

# PART-II

## QUESTION NO. 4


### INTRODUCTION

IMEEC is called as a rival of China's BRI. Both projects are a network of rail and sea route, rail transit, Electricity project and cover communication channels. Because of high similarities IMEEC is considered as a competitor but the difference in expenditure, budget fixation, Special Economic zone, energy projects and industrial revolution cannot be found in India Middle East European Economic corridor. While all these projects have been part of Chinese Initiative since 2013, resulting various developments in these regions. The pragmatic approach of both transit routes have the potential to of competition in near decade. However, the Belt and Road initiative will change the conditions of Asia, Europe, Africa, and Asean. While IMEEC is a potential beneficial of India mainly.




# IMEEC: A WESTERN APPROACH

(India Middle East Europe Economic Corridor)



# BRI: An Umbrella Approach





# IMEEC as a competitor of the BRI

## Rail and Network of Route:

Both India and China has started there project of rail network and sea route in 2013 and 2023, by starting Xi-Jinping and PGII, respectively. The six projects of BRI are clearly have more potential than one route of IMECC.

## Project of Electricity and Energy

The IMECC have the electrical project in all six countries while the BRI has various energy projects, with 8000MW ener only in CPEC. However energy projects by India can be increased.

## Backbone Power of BRI and IMECC:

The BRI is powered by China. IMECC is backboned by PGII (Partnership for Global Infrastructure and Investment). The cost for BRI is \_\_\_\_\_ while IMECC estimated budget is \_\_\_\_\_.

## Advancement in technology and Economy

Both projects have a vision to develop science, technology and economy of their respective countries.



# Future Prospects: Who Will Dominate?

## Blurred or Clear Vision:

The potential of BRI is clear which includes as a world leader and development of Asian region. While India project under supervision of Modi is not wanted leader of South Asia and development of India.

## Decades of powerful Rivalry:

IMEEC project need to cross a powerful rivalry into peace, Israel and Saudi Arabia. The peace between these two countries decide the progress of IMEEC while no such hindrance in BRI.

## Promotion or Negligence of Climate:

Environment decide the future of BRI and IMEEC. Because of critical and frequent occurrence of disaster in Asian region, the advancement of any route project without its mitigation not possible.

## Prospects and Ignorance of Africa:

Since the formation of African Union, the development in its economy is increasing. Due to Participants of AU in the project of the BRI will ensure its potential of success.

## Opportunities for New World Order

Both India and China through these project have a chance of new world leaders.



# Critical Analysis

The Chinese's initiative BRI has a clear potential for to counter G20/B3W: G7 projects, PE EU project: The Global Gateway, and another PhII and India's project IMEEC. The BRI project is mainly consists of various developing countries while IMEEC covers developed countries middle. Blurred vision of IMEEC without any specific budget will develop India only. Many while decades of rivalry competition and technology race between India and China, and China and West remains predominantly transit routes. However, the positive and pragmatic potential of BRI in future is much more better than IMEEC.

Add more content

Elaborate the last part

Add  
Conclusion



# QUESTION NO-3

## INTRODUCTION

OIC is an International muslim organization which do not succeed to acheive its goal. The potential of OIC is a success story for powerful countries only while due to ethnic conflict, sectarian conflict, wars, civil wars, and -economic failure make it a failed organization. The recent condition of casualites and genocide by of muslims by Israel is a serious concern for OIC. While a big hurdle between these countries a progress of OIC was conflict between Iran and Saudia. This has been resolved by China recently. These provide an opportunity for OIC to revitalize and reemerge as International muslim organization.



# Potential and Causes of OIC as a Failed Organization

OIC has not been emerged as a successful organization. It may be success as Asean, AU and BRICS but it lead to an unsuccessful organization because of its internal and external issue it has face, since 1969.

### Internal Ethnic and sectarian Rifts:-

Different ethnic and sectarian groups have been challenged for muslim since its emergence. Similarly, OIC cannot remain safe from it. The conflict of ideology between Sunni and Shia remains a hindrance in OIC progress. But recent Iran-KSA rapprochement, somehow, resolve these rifts.

### Failure of Conflict Resolution Mechanisms:

Although OIC has various conflict resolution mechanism but it did not succeed in it. The aim of formation of OIC was resolve conflict b/w Israel and Palestine but this issue remain as it is, even worse, today. OIC has remained unable to resolve it.




