



Q2: Make a precis of passage.
 Just as the most important-----
 ----- of its limitations.

International law VS state-sovereignty

As per the author, the behaviour of individuals are govern by some norms, same is the case of the behaviour of state, but the nature of both is very different.

International law is ^{from} one of the laws of state, _{not more than it.}

Some professional lawyers object that it challenges the sovereignty of the states, or the states do not recognize it. As per the theory international law and sovereignty goes hand in hand but as per ~~def~~ classical definition this match is not possible.

International law remains as ^{unsolvable} per puzzle, as neither view does full justice to it. As its sole purpose is to maintain international order along with state-sovereignty and its boundaries.

No of words in passage: 360

No of words of precis: ¹¹⁵ ~~120~~

Q3:- Compos Comprehension.

Q1) After reading this section, do you have a clear idea of what 'ad hominem' means?

Yes, after reading this section one can clearly get the idea of ad-hominem. It is opposite to ad rem, when one uses the option of abuse, and ~~malicious~~ malicious words to defend his case rather than strong arguments this is called ad-hominem.

Q2: How did Lincoln succeed in convincing the jury?

Lincoln knew the reaction of jury, so he use non-malicious way of ad-hominem by criticizing the get-up of the opponent and the way he dress dresses-up.

He said that his opponent even doesn't even know how to put on dress how does his arguments are believable?

Q3 How was Lincoln tactic 'non-malicious?'
In view of the result, does it matter whether the tactic was malicious or not?

As per the author, Lincoln tactic was not malicious as he did not use any abusing word. But as per the result of the ~~case~~ Lincoln case it does not matter whether the tactic was malicious or not because ad-hominem of any type or kind is malicious but jury of that time was also enjoying ad-hominem and Lincoln won the case.

Q4, What risk did Lincoln take by using ad-hominem? If you had been an opposing lawyer, how might you have countered Lincoln's move?

Lincoln did not take any type of risk by using ad-hominem because he knew the jury but if the jury ~~is~~ were different he ~~prop~~ probably had defeated.
If one had been an opposing lawyer,

he/she must have countered Lincoln's move by using ad-rem and by attracting the jury towards true facts rather than ad-hominem.

Q5 Words meaning.

Fallacy	False belief / narrative
Gleam	Proud
Plaintiff	Other Opposite person
Cripple	minor incident
Vicious	Poisonous

Q4: Correct any 5:

a) Hundred of students gather to attend the conference by Hassan Ali Gondal.

b) I am one of those, who cannot describe what I feel.

e) On last Friday, Sir Huzaiifa left for Mianwali, arriving there on Monday.

b) The professor and orator is dead.

c) Between novel and poetry, the last is more important.

Q5.A. Punctuate the following.

One day a friend visited Hodja, and said Hodja, I want to borrow your donkey. I'm sorry replied Hodja, but I've already lent it to someone else. As soon as he said this, the donkey brayed. But Hodja, I can hear the donkey! it's in the stable. Shutting the door in his friend's face, Hodja told him with dignity, "A man who believes the word of a donkey above my word doesn't deserve to be lent anything!"

Q5B. Prepositions.

ii) Nowadays Majid studies ^{during the} ~~the~~ whole day.

iii) Throw this pen in the dustbin

v) While walking on the bank of river, Wordsworth saw a large number of daffodils.

vii) The bird flew above my head

viii) In the examination you have to

answer all the questions in an hour.

Ob: Idioms sentences

For good

This topic shall remain closed for good.

To give the devil his due

We should punish the rapists to give the devil his due.

To eat the humble pie

Hardwork and consistency is like to eat the humble pie, always pays off.

To burn one's bridges

To work on an aim one must burn all bridges so that he can achieve it with all efforts and focus.

Big fish

To counter corruption it is very important to catch big-fish.

Q7: Translation of the passage.

Rise and fall is the history of every nation. Feeling of ~~loss~~ loss and nation's unity is very important for it. After world war II Japan was suffering very badly. Nuclear bombs had already destroyed two ~~cities~~ cities. But for Japanese insult of their king was of great sorrow. The insulting behaviour of General Mac Arthur with the king had lightened the fire of revenge in Japanese. Not is war, but in all other sectors Japan had started to defeat America. At the end, after few decades ^{this nation} ~~Japanes~~ had succeeded to get its old position again. In this tale, there is a great lesson for Pakistan.
