

Name : Umer Zahoor

LMS ID : 30083

Batch : 345

Subject : Precis & Composition

Q2 Precis :-

Similar to the municipal laws which govern the individuals' behaviours, international laws govern states' behaviours. But, unlike its name, international law operates without formidable consensus or a legal implementation. It is just a non-binding mutual agreement between states. Thus, some regard it as nonlegal. State sovereignty and a sanctioned legal system are contradictory. The 'Theory of consent' resolves this conflict saying that mutual consent and acceptance of international law helps to exercise sovereignty.

The World Court in "The Wimbledon case" labels the binding nature of any treaty a contradiction to state sovereignty. Therefore, some evaluate international law as fiction, others expect lawyers to ensure world peace by making international laws. Nevertheless, international law balances state sovereignty and global order. (Word count: 119)

Title :

International law; basis of global order or a fiction.

Q3(1) One may understand 'ad hominem' as a person who forwards an argument in a legal case. It means the personality or personal choices of the person rather than

the value of his argument. Hence when someone abuses the ad hominem during the hearing of a case, he actually tries to divert the jury's attention from the merits of the case to the maintainability of the ad hominem i.e. the opponent.

(2) Lincoln succeeded in convincing the jury by his political shrewdness and non-malicious use of ad hominem. He was aware of the jury's taste and when he saw his opponents' fading senses, a glimmer of hope could be seen in Lincoln's eyes although the merits of the case were against him. He used ad hominem and targeted his opponents' shirt which was buttoned up from

the back. He asked the jury that how can this person i.e. his opponent be true in his legal knowledge when he doesn't even know how to properly wear a shirt. Hence, he succeeded in convincing the jury.

- (3) Lincoln's tactic was non-malicious as he did not target his opponents' personality rather his dressing. His argument did not threaten the very existence of his opponent rather it challenged his legal intellect. In view of the result, Lincoln's tactic does ~~not~~ matter as the legal points were on his opponents' side. Hence, Lincoln's political shrewdness and cleverness helped him win the case.

(4) Lincoln risked his case by using ad hominem as if the jury, despite of being biased towards the dressing of Lincoln's opponent, remained unbiased towards the merits of the case, Lincoln would have lost it. Lincoln was fortunate that the jury agreed with him and decided in his favour. One opposing Lincoln, could have countered his move by asking the jury to intervene in the personal attack by Lincoln. Moreover one could also argue that Lincoln himself is not aware of the fashion as the shirt is designed to be buttoned up from the back.

(5)(a) Fallacy: a generalized disbelief

(b) Cleam: hope, ray of hope

(c) Plaintiff: a person who alleges another person of a crime

(d) Cripple: a physically disabled person

(e) Vicious: threatening

Q4. (a) Hundreds of students gathered to attend the conference by Hassan Ali Cordal.

(c) Of novel and poetry the latter is more important.

(e) Sir Hussain left for Minwali last Friday, ^{reaching} ~~arriving~~ there on Monday.

(g) I never have nor will abuse anyone.

(h) You need not rebuke him.

Q5(A) One day a friend visited Hadja and said, "Hadja, I want to borrow your donkey." "I'm sorry!" replied Hadja "But I've already lent

it to someone else." As soon as he said this, the donkey betrayed. "But Hodja, I can hear the donkey! He's in the stable!" Shutting the door in his friend's face, Hodja told him with dignity, "A man who believes the word of a donkey above my word, doesn't deserve to be lent anything!"

25B (iii) Throw this pen into the dustbin.

(iv) He went to the desert

(v) While walking on the bank of river, Wordsworth saw a large number of daffodils.

(vi) The bird flew over my head.

(vii) In the examination you have to answer all the questions within an hour.

Q6.

1. Big Fish :- Ali bowled a peach of a delivery to the big fish of the other team and thus played a vital role in the match.
2. To burn one's bridges :- The Ottoman Sultan burned the bridges so that his army could not think of retreating.
3. Square peg in a round hole :- The unskilled politician in the cabinet of skilled technocrats is a square peg in a round hole.
6. The rank and file :- The decision of the chief was accepted by the rank and file in the army.
8. For good :- The child learnt a lesson for good when he repeated the same mistakes again and again.

Q7 Ups and downs is a story of every nation. But and national consensus are necessary for it. After the second World war, Japan was in hot waters. The destruction of atomic bombs had already destroyed two cities. But for the Japanese, the disrespect of a king was a greater issue than this. General MacArthur's disrespectful attitude towards the King sparked a flame of vengeance in the

Japanese. Even if not in the battlefield, ~~Japanese~~ started defeating America in every other field, consequently in a few decades, this nation was successful in regaining its lost heritage. There is a ~~strong~~ lesson for Pakistan in this story.