

QUESTION # 2

Number of Words in 1st line 20

Number of line : 19

Total Words: $20 \times 19 = 380$

Required Number of Words = $380 \div 3$

$= 126.$

$$\begin{array}{r} 126 \\ 20 \\ \times 19 \\ \hline 180 \\ 20 \times \\ \hline 3 \overline{) 380} \\ \underline{3} \\ 8 \\ \underline{6} \\ 20 \\ \underline{18} \\ 2 \end{array}$$

(121 — 131 words)

Rough Work

- As per the norm, individuals are governed/ruled based on municipal law, similarly, states are ruled based on international law.
- International law operation are not bound by social consent and implies their rules in the form of sanctions.
- Individual is penalized by law and state is not, therefore I.L is not above the state. (Amey)
- Some professionals, reject legality stance of I.L bidue to its absence of authority to impose sanction.

→ Sovereign states and domestic legal influenced 1. legal system are rationally incompatible.

→ The states lack true essence of sovereignty, if they are bound by international laws.

→ As per the theory of consent, state sovereignty is exercised, when state accepts the binding nature of int. legal norms.

→ As per classical definition of sovereignty, sovereignty is not effected, if a state is bound to commit something as per a treaty.

→ Some regard I.L. futile due to its complicated negotiation and evaluation techniques, while some others assume it an opportunity to create peace on earth.

→ Northern I.L. tries to develop friendly relation between nations and re-adjust I.L. while maintain state sovereignty and its boundaries.

_ / _ / 20_

Title: International Law : Defend Sovereignty of State

The true essence of international law is to develop and reform friendly relation between states by adjusting and adopting international orders, within limits to preserve the sovereignty of state.

Likewise, individuals are rule based on municipal law, the states are rule based on international law, which are not based by social acceptance and operate by imposing sanctions. Unlike, individual, states are not penalized by the international law, as it is not above the state, but among them, therefore few intellectuals denies its authority to impose sanction, to preserve state's sovereignty. The confrontation is solved via theory of consent, which states that international law become part of sovereignty, upon the state's willingness to accept international norms.

Moreover, as per definition, sovereign of state is preserved, if it is compel to perform an act under some treaty. Some consider international law fake due to its complicated negotiation and evading techniques, while some

assume it as an opportunity to create peace
in the world.

(160 words)

QUESTION # 3

Question 1:

'Ad hominem' in the passage means to redirect the attention. It means to deviate the issue from the main issue to some other concern which is irrelevant to the main issue.

Question 2:

Lincoln succeeded in convincing the jury by the utilization of his clever and harmless use of ad hominem. He related poor dressing knowledge of opposition with the protracted knowledge of law and was aware of the jury reaction to it.

Question 3:

The Lincoln tactic was 'non-malicious', as it was an outcome of cleverness, based on situation which did not harm anyone. In the view of result, it does matter whether the tactic was malicious or not, because it could be intentionally used in other cases, to harm.

Question 4

The risk which Lincoln take by using ad hominem was the expected jury's reaction, and their tendency to believe his generalized argument.

If I ~~was~~ had been an opposing lawyer, I might ~~countered~~ Lincoln's move by directing back to the main issue, by ~~not considering his ad hominem~~ ~~his tactic useless~~ arguing on his poor knowledge based on the 1840's shift.

Question 5

- a) Fallacy : misbelief
- b) gleam : confident
- c) plaintiff : Opposition
- d) Cripple : Disable
- e) Vicious : Wrong

QUESTION # 4

- b.) I am one of those person who cannot describe what I feel.
- c.) The last poetry of novel is more important.
- f.) The professor and orator is dead
- g.) I have never and will never abuse anyone.
- h.) You do not need to rebuke him.

QUESTION # 5 - A

One day, a friend visited Hodja and said Hodja, "I want to borrow your ~~donk~~ donkey."
 "I'm sorry," replied Hodja, "but I've already lent it to someone else." As soon as he

said this, the donkey brayed. "But Hodja, I can hear the donkey! It's in the stable." Shouting the door in his friend's face, Hodja told him with dignity, "a man who believes the word of a donkey above my word, doesn't deserve to be lent anything."

QUESTION # 5 - (B)

- i) The doctor gave me a prescription for my cough.
- ii) Throw this pen into the dustbin.
- iii) He went to the desert.
- iv) The bird flew over my head.
- v) In the examination you have to answer all the questions in an hour.

1/1/20

QUESTION # 6

1.) Big fish

To control the crime rate, big fishes must be catch and punish.

2.) To burn one's bridge

Evil people burn one's bridge, to make them face failure.

3.) To give the devil his due