Name Abdul Jabbay Batch 368 PAPER-J LMSID, 34340. DATE: INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS (IR) PART-Subje-11v= -NO #OH Ans Introduction paradigms mee Relations International Dillars which fundamental -lhe behavior define State 115 Approach sbrowoh and paradigms another. ave · Realism, Liberalism Constructivism Paradigms: Purpose lhree lhe -hese · Ihree 29 -10 define nature :13 the state behavior states and With other -lheir conflict m role Cooperation. -lheiv However, god is aim and distinct from one but define whether a nation to achieve want and prefer cooperation engage ON

DATE: / /20 themself themselves otri conflict and war. PARADIGMS HREE Thesis REALISM synthesis Anti-thesis LIBERALISM CONSTRUCTIVISM 1. Realism: Realism is a school Thought which The see world pessimist way . in International vision Their -lhe Relations is That dangerous ei blrow a place where war as John fo Kennedy - mevitable. As said, live unfornate We an Maere 10 Secure Morld we need to peace, into war". laBeralism concept of Core lhe that the ultimate 9001 13 balance 15 state of -lhe

DATE:
of power. They reject the idea of liberals that coopera-
idea of liberals that coopera-
tion can reduces the chances
of was, but the realists
believe in competition and to achieve power politics through
to achieve power politics through
which one nation can exploit
the resources, sovereignty, interity and mindsel of another state. As Morgenthau defines the definition of power as,
and mindsel of another state
As Morgenthau defines the
definition of power as
"It is the psychological
relationship between two
nations through which
one nation nation can
exploit whatever he wonts, and change the mindset and apply his will at others?
and apply his well at
athers?
O / N O O O
Realists Thoughts:
Human Core Consol I and and I are
· Humans are egoist by nature
and they are comptent
rather than cooperative. They
prefer competition to their
Survival. However, states are
competing to balance of
power and cooperation can create misters in inter-
cregte misterst in inter-
national system and states
그리아 나타를 못 되었다고 있었다면 하는 사람들이 되었다면 보이는 사람이는 사람들이 살아보다 보다 그렇다.

-	DATE://20	
The same of the sa	ultimate goal to keep peace is the anarchy through which strongs of the other.	
	is the anarchy through which	
	st can control the behaviors	
	of the other.	
September 1997		
	2. <u>Liberalism</u> : Liberalism is