

CURRENT Affairs

NOA - Mock

Q NO 4:

Global arena of economic corridor.

Start with the introduction of the qs

→ Recent launch of IMEC - India middle east economic corridor - which was seen as the competitor of China's Belt and Road initiative (BRI), reveals the economic competition of states in the form of economic corridor.

+ BRI, which was launched in 2013 by China and has included many developing countries ~~are~~ in it, is also often under western criticism, that it is a tool used by China to make countries dependent on itself.

TMEC and its purposes :-

* TMEC envisioned by India, USA and their allies seeks the following purpose behind its creation?

- To redefine the existing trade routes between India, UAE and Europe
- To enhance the movement of goods, data, and money
- To foster people to people contacts

Underlying motivation

* However, the underlying motivation, as seen by political experts are to counter China's BRI.

BRI :-

* BRI, a trillion dollars initiative, involving countries from Asia, Middle East, Africa and Europe is also a similar initiative ~~designed~~ of China, launched almost

10 - years ago, with a similar type purpose. Until now, it has undertaken a tremendous amount of work.

* POTENTIAL OF BRI & IMEC

Attempt by giving subheadings
not points

* Regarding the scale of investment IMEC has a potential revenue of \$60 bn whereas BRI has \$8 trillion.

* Regarding the transportation, BRI is diverse but covers 70% of land. Whereas, IMEC comprises of both land and sea dimensions

* BRI includes almost a multidirectional project, includes countries of Asia, Europe, Africa and America. IMEC includes also one of the top industrialized and wealthy countries

* BRI includes energy, infrastructure and industrial zones, whereas

- * IMEC includes rail, road, shipping infrastructure
- * IMEC includes the richest countries that are tech-smart too, its expansion to the new frontiers can be envisioned by then wealth and skills. However, BRI has also done a lot of important projects after the past ten years and is hoping to do in the future too.

FUTURE PROSPECTS OF BOTH PROJECTS:-

- * IMEC is very much completed and is now in the advanced stages in seafarers and rail connection.
- * Further, China's dominance over the world concerns drive IMEC nations to expedite IMEC's completion.

* Also, private sector that is involved in it can expedite also the project implementation.

* Both these projects would provide economic benefits, both will improve the technological status of the involving countries.

* BRI also have a significant number of countries - 150 in numbers - where this project is going to transform millions of lives of the people

Challenges -

* Some challenges that are posed to both these projects are

→ Disruption in trade operations due to geopolitical and security concerns in the middle east.

* Environmental and regulatory issues to be resolved

* Financing of the projects as IMEC needs a more than \$600bn for its implementation

Short answer. Work on the structure. Add references also

CONCLUSION:

Both projects are definitely to exert their economic influence on the participating countries, though both have distinct approaches with BRI at large and global scale impact and IMEC has tech-savvy countries, besides their huge wealth. Both will impact the future trajectory of state-to-state nations, as then geo-economics plays more active role than geopolitics.



Q - 6 :-

LOSSES & DAMAGE FUND:-

Loss and damage fund was established at COP27, that was held in Sharm El-Sheikh last year.

AIM:-

The aim was to provide financial assistance to poorer and vulnerable nations.

→ It was first time that the wealthier fund was paid by wealthier countries to low-income countries.

FINANCIAL PLEDGES:-

→ Affluent nations, that are primarily accountable for the climate losses have committed to give the \$700 million to loss and damage fund. This is however a very much less amount that is required to developing countries in

their fight against climate change!

Opportunities of practical realization of COP-28 pledges

a) FINANCING GAP.

As according to UNDP reports, to combat against climate crisis, world's developing countries will need (\$215-\$387bn) finance, but the pledges made were only \$700 million, which is 0.2% of what is needed. (IPRI, key happening at COP28, Dec 2023)

b) INVESTMENT IN RENEWABLE ENERGY

* At COP 28, more than 100 nations have committed to tripling their Renewable

energy capacity by 2030. But this need a significant amount of investment. The question of funding this is still remains unaddressed.

c) Transitioning away from fossil fuels

Representatives of 10 nations have reached a consensus that they will transitioned itself away from fossil fuels. But COP 26 has said that doing so is akin to taking the world into old-age.

d) Methane reduction and food systems resilience

To cut the emissions of methane by 30% by 2030, nations were identified as major polluter. Also, 158 nations and over 200 non-state entities will be established. But their

practical viability remain
a big question.

Add more arguments in this part

PAKISTAN & COP. 28:

HIGHLY ELIGIBLE

* Pakistan is highly eligible to access C and D resources, therefore immediately improves its capacity.

Following measures must be done by Pakistan to be benefited from them

a) Ministry of Climate Change (MoCC) must lead itself to establish the required institutional setup.

b) MoCC must include the professionals in this regard

c) Climate vulnerability mapping be immediately

be addressed.

- d) ~~D~~ The losses that Pakistan incurred by Pakistan in general are \$30bn losses due to recent floods, must actively be lobbied to extract the finances from D&D funds.
- e) Governments, leaders from public and private sectors, and civil society must work in a coordinated way to reap maximum benefits

QUESTION 4

SIFC:

Special investment facilitation council, which was established in last years is aimed to improve the country's economic condition by attracting foreign investments especially from middle eastern countries

CHANCES OF INVESTMENT

①

NEGATIVE SIDE

* Pakistan has some domestic problems that significantly hampers the investment prospects

a) Terrorism surge:-

Recent terrorism surge in the country deters international investors to invest there.

b) Political instability :-

As economic stability is linked directly with political stability. Pakistan's recent political instable episodes hampers any economic investment there.

c) Market intervention:-

Pakistan is considered as a least - free market nation. Government has been actively intervening in markets by regulating it. Foreign investor

hesitates to pour their money in such a heavily regulated market

d) Ease of doing business,

Pakistan's ease of doing business index score is very worse, due to corruption (Transparency International ranks Pakistan at 140th nation in its corruption perception index). This put investors in a significant trouble

e) Economic fundamentals,

Thus all above indicators shows that, Pakistan's economic fundamentals are not supporting its claim that billion of dollar investment can be brought into the country.

POSITIVE SIDE

a) Young and youthful population

Pakistan is ranked 5th in global population, where its 65% population are below 30 years age. Thus providing a significant human capital resources, that is required for investment.

b) Rich mineral & land resource

Pakistan has a potential of \$ 8 trillion of mineral and abundant, rich, natural fertile land, alluring the investors to bring their money in

c) ENERGY POTENTIAL.

Pakistan has both the energy potential of renewable & non-renewable energy resources. It has 56 GW hydel and 150 GW coal energy potential

d) Government initiatives

Recent trends

has shown that government is actively been lobbying for bridging the financial investments like SIFC itself, where military and civil governors are actively taking part on it.

CONCLUSION:

Though Pakistan has been facing challenges that are creating a lot of problems that deters foreign investment to come. Yet, initiatives like SIFC is established only for the purpose of achieving SIFC, economic fundamentals restructuring course, can bring a lot in bringing FDI in \$125 billion annual in Pakistan.

QUESTION 3

It is very difficult to say for one, that things like Iran-SA rapprochement may provide, alongwith Hamas-Israel war, an impetus for OIC to become a successful organization for leading muslim countries, due to the following reasons.

1) Ideological divide:-

On one hand Iran is supporting and appreciating Hamas war efforts, whereas other countries that are allied with SA is not even condemning the atrocities of Israel in war.

2) Economic dependency:-

Most of the Saudi allied countries have economic dependency on US and

its allies.

3) Military equipment imports:-

Gulf countries have been one of biggest importers from US and its western allies

4) Israel's influence:-

Israel not has only influence on western ^{nation} and USA, as seen by its continuous unabated human rights violations. If US and other powerful nations can't do anything meaningful how does the voice of fragmented very less motivated, poor in military nations can do to stifle Israel's opposition.

5) Autocratic governments:-

Autocratic, King's and other monarchial rule is evident in most of

the muslim Arab countries,
they pay little heed
to the aspiration
— what they feel about
their muslim Palestinian brethren
— of their own country
peoples

INTERNAL PROBLEMS

Non - Arab countries
like Pakistan, Iraq,
Bangladesh are facing
the internal problems,
which failed them to
put focus and bring
some improvements in
this regard.

CONCLUSION:

In a nutshell,
that though there
are chances for OIC
to become a global
effective Islamic countries
platform where voice
of muslim peoples
and atrocities they

suggested are to be noted,
yet as discussed above, one
may say that due to
different reasons, the waves
for very happening such is
breaks.

