble pie.

## Q.No 3

① After reading - - - - ?

Ad hominem is a process in which one can beat other through relative arguments without ~~round~~ beating about the bushes. One can not personally comment on the appearance.

②- The Lincoln ~~succeed~~ <sup>written past tense</sup> in convincing the jury by his sharp and active mind which works on the time. His opponent lawyer was wearing a shirt that slants on event of 1840's. Lincoln said the person who don't know what he wearing how convinced public by their arguments.

③ Lincoln technically deal with the situation. Sometime solution of problem is not on specific things but one can find it in a general way. It is not matter which procedure one can follow to search the truth and success.

④ The lawyer was so experienced so Lincoln was about to loose the case and use ad hominem. As an opposing lawyer I gave ~~an~~ evidences to jurists that the person who honestly connected with his work can not focus on its appearance and gave solution argument based.

⑤

Write meaning.

plz explain meanings in sentence form

Fallacy : ~~Amusing / Shocking~~  
gleam : ~~Shine / Blurish~~  
plaintiff : ~~Jurist / Judgement~~  
Cripple : ~~autonomous / only one~~  
Vicious : ~~difficult~~

### Question No 7

Ups and downs are the story of nations. ~~But for this the sense of loss and national combination is essential.~~

plz attempt in idiomatic and proverbial manner

At the end of world war two the Japan was ~~suffered~~ with so many challenges. The atomic bomb was completely ~~destroyed~~ two cities. But for Japanese ~~rather than~~ destruction the humiliation ~~of their leader was more important.~~ The behaviour ~~of General Mike Aulhor with Japanese president,~~ instigate the will of revenge inside Japanese. ~~Except the field of war,~~ the Japanese try to compete the Americans in every other aspect of life, at the end after few decades the Japanese were able to regain their strength and position. This story has a big lesson for a Pakistan.

## Question No 2

The world has running through two laws currently, at domestic level municipal law and at state level International law. Both laws have contrasting nature. The International law works through sanctions on states. The states are main actor in international law and every state has its own position in international system, Int law has not more powerful than states but it works through mutual consensus among states. Some lawyers or officials claimed that international law is not effective because all states are sovereign and work according to interest, if international law is bounded with states their element of sovereignty will be compromised. The International law is only applicable when states are in some point. According to Wimbledon case Int law is like a will of state to prefer it or refused it. International law has unable to give complete boundaries for states to exercise it. So, for peace it is essential Law makers and politicians drafted it into comprehensive code otherwise international law has so many holes by which sovereign states perform against it.