

## Precis and composition

precis

Q no 7 Translate into english

Ups and down is story of every nation. But for that feeling of loss and nationalism is important. Japan was facing difficult time after great war. Atomic bomb has already destroyed two cities. But insult of king was a big tragedy for Japamies. General Maek Authon's bad behavior with king has provoked revenge in Japamies. Japan defeated America not in war, but in all other fields. At last, in some decade this nation has regain its lost status successfully. In that story, there is a big lesson for Pakistan.

**Use idioms in own sentences**

**Big fish** - Pakistan's caretaker prime minister kakar is big fish now a days.

**To burn one's bridges** - He has burnt his bridges as he has left his job for his passion.

**For good** - Nothing lasts for good in this world.

**The rank and file** - All rank and file demanding increase in salaries from officers.

**At the top of the tree** - After passing CSS exam, I am at the top of the tree.

**Preposition**

Throw this pen in the dustbin

He went to the desert

while walking at the bank of river,  
Wordsworth saw a large number of cliff birds

I don't approve to your language, yong man  
The bird flew over my head.

### Punctuation:-

One day, a friend visited Hadja and said, "Hadja, I want to borrow your donkey." "I'm sorry," replied Hadja, "but I've already lent it to someone else." As soon as he said this, the donkey brayed! "but Hadja, I can hear the donkey! it's in the stable!" shutting the door in his friend's face, Hadja told him with dignity, "A man who believes the word of donkey above my word doesn't deserve to be lent anything!"

### correct five sentences

- a- Hundreds of students gathered to attend the conference by Hassen Ali Grandal.
- b- I am one of those persons who cannot describe what they feel.
- d- The reading of poetry gives greater delight than prose.
- f- The professor and orator is dead.
- g- I never have and will abuse anyone.
- h- you need not rebuke him.

## Precis Passage

### International law and Sovereignty of state

The most important norms controlling the behaviour of individuals are realised in domestic; of lawyers in municipal law; of states in international law. But identity of names does not show of nature. Moreover, International law operates without social agreement of central authority which paid its rules with ~~some~~ sanction. International law is between state law. Furthermore, exceptional situation for legal system is that professional layers disagree with legal character of international law, claiming missing characteristics of sanctions. Sovereign states and an international legal system are logically mismatched. In addition with, if states are sovereign than have no superior, no legal rules binding them. Fortunately, contradiction is resolved by theory of consent. That international legal norms character founded upon their acceptance by state. Concluded, international law evaluation of significance ranges so widely, some preferred and lawyers want chance to ensure peace but neither can done justice due to limitation.

## Comprehension passage

Qno1. After reading this section, do you have a clear idea of what 'ad hominem' means?

Yes, I have clear idea of 'ad hominem' after reading passage. It is the term used 3 times in paragraph to criticize people.

Qno2:- How did --- jury?

Lincoln used the term ad-hominem to convince the jury. Lincoln already know that opponent is strong in this case and experienced. He noticed his shirt buttoned up at back instead of front. He raised that point to prove that opponent does not have basic knowledge to wear shirt, then how jury can impressed by its pretended knowledge in case and he won

Qno3:- How --- not?

Lincoln tactic is non-malicious because opponents have all legal points in this case but he pointed thing which is not related to case but to lawyer. Yes, after seeing the result, it actually matters that jury decision is not on facts or legal points due to which innocent people can suffer due to that tactics which is ethically wrong.

Qno 4. Lincoln take a huge risk, if the opponent just think at once can counter the move. If I had been opposing lawyer than first I have to observed him, is there any loop hole in him to counter the move by same move. but if not found than I have to convince jury that case is not about lawyers is about persons that are our clients and you cannot ignore legal points on basis of my small carelessness that belongs to me. You cannot do injustice and please request opponent to share there legal points to which we can proceed further.

Qno 5: meanings

Fallacy  $\Rightarrow$  false understanding of something

gleam  $\Rightarrow$  sparkle

Plaintiff  $\Rightarrow$  person who brings the case to lawyer

cripple  $\Rightarrow$  severe damage

visions  $\Rightarrow$  devil