

Essay

TOPICS

Would the world be better

if women ruled the world?

1. Introduction

~~Thesis statement:~~

There ~~is~~ are different opinions about if the women would be a better ruler or not. The women ~~would~~ ^{can} be a better ruler due to some of its distinct characteristics. Their certain qualities proves them ^{to be} a better ruler in the world.

2. ~~How would be better if~~ ~~women ruled~~

no need of crunch para in this essay

3. ~~The world be better if~~ ~~women ruled the world (Thesis)~~

a. women's peace persuasive nature

how? example?

b. women the custodian of human rights

? how?

c. ^{can} powerfully mitigates the loss done by climate change being a close observer

irrelevant argument

d. favoured friendly relationships with the neighbouring countries

e. can played proactive ~~role~~ in birth control

4. the world be worsen if
the women ruled (~~Anti-thesis~~)

- a. deterrence is crucial in case of serious conflicts
- b. It amalgamates women supremacy leads to gender biasness
- c. Their emotion based decision might worsen the climate change situation
- d. They might get manipulated easily
- e. It might barred the growth of human capital

merge 3 with 5

~~5. It is true that the world be better if women ruled (Synthesis)~~

- a. peaceful settlements are far better than devastation how?
- b. availability of human rights eliminating the gender biasness ✓
- c. Their emotions would urged change which is better than no change ✓
- d. odds associated with women does not proves their leadership qualities ✓
- e. They might handled the case of population explosion more effectively. ✓

6. conclusion

idea is picked but the arguments are very basic and common most of them are incoherent and make no relevance with the theme of essay. format of essay outline is incorrect 15/100

basic grammar is very weak and there are no examples in your defense
no case study of states ruled by women like Bangladesh's progress new
Zealand etc not satisfactory at all

Women can be played a proactive
role in the nation building.

basic grammar is poor

Quesd-e-azam Muhammad Ali
Jinnah said that "give me
a one educated women and i
will give you a prosperous
nation". It means that women

it was
said by
Napolean!
!!!!!!!

have guts of leading the world.
There are different opinions about
if the women would be

~~a~~ better ~~rules~~ or not. The
Women ^{can} ~~would~~ be a better

rules due to some of its
distinct characteristics. There is
certain attributes proves them
better rules in the world.

It is observed that the
women are peaceful in nature.

They promote the human
rights. Along with that, they
pursue friendly terms with
the others instead of aggression.

Being a close observed of
devastating effects of a

climate change, they have
ability to mitigate the
damage caused by the
climate change. The authority

very irrelevant and non sensical argument

enables the women to take
cohesive measures in birth control.

Having said that, the opponents
believed that the world be
worse if women ruled this

world. Women avoid aggression
when the deterrence is crucial.

~~The~~ Women Supremacy ~~team~~ might
lead to the gender biasness.

The issue of gender biasness
~~would~~ might be an issue. However,

some of their attributes inc-
luding inclusive approach
distinguish them from their
male counterparts.

owing to time shortage the basics of essays are assessed your essay
lacks major requirements as previously stated and pointed out
not satisfactory

According to the world known human rights activist and the director of UNESCO, "Katharine Russell", "women are more inclusive and policy oriented in nature". Every body have an idea that the nations development is linked with its appropriate strategical approach. This very nature of women distinguishes them to be a good rulers. The famous english writer James William wrote in his article in the New York Times that "today the world is in chaos and there is a realization that women might be good rulers as men proves not because of their cohesive nature". The inclusive approach of women makes them a good better rulers in the world.

The people who stands with the idea that women would be better rulers have opinion that women avoids conflicts. Women are more peaceful in nature. The newzealand's former premier "Jacinda Ardern" is known for her kind and peaceful nature. The people of newzealand has witnessed a peaceful environment during her services. So, women peaceful nature makes her a better ruler.

Similarly, it is believed that the women are the great custodian of human rights. Violation of human rights is the major concern of the today's world. The former Premier of pakistan "Benazir Bhutto" had marked history in advocating human rights on international platforms (Asifa noor, the human rights, 2021).

That proves the women as a custodian of human rights.

Not only that, women have also a great insight over the matter of a climate change. Following the perception that women is an home maker. They closely observed the devastating effects of a climate change on nature. Georgia Meloni the incumbent prime minister of Italy is taking the appreciable steps in order to mitigate the effects of climate change. This it depicts the women presence towards the nature.

Besides, instead of aggressiveness women always urged for the friendly relationship with the neighbouring countries.

According to an Tax transparency international report on "effective leadership" 2022, the governments under the women leadership are recorded as the more friendly in nature. Those governments urged a peaceful co-existence with the neighbouring countries. In a nut shell, the government under women leadership promotes friendly relationships with the neighbouring countries.

All of the above, women are more persuasive at birth control. women only really accessed the cost of the population explosion either the health or financial cost. Birth control is among the major determinants of the women leadership policies
(Ayesha Malik, Deputy Director at Research Society of International Law)

So, birth control has always been the main focus of women in a leadership.

Having said that some people also believed that the world would be worsen if the women rule. As women might avoid war when there is a need of deterrence. According to an famous english philosopher Max weber, "Men's cohesive nature protects the nation through deterrence in case of conflict". While women are considered as emotional beings. So the women avoid deterrence which is crucial in serious conflicts.

No doubt women are the custodians of human rights, but they are promoting

women rights more than
the human rights. The
supremacy of women rights
might lead to the gender
biasness. The queen
"Elizabeth era" has proved to
be the pioneering in the
increasing the women authority.
(Charlotte Bunch, Feminist activist)

Today, the women are
excessively practicing their
powers over their male
counter parts. Hence, it lead
to the gender biasness.

women might mitigate the
loss and damage caused by
climate change; however they
might take immediate actions
based on emotions. For instance,
they might shut the businesses
which caused emissions. As
Prof. Elif Ico, a psychologist
stated in Guardian magazine

that "women ^{are} soon get emotional". Therefore, the emotional decision making of a woman could worsen the prevailing situation of a climate change.

In addition, women might hold friendly relationships with neighbouring countries but they also might be manipulated by them. For instance, in economic terms or trade they might not get benefitted equally. The international feminist activist Judith Butler once stated that the women get manipulated easily. That's how women get manipulated by others to attaining goals.

Yet women can be played an active role in birth control; however the strategy might be proved as unwothy in the long run. The persistence policy of birth control might lead to reduce the human capital. There is a case study of China's one child policy which results in low number of youth. So, it means that strategy requires the human cost in the long run.

Despite of the idea, it is still believed that the women would be better rulers in the world. Although the women urged the peaceful settlements which is still considered far better than the repression. As the former Pakistan ambassador to US stated that

"The peaceful negotiations would always be the effective tool which prevents conflicts". Today, the world with full of nuclear weapons don't afford war. The women nature toward peace avoids destructions.

Moreover, advocating human rights don't depicts the women supremacy rather it eliminates the gender biasness.

"The promotion of human rights under women leadership is most effective one" (Nancy Pelosi, US States representatives). It is concluded that women leadership are have induced gender equality instead of promoting biasness.

women might get emotional but it doesn't mean that they take wrong decisions.

Currently, the world urged for the taking initiatives to protect natural climate.

But, the leaders don't even

bothered. As reported by the guardian "major developed

countries still don't stop emissions. In this regard,

if the women used emotional

sentiments to take initiative, it

would might be okay. Therefore,

emotional approach might induced

the world to take immediate

actions

while concluding the above discussion it is observed that

the women can effectively

played their part in a

nation building. Women have

shown up their guts of

Leadership. Although there are different opinions regarding women leadership in the world. The idea strongly persists that the women would be a better ruler in the world. His certain attributes enables to lead the world. The evidence has shown up the clear distinction of a women with male counterpart. The women are peace oriented and they have more inclusive approach in case of conflicts.