## Impacts of the Members Dependency on the USA

The USA, a world leader after the end of cold war, has always used muslim countries as a tool to fulfill its purposes. The OIC members countries especially Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, Afghanistan and African nations depend on the US and as a result hit badly.

## Current Scenario of Hamas-Israel War

The recent strike of Israel after Hamas attack on 7 October 2023 hit the palestinian, more specifically Gaza badly. Various OIC meetings has been conducted since after that to counter Israel. But the Israel backbone by USA made it as a powerful rival against Hamas. Even after the ICJ order to stop casualty and end genocide of palestinian, Israel has not ended it.

OIC has pressurized world to stop the conflict and demanded ceasefire at international level.






# Status of KSA-Iran Rapprochement

The Chinese once again counter USA to end conflict between Saudi and Iran. In 2023, China act as a broker between these countries and pave the way of OIC's progress. The major hindrance in its emergence as muslim organization was sectarian division between both, which has been resolved now.

## Current Situation: OIC's Chance to Succeed for Muslim Countries





# CONCLUSION

DIC is not evolve and develop as it was. could be. Several ethnic rift, sectarian divide, conflict resolve failure, USA's role and ~~low~~ economy of its members were ~~its~~ main cause of its failure. Even in recent conflict between Hamas and Israel the DIC has not played any significant role yet. But these conflicts provide a chance for a DIC to emerge a backbone for Muslim countries. By revitalization of DIC, Sectarian free policies and other such amendments provide DIC's development.

Improve length and add references



# QUESTION NO. 5

## PREAMBLE

After the rise of Afghan Taliban government in August 2021, the relations between Kabul and Islamabad remain tense. The resurgence of militancy has increased and that in Pakistan with increased in Tehreek Taliban Pakistan and Islamic state of Kherson Pakistan. In the wake of repeated attacks by TTP and ISKP using the land of Afghan against Pakistan and in return Pakistan back Afghan's refugees. While the main reason for back of Afghan refugee is not a response but a step to safe Pakistan's land. To enhance Pakistan's security, and end terrorism and swift increase in Pakistan's dwindling economy, Pakistan sent refugees back to Afghanistan. This was right and best solution to counter Pakistan's current problems.



# RESURGENCE OF MILITANCY IN PAKISTAN

The resurgent history of militant and terrorist groups in Pakistan is not new. But it has been repeated in 1970s, 1980s, 2000s, 2010s and 2021. Various factors were included for these insurgencies which are religious, ethnic, sectarian, revenge, economic disparities, ideology and such others. However, the resurgence by TTP and ISKP are so frequent and even worst in recent two or three years.

Add Manifestation

## Tehreek-e-Taliban Pakistan (TTP)

The October 2022 is declared as the initial resurgence by TTP. TTP has more humanitarian cost than other groups. This terrorist organization is mostly active in Balochistan and conducted many attacks.

## Islamic State Khorasan Pakistan (ISKP)

ISKP is another insurgent group which gave rise to other terrorists. These groups linked and trailed on the soil of Pakistan by using land of Afghanistan.



# MILITANT GROUPS'

## Entrance: By Afghanistan

The Afghanistan's soil is continuously using for against militancy Pakistan's citizens. The humanitarian cost of Pakistani is almost 80000 and economic loss is \$180bn. Pakistan's land is a pure land but bloodshed is most common into it. Always insurgent, militant and terrorist used this land. After the taliban government these occurrence increase.


Pakistan's taliban ideology is linked with the ideology of Afghan's taliban

(Al-jazeera, 2013)

When the ideology remains similar than nation, common ethnicity, religion, and potential to development or less other axes. The repeated attacks by TTP and ISKP in Pakistan using Afghan soil is many times condemn by Pakistan foreign officers. But its use not decline yet.



# Back of Afghan Refugees: Pakistan's Response



3 million refugees enter into  
Pakistan in 2002 (UNHCR)

Pakistan's economic Problem

## CONCLUSION

The Afghan Taliban continuously issuing statement to counter TTP but it looks like only talkative. No effective and lack of implementing policies are major issue behind the resurgence and militancy in Pakistan. Islamabad's strict p and straightforward



statements are threatening terrorist  
organization to stop using Afghan  
soil. But no effective steps taken  
by Afghan Taliban. This due to  
this, a resurgence of TTP and ISAP,  
and a declining economy Pakistan  
has taken a first step to send  
back Afghan refugees. After, Afghan  
and Islamabad problems, there  
is a need to negotiation.



