

PRECIS

Date / /

Title:

International Law versus
Sovereignty of the States.

Certain norms which govern the behaviour of states are termed as International law.

The name do not truly defined its nature. In reality the term international law bears a different social context and meaning. International law is not above the states but is one of states laws.

Sovereign states and international law both are incapable of co-existing equally. The sovereign states does not recognize any other supremacy. Other rules cannot bind the states. If the sovereign states considers the

supremacy of other rules then the states will be no more sovereign. The consideration of other rules which stop a sovereign state to perform an action

harm the sovereignty of states. There is no such explanation which truly define the nature of

International law with no harm to the sovereignty of states.

LUCKY®

Q4

Correction

Date

/ /

(g) I never did, and I will never abuse anyone.

(h) You should not rebuke him.

(b) I am one of the persons who cannot describe what they feel.

(e) Sir Huzaiife left for Mianwali on last Friday, ~~arrived~~ reached there on Monday.

(f) The professor and orator is dead.

Q5

Punctuation:

One day a friend visited Hodja and said: "Hodja! I want to borrow your donkey". "I'm sorry" replied Hodja: "But I've already lent it to someone else". As soon as he said this the donkey brayed!

"But Hodja, I can hear the donkey! It's in the stable!" shutting the door in his friend's face, Hodja told him with dignity: "A man who believes

LUCKY®

ENJOY YOUR WRITING WITH LUCKY PAPER PRODUCTS

Date / /

the word of a donkey above my word
doesn't deserve to be lent anything".

Q5
B

Fill in the blanks (Prepositions)

(iii) Throw this pen in the dustbin.

(iv) He went to the desert.

(viii) In the examination you have to
answer all the questions within
an hour.

(iv) while walking across the bank of
river, wordsworth saw a large number
of daffodils.

(vii) The bird flew above / over my head.

Translation.

Rise and fall remains the history of every nation. However the feeling of loss and patriotism is necessary for this. Japan was faced tough times after second world war. The destruction of Atom bomb already destroyed two cities. However, for Japanese, the thing which mattered for Japanese was the insult of a king. The arrogant behaviour of General Mac Arthur, which he used with the king, raised the sense of revenge in Japanese. Japanese not only on the battle ground but in every area started defeating America. At last, within few decades this nation ^{became successful} regained their lost position. There is a big lesson in this tale for Pakistan.

Q3:

(1) Answer:

The term 'ad hominem' means that when a person can't talk about the subject matter and for proving his point he uses other tricks such as personal attack. In this passage this term is used in the context of personal attack rather than the subject matter.

(2) Answer:

Lincoln's ad hominem is the thing which made him succeed in convincing the jury. He personally attacked the other person by saying that one cannot be influenced by such a person who even does not know which side of his shirt ought to be in front.

3) Lincoln used non-malicious tactics of ad hominem on the other person in a very ~~and~~ impressive way which made him succeed.

LUCKY®

It does not matter whether the tactic was malicious or not. However if it made the arguments strong and if he succeeded through this it can be said that it is a better option if one had no strong arguments.

5 - Answer.

fallacy - a mistaken belief

gleam - Shine brightly

Plaintiff - opposed case - when a person is against another person.

cripple - destroy.

vicious - dangerous.

4 - Answer:

The Lincoln use of ad hominem is a bit risky. The other person