

## Shehryar Yousaf

Reward and punishment are the only effective regulators of human conduct.

### Outline:

(1). Introduction:

**Thesis Statement:** Reward and punishment are among the most effective techniques for regulating human conduct by reinforcing desired behavior and controlling the undesired behavior. This is the governing framework of religion, law and order, and contingency model in cooperations.

(2). Decoding the reward and punishment philosophy of behavior

(3). Significance of reward for regulating human conduct

(a). Utilitarian philosophy by Bentham

(b). Human nature seeks external rewards

(c). Reward reinforces behavior, an established framework

(d). Regulation of desired behavior through automation

(4) Effectiveness of punishment in controlling behavior

(a) Pain avoidant theory

(b) Punishment reduces human will

(c) Punishing one, teaching hundreds

(d) Basis of legal framework

(5) ~~Punishment~~ Applications of reward and punishment in regulation of human conduct

(a) Foundation of religious framework

(b) Law and codes control

(c) Contingency model in corporations

(6) Other approaches in controlling <sup>regulating</sup> human conduct

(a) Teaching and training

(b) Ethics and morality

(c) Purpose and humanistic will

(d) Social Learning Theory of Albert Bandura

(7) Important to use multi-faceted approach in regulating human conduct

(8) Conclusion

Reward and punishment are among the most effective techniques of regulating human conduct. This idea is scientifically proven that human nature seeks pleasure or rewards and avoids pain or punishment. The utilitarian philosophy of Bentham also proposes this idea that human behavior is naturally motivated by rewards. Reward reinforcement behavior is an established and validated framework that also results in automation of desired behavior. On the other hand, the punishment model is influenced by 'pain avoidance theory'. Punishment significantly reduces human will to repeat the punished behavior that resulted into punishment. The model of reward and punishment has many real-life applications. It is the foundational framework of religion, law enforcement, and contingency principle. However, there are also other methods that are effective in regulating human conduct. Teaching and training, ethics and morality, and social learning are among the prevalent methods. The

DATE: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_

key to successful regulation of human conduct lies in adopting multi-faceted approach, encompassing reward and punishment models, as well as other scientifically proven methods of regulating behavior.

# The world is not blind towards West's hypocrisy

## Outline:

### (1) Introduction:

**Thesis Statement:** The world is becoming increasingly aware of West's hypocrisy of using 'human rights' and 'democratic values' in global power politics. This can be elucidated from contrasting standards of terrorism and self-defense in examples of Palestine, Kashmir, China, Afghanistan, and Ukraine.

### (2) An overview of Western hypocrite framework

- (a) United States, the leader of Western world and hypocrisy
- (b) Foundations of hypocrisy: The United Nations, World Bank, IMF.

### (3) Palestinian Genocide and Western Hypocrisy

- (a) Polarized relations with Israel over human rights
- (b) US vetoed the resolution of 'humanitarian ceasefire' in UNSC
- (c) US presented the inhumane resolution of 'humanitarian pause'

(4). Hypocrite standards of self-defence and terrorism

(a). Contrasting standards on Russian-Ukrainian war and Israel-Hamas war.

(b). Blind eye towards millions of Kashmiri Muslims, but ever so concerned about Muslims in China

(5). West's hypocrisy leading to multi-polar world order.

(a). China, <sup>advocating</sup> the peace and prosperity

(b). Global South reliance on China's model: no hypocrisy, only economy.

(c) Conclusion

The over-reliance of the Global South on the West's hypocrisy...

Western world order has been dominating the global politics for several decades. After the fall of USSR, the world became unipolar. The United States is the leader of the Western world and the 'hypo-crite' framework. The US has always prioritized her economic and allies interests over morality and principles. The United Nations, World Bank, and IMF are some of the <sup>institutes</sup> ~~tools~~ that US use to exert its influence. The US response to conflicts in global arena clearly depicts her contrasting standards. On the paper, US is the biggest proponent of human rights. In reality, the US was the only country among the permanent members of United Nations Security Council who vetoed the resolution of 'humanitarian ceasefire' for innocent Palestinians. On one hand, the US puts a blind eye towards illegal occupation and human rights violation in Kashmir, and on the other hand, becomes overconcerned over human rights of Muslims in China. Due to the US hypocrite leadership, the world is yet again changing into

DATE: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_

multi-polarity, with China and Russia exerting their influence on the Global South. One of the reasons that China is emerging as a global leader is that she does not promote hypocrisy, but only economic prosperity.