

Your language is fine

Improve your

expressions

Asked part must be

prioritised

Rephrase some of the

points

Knowledge and

arguments are fine

"How" expressions

must be improved

Must attend the tutorial

session for further

suggestions and flaws

Try to increase the number of

arguments otherwise it wouldn't

substantiate your stance

The asked part must be

prioritised

DATE: / / 20

The Impact of Political Interference on Judicial Independence

Outline

I. Introduction

B. How Political Interference Impacts on Judicial Independence:

- a) Political intervention leads to biased judicial decisions
- b) It ensures no rule of law
- c) Political interferences weakens judicial autonomy
- d) Judicial independence disappears against unjust structure

C. Consequences of Political Interference

1. Loss of Judicial Independence:

- a) Loss of trust among public appears due to political interference
- b) Political interventions exacerbates corruption
- c) Judiciary lacks justice and promotes inequality.
- d) It leads to weak checks and balances of institutions

D. Pragmatic Solutions that can Ensure Judicial Independence:

- a) Government needs to ensure

DATE: 1/120

political influence

- b) Judiciary need to maintain rule of law
- c) Government should ensure separation of powers
- d) It should promote fundamental rights by strengthening judicial independence

E. Conclusion:

The Impact of Political Interference on Judicial Independence

Judicial independence is ensures justice. It is one of the important organs of the state that keeps checks and balances on institutions. From barbarious, savage and dark era to the civilized world, it has played a great role in civilization by promoting justice and punished those barbarious. Judicial independence is necessary for a nation to work for the betterment of public and state. However, political interference in

DATE: ___/___/20

Judicial independence has ^{worsened} its autonomy and promoted inequality and injustice. Moreover, it has various impacts that can hinder its autonomous decision.

No one can doubt that political intervention in judicial independence violates rule of law and promotes unjust. Along with its impacts, there are various consequences that judiciary faces when due to political interference. Lack of trust in public is one of the worst consequences that can lead a disable nation by violating its rules. Furthermore, corruption increases due to political intervention. However, these problems exist in the world but some suggested measures can eliminate them.

Government should ensure judicial independence in decision and can rebuild trust in public. Additionally, it should promote fundamental rights by strengthening judiciary. Thus, this essay will explore the negative impacts of political interference, and its consequences

DATE

No need for transition paragraph

and eventually, its suggested measures.

Moving from introduction, it is necessary to understand the impacts of political interference on judicial independence. From biased decisions to violation of rule of law, all have worse impacts on its decision-making and autonomy.

Political intervention leads to biased judicial decisions. When judiciary is under influence of the politician, it will work and decide for their support. Moreover, it will ignore the constitution and ~~rule~~ the separation of power. It will violate the fundamental and basic human rights. For instance, in India, during revocation of Article 370 in Kashmir, the right to property and permanent residence, the judiciary of ~~the~~ India decided in the favor of political ^{extremist} party BJP, leading actor Prime Minister Narendra Modi, ignoring the innocent Kashmiris. This

DATE: ___/___/20___

evidence highlights how political intervention can lead to a biased decision.

Political intervention violates rule of law. It ignores the laws passed by parliament and senate. It undermines the judicial independence while breaking rules and violating constitution of a nation. Moreover, they will humiliate the integrity and sovereignty of a state. The judiciary is dependent so, it will decide in their favor.

For instance, recently the ~~incident~~ ~~Prime Minister~~ occurred in North Korea where a son of politician vilified a police officer viraled on social media. The court did not take action against him but leave him with honour. Therefore, this example highlights how political interference can violate rule of law due to its influence on judicial independence.

Political intervention weakens judicial autonomy. When a country having dependent and under

influenced of politics of judiciary, the judiciary will lack its autonomy. It will not ensure justice to public. Moreover, it would be an organ working for few elite class people having background in politics. For example, in Pakistan, the judiciary lacks autonomy and work under the influence of politicians. Whenever, judiciary gives a verdict, politicians violates it and criticize its decisions.

Therefore, the example highlights how political interference can lack the autonomy of judiciary.

Judicial independence disappears against an unjust structure. The constitution clearly defines when any bill is brought in parliament or senate or when it is resolved, the judiciary have authority to cancel it if it is against the public interest. Moreover, when judiciary works under political interference, it will be a disable organ and can not promote justice

DATE: ___/___/20___

and equality. For instance, during the fall of Hasina, the daughter of Mujib-ur-Rehman, founder of Bangladesh, presented a quota for freedom fighters' children, the judiciary remained silent. This example shows how political interference can worsen judicial independence.

