

The impact of political interference on judicial independence.

You haven't understood the topic properly. The topic is about the impacts on judicial independence not on the judiciary's duties which it does normally.

Besides, must work on your sentence structure

Punctuation should be improved
Must work on your argumentations
Expressions must be improved
Try to come up with diversified and strong evidence.

Must attend the tutorial session for further suggestions and flaws

2: Impacts of Political interference on judicial independence:

(a) Political interference on judiciary undermines impartiality of institutions.

(b) Rule of law being compromised in judiciary under political intervention.

(c) Political influence on judiciary undermines the accountability.

(d) Rule of law get compromised under political influence.

(e) Selective justice culture in judiciary under political influence.

(f) It creates mistrust between public and institution.

(g) Political interference on judiciary warms up the clash between institutions.

(h) Security concerns rises in the country when justice get politicised.

(i) Political involvement in judiciary challenges the democracy.

(j) It creates a social unrest when justice process compromised.

(k) Political intervention on judiciary reduces transparency.

(l) It causes brain drain of the youth in country.

3 Conclusion.

You haven't understood the topic properly. The topic is about the impacts on judicial independence not on the judiciary's duties which it does normally.

Justice is the primary right for any individual, any society or even for a state, justice is as much mandatory as their existence. If any individual or a state have trust on its justice system, the society morale remains high, but if they lack trust in it, this often creates a chaos in a local society or an international society. A state has three pillars one is legislative, another is executive and the judiciary. legislative and executive branches often remain interdependence but the judiciary is one who holds a separate status, it oversees the overall country's justice process and interpret the laws according with constitution. If the judiciary branch get interference of legislative or executive branch it might create a severe problems. legislative branch often involves political persons who are elected representatives of public. The political interference on judiciary will undermine the impartiality of institution as it holds a high responsibility in state. The judiciary must ensure justice

Must work on your sentence structure and "s" "es"

for all, apart from any superiority but ~~political~~ intervention compromise the justice process. It promote the selective justice culture in the society. When judiciary comes under influence of politicians it reduces transparency and compromise on accountability. Political involvement in judicial process creates a mistrust between peoples and institution. It also warms up the clash of institutions. Ultimately it creates a social unrest and chaos in the society. The political interference on judiciary has severe impacts in the society, including selective justice culture, mistrust between public and institution, social unrest and other disastrous impacts. **Not an impressive introduction**

Political interference on judiciary undermines the impartiality of institution. It promotes the biased decisions, either at state level or globally. ~~if someone got appointed as~~

The appointer expects its favoured decisions. It is often seemed that politicians appoints its favourite personality in high positions for desirable outcomes like in 26th Amendment in Pakistan constitution the seniority principle for the appointment of chief justice got compromised. Amendment in constitution is not a wrong practice but the time and occasion made the appointment of a chief justice is a political choice.

Substantially low argument

Can't be evidence

Under Political intervention justice get compromised in the judiciary, it is often seemed that in many cases either at society level or in global level justice compromised under political influence. The case of Palestine in ICJ; International court of justice - shows the political influence compromised the justice for Palestinians. a famous saying is about justice "Justice delayed, justice denied" It means that if justice got delayed by any means, it means justice denied.

Where is your analysis?

Political interference on judiciary undermines the accountability.

Politicised judiciary can not ensure accountability in the society. How a person hold accountable its master? It is a major impact, politicians often interfere in judiciary and appoint a handpick man and try to revert all cases against them. on Nov 26th it was to impose fine/penalty^{Charge} on Donald trump but he got free from all cases. that shows the undermining of accountability due to political interference.

Rule of law in the country get compromised due to political interference in judiciary. If a person can not hold accountable his master. ultimately rule of law compromised. Country may suffer various challenges due to injustice. Pakistan ranks 129th out of 142 in rule of law index. in a country like Pakistan where rule of law is much worse, will not prosper economically or socially.

More over, political influence on judiciary promotes selective justice culture in the society. It has been

witnessed that judicatures under political intervention provides selective justice, the one who might gets the right but the weaker party undermined. It will create a disastrous impact in the society. Like Shahrukh Jatoi case in Pakistan. early or late might gets its right.

Not only selective justice culture but political interference creates a mistrust between public and institutions. It promotes lack of faith in institutions. In result, society goes into troublous impacts, like honour killing culture, people lacks the trust in judiciary and do not go to judicial councils for dispute resolution.

Political interference on judiciary ultimately raises the security concerns in the society. Politicised justice system fails to provide the justice and conviction to real offenders. If a person acts a crime but get free release under political influence will result into a gang formation. Target killing incidents promoted under political influence.

Political interference on judiciary not only impacts the judiciary but it affects the democracy as well. Political influence on judiciary often used for victimisation of opposition parties. It is used as a tool to push back opposition parties. It enables a serious threat to democracy. Like in Bangladesh judiciary was used to suppress the opposition parties and that resulted into a chaos in country.

Political involvement in judicial processes creates a social unrest, and chaotic situation. It creates a mistrust between public and institutions like in Bangladesh when judiciary used to suppress the opposition and might have won the elections, but it could not be managed. Even in Gouta case the judiciary postponed the order but the students lack the trust on institutions and that created a chaotic situation in country.

Politicised judiciary reduces transparency among institutions. In other words transparency

get affected due to political interference. Politically choice judiciary compromises on wrong practices by its master. It often hinders the transparency.

Lastly the political intervention on judiciary impacts the youth. It contributes significantly in Brain drain of youth. If any individual does not get its rights to justice in society, it will significantly impact the mind of youth. Social unrest, political polarization, Chaos and other traumatized situations suppress the youth to go abroad, to seek ~~out~~ an environment free from chaos and anxiety.

Political interference on judiciary not have ~~only~~ single impact but there are ~~are~~ severe impacts on judiciary independency. It reduces transparency as well as ~~compromise~~ on accountability. ~~political~~ judiciary lack the trust of its stakeholders. Judiciary ~~under~~ influence of politics creates a selective and partial

justice culture. Democracy has threat when judiciary comes under influence of politics. It promotes the culture of clash of institutions. Justice is the primary requisite need of any individual or any state. If justice got delayed justice got denied. Society destroys if its justice system been captured by politicians or elites. Although political interference hinders the justice process, but still we can make it positive in sense of people supremacy. There are a lot of measures which can be taken to ensure judicial independence while not attacking or compromising the sovereignty of parliament.