


(Part-II)
(Section-A)

General Instructions

1. Give numbering to headings
2. Do not write lengthy paragraphs. Write medium sized paragraphs with headings.
3. There should be around 15 headings for 25 marks question.
4. Draw figures/diagram/flowchart where needed.

Q4: Discuss the different stages of the unification of Italy from 1848 to the occupation of Rome in 1870.



Introduction:

5. Start new question from fresh page.
6. Each answer should start with Introduction and end with Conclusion.
7. Give more weightage to expressedly asked part/s of the question.
8. Change colour scheme for references to give them more visibility.
9. Manage time well.
10. Wide page borders are discouraged. Should be reasonable.

Stages of Unification:

Condition of Italy before 1848?

1) Revolution of 1848:

Inspired by nationalist sentiments, uprisings erupted across Italy in 1848, aiming for liberal reforms and independence from

1 / 202 Day:
foreign domination.

Despite initial successes in some regions, the revolution ultimately failed, and foreign powers, especially Austria, reasserted control.

Leadership of Piedmont-Sardinia:

Piedmont-Sardinia, under the astute leadership of Count Cavour, emerged as a key player in the unification process. Through diplomatic maneuvering, Cavour secured alliances, notably with France, leading to the defeat of Austria in the Second Italian War of Independence, (1859).


Garibaldi's Southern Campaign:

Garibaldi, a charismatic nationalist, played a crucial role by leading volunteer forces in the south.

His Red Shirts successfully conquered Sicily and Naples, contributing significantly to the cause of unification of Italy.

Italy's map before unification:

Services of Mazzini



Formation of Kingdom of Italy (1861):

With key territories united under the rule of Victor Emmanuel-II of Piedmont-Sardinia, the kingdom of Italy was officially proclaimed in 1861.

However, significant regions, including Rome and Venice, remained outside Italian control.


Austro-Prussian war and Venetian Unification (1866):

Italy's alliance with Prussia during the Austro-Prussian War enabled the annexation of Venetia, further consolidating Italian territories.

Capture of Rome (1870):

The final stage of unification occurred with the capture of Rome in 1870, made possible by the withdrawal of French troops defending the Papal States during the Franco-Prussian War. Rome was declared the capital of Italy, completing the territorial unification.

Italy's map after unification:



Conclusion:

The unification of Italy from 1848 to 1870 was a multifaceted process driven by the efforts of visionary leaders, strategic alliances, and popular movements. It transformed a fragmented peninsula into a unified nation-state, marking a pivotal moment in Italian history and contributing to the broader context of 19th Century European nationalism.

Section - B

Q5: The most important reason for the success of the Bolsheviks in October 1917 was the mistakes of the provisional government. How valid is this view?

Introduction:

The success of the Bolsheviks in October 1917 is a complex historical event shaped by various factors. One prevailing perspective suggests that the mistakes of the provisional government played a pivotal role in paving the way for Bolshevik triumph. This viewpoint posits that the government's errors created a vacuum of power, which the Bolsheviks skillfully exploited.

Points supporting the view:

1) Continued participation in WWI:

The provisional government's decision to

maintain Russia's involvement in WWI was deeply unpopular. This decision fueled discontent among the war-weary population and provided the Bolsheviks with a powerful anti-government narrative.

Failure to address Land redistribution:

The provisional government's inability to decisively address the issue of land redistribution alienated peasants who sought immediate agrarian reforms. This created an opportunity for the Bolsheviks to position themselves as champions of the peasant class.

Political instability and weak leadership:

The provisional government witnessed frequent changes in leadership, leading to a lack of consistency and effective governance. This instability allowed the Bolsheviks, under Lenin's firm leadership, to present themselves as a stable and viable alternative.

Points challenging the views:
1) Bolshevik Strategic Planning:

The Bolsheviks, under Lenin's strategic guidance, actively worked to exploit the provisional government's weaknesses. Their well-executed plans, such as the storming of the winter palace, demonstrated a calculated approach rather than mere reliance on government mistakes.

Support from The Soviets:

The Bolsheviks enjoyed substantial support from key Soviet bodies, particularly the Petrograd Soviet. This support provided them with a significant power base and legitimacy, independent of the provisional government's shortcomings.

Conclusion:

While the mistakes of the provisional government undeniably played a role in the Bolsheviks' success in October 1917, it is essential to recognize that the Bolsheviks' own strategic maneuvers

and support from key institutions also contributed significantly.

Q1(a) Discuss about the right wing populism in Europe.

Introduction:

Right-wing populism has gained significant traction in European politics over the past decade. Characterized by a blend of nationalist sentiments, anti-immigrant rhetoric, and skepticism towards global institutions, it has emerged as an influential player.

Explanation:

Nationalism and Identity:

Right-wing populism often emphasizes a strong sense of national identity by playing with fears of cultural dilution. Many parties in Italy raised concerns about the preservation of national heritage.

Anti-immigration Stance:

Many right-wing populist movements in Europe adopt a stringent anti-immigration stance, arguing that increased immigration threatens jobs, resources, and social cohesion.

Rise of Islamophobia:

Right-wing populist movements in Europe often fuel Islamophobia, capitalizing on fears related to cultural differences and religious diversity.

Euroscepticism:

Right-wing populist parties often express skepticism towards the European Union (EU). They critique the loss of national sovereignty.

Media manipulation.

Right-wing populist movements frequently employ media manipulation strategies, casting mainstream media as biased or unreliable. Leaders use social media to directly communicate with their supporters.

Fear of globalization:

Right wing populism taps into fears associated with globalization, portraying it as a force that undermines national interests.

Conclusion:

Right-wing populism in Europe represents a complex interplay of nationalism, economic anxieties, religious hate, and a rejection of globalism. Its influence has replaced reshaped political dynamics, challenging the traditional balance of power.

Q 7(C) Britain followed a policy of appeasement because it was military unprepared for war. Discuss this view.

Introduction:

Britain's policy of appeasement in the years leading up to WWII has been a subject of historical debate. One key perspective argues that Britain adopted this approach due to its military unpreparedness for war.

Explanation:

1) Post-WWI War Weariness:

After the devastation from WWI, Britain faced economic challenges and a war-weary population. Military took backseat as the country prioritized recovery and avoided a return to the conflict.

Economic Constraints:

The economic strains of the Great Depression hampered Britain's ability to invest significantly in military preparations. Appeasement allowed the nation to avoid the immediate costs of rearmament.

Memory of Trench Warfare:

The traumatic experience of trench warfare in WWI influenced British leaders to avoid another protracted conflict.

Focus on Diplomacy:

The interwar years witnessed a belief in the power of diplomacy to prevent war. Britain, lacking military strength, sought to resolve disputes through negotiations and compromise rather than conflicts.

Fear of isolation:

Britain sought to prevent isolation in the international arena. This was driven by the desire to maintain alliances and avoid being left diplomatically isolated.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, Britain's policy of appeasement was intricately linked to its military unpreparedness for war. The consequences of appeasement, however, emphasize the importance of maintaining balance between diplomatic efforts and military readiness to secure long-term peace and stability.