## QUESTION No. 7

(1)

### Preamble

US and China rivalry is taking a new turn or when there is a question rise of "one China and two system" policy exist. But the interventionist and interference policy of USA is hindrance between its way. There is a need of an hour to balancing rapprochement between these two countries.



QUESTION. NO.  
(2)

## One China Policy: An Umbrella Approach

One China policy is the fundamental bedrock of China's position that there is only one united Chinese government under People Republic of China government (PRC). The policy can be traced back to 1949 and the end of civil war in China.


### Background <sup>①</sup> History of Policy

The Chinese civil war ended and it raises the awareness among the Chinese to become united and union. The term "One China Policy" emerge and hold strongly in the region. The defeated Nationalist Kuomintang, related to Taiwan made it seats in the government while the People Republic of China, victorious communists began ruling. In 1949, Both countries declare it securing China. But PRC from the CCP (Chinese Communist Party) used diplomatic block and stabilize its government.



When <sup>(3)</sup> did the US engage with Taiwan

In 1949 the US recognize the ~~China and Taiwan~~ <sup>China and Taiwan</sup> Relation Act. The US also pursue the encourage both sides in "constructive dialogues"



Role of UN <sup>①</sup> One China Policy

Since the founding of the People's Republic of China in 1949, 181 countries agreed to recognize it as a state based on One China. There is one China in the world, Taiwan is an inalienable part of China. This was clearly recognized by United Nations General Assembly Resolution of 1971.

②


USA intervene in Taiwan  
Although, USA was sincerely acted on the One-China Policy. But



in the past decades the tension between  
Sino-US relation at its peaks due to  
intervene of USA in Taiwan crisis.  
The Taiwan question is most sensitive  
issue in the heart of every  
Chinese citizen which has been studied  
by USA recently.

(4)

### US discourse in Taiwan: Effecting One China Policy



Taiwan interdependence forces favoured  
by Armed force from USA  
The USA in 2010, during Obama  
presidency mostly intervene the  
China internal matter. The Taiwan  
provided the weapon to its interdependence  
separatist force by the United State.



## (Ch) Taiwan and USA Trading Partnership: Deploy One

China Policy  
Taiwan is a fully functioning democracy, respects human rights and international law and justice. It has a huge economic partnership with USA around \$70 billion. In 2015, Taiwan was the largest trading partner of USA. This is affecting the PRC which considers Taiwan as a state of China.

## US-Taiwan<sup>3</sup> Agreements towards Training and the Partnerships.

The USA in 2006, joint agreement with Taiwan of Global Training Framework. The USA in 2012 jointly launched the Pacific Islands Leadership Partnership and agreement signed of collective budget.



(4)

USA's Responsible for Taiwan

Defense: Majorily A birdseye

View of China Unity

The first treaty of US defence to Taiwan was signed in the 1955. Recently, within a decade USA has been provided \$23.7 billions in arms to Taiwan. Although, the Taiwan is a part of ~~USA~~ China but it has majorily conflict with China. This arms create insurgency between China and Taiwan.

(5)

Nelsi Pelosi visit to Taiwan

Creating Sino-US Tension

In 2022, the US speaker of House, Nelsi Pelosi visit to Taiwan and clearly message by the US was straightforward. Especially, when US President are using efforts to rouse like-minded democracies.

"The visit should be seen as an unequivocal statement that US stands with Taiwan, our democratic partner, as it defends itself and its freedom."

(Nelsi Pelosi)



current affairs

add references and link with current developments

Go for pictorial presentation of certain ideas

attempt all parts in the question. do not neglect one

add facts and figures to support your argument

Go for deep understanding of topics to make good analysis

## Conclusion

The USA abruptly intereaved in China's internal affairs. The Beijing policy is clear on this matter to make one China under one government while its policy categorically and overwhelmingly denied by USA and Taiwan always. It now verge on chaos in US-Sino Relation.

(5)  
Beijing reaction on  
USA's intereved in Taiwan

strict action  
interference policy.  
possible  
normalization, divergence,  
There is a dire need  
of non-interference policy at the  
international level. Although the USA  
reflecting this but world  
leaders must take a stance on it.