Having understood the ~~also~~ impacts of political interference, it is necessary to know its consequences which are essential. There are four from lack of trust in public to corruption, all have their significant side.

Due to political interference, the lack of trust appears in public. When judiciary ignores public interest in favour of politicians, the people become compelled to work against their structure. Moreover, people will not follow rule of law and ignores and violates constitution of a state. They will not expect a justice from court and will show their lack.

DATE: / / 20

For example, in Bangladesh people did not follow its constitution and showed lack of trust in judiciary and government. Eventually fell down the regime. This example shows how political interference leads to lack of trust in public.

Corruption is one of the driving factor that almost nations face. Due to political intervention in judicial independence, the politicians are free to engage in corruption and violates rule of law. Moreover, it exacerbates corruption in officials from higher level to lower level. For example, studies show that political interference in judicial independence results in more corruption and weakens institutions. Therefore this evidence shows how political intervention can worsen judicial independence and exacerbate corruption. Due to political influence on judicial independence

The judiciary lacks justice and promotes inequality. When a judiciary is dependent and looking for the politicians order to decide, it will lead to injustice and inequality in public. People will not show interest and violate rule of law. For instance, in Jammu Kashmir, the government of India is killing thousands of innocent Kashmiris, the judiciary of India is promoting them and ensuring injustice and inequality. Thus, the example highlights how political interference in judicial independence can promote injustice and inequality.

Political intervention in judicial independence has worst consequence. It will weaken checks and balances of institutions. The institutions will find a way to engage into various unconstitutional activities. Moreover, it will weaken the whole structure of the state. When judiciary lacking checks and balances on officials, they will not

DATE: ___/___/20___

follow the constitution and will engage into corruption, and evil deeds. For example, recently, the North Korea implements Martial law and the judiciary was unable to check and balance of the institutions and finally leading to a worst situation. This example shows how weak judiciary without checks and balances can disadvantage its nation.

By understanding consequences, it is necessary to understand the prepositional solutions which can be beneficial for a state to independent judiciary. From maintenance of rule of law to ensure accountability, all are significant.

Government should ensure an independent and strong judiciary so as to not undermine the evil and biased decisions. When the judiciary is independent, it can work in the betterment of a nation. Moreover, it will promote justice and equality. Rule of law will be ensured to

all equally. For instance, the judiciary of China is independent and strong, it always decides in the favor of public. ~~It even hangs till death to the politician engaged in corruption. This, this example highlights how an independent judiciary can work for the betterment of state and public.~~

An independent judiciary needs to maintain rule of law to ensure justice and equality in public. Moreover, it promotes punishment to those who violate the constitution and rules. Whenever, anyone is involved in an activity against the constitution, the judiciary needs to take quick action against the offender to save and ensure the rule of law. For example, in the United States of America, an official slapped a barber due to some reason, the court took action against him due to equality of all citizens before law. Therefore, this examples

DATE: ___/___/20___

highlights how an independent judiciary can save public interest and ensures rule of law.

Separation of powers are is necessary for a nation for surveillance and checks and balances. The judiciary should focus on the institutions and government need to ensure separation of power. When all three organs of the state, i.e., judiciary, legislature, execution works independently, there is no chance of injustice and inequality. For instance, in USA, the state ensure separation of power. However, Donald Trump was charged in many cases but the court of USA allowed him to ^{participate} in election. Thus, this example shows that how separation of powers can ensure a better state.

The government should ensure fundamental rights by strengthening the judiciary autonomy. When the judiciary is independent,

It will work according to the constitution and ensure equality and justice. Through this, the government and judiciary can rebuild public trust and work independently. For instance, the judiciary of Turkey strengthened judicial autonomy and strengthened its decision-making after Tayyip Erdogan. This evidence highlights how an independent judiciary can ignore biased decision and working for the betterment of people.

To conclusion, the impact of political interference on judicial independence has significant negative effects along with diverse consequences. However, some suggested measures can undermine these impacts and promotes justice and equality. There are various impacts that judiciary faces due to political interference. From biased decisions to violating rule of law are significant which can

DATE: ___/___/20___

be harmful for state. These impacts can create mistrust and hate in public towards judiciary and government. Political interference in judicial autonomy leads to weak checks and balances eventually, worsening judicial reputation. However, some pragmatic solutions can be beneficial if these are implemented. Government should prioritize separation of powers and ensure accountability and maintenance of rule of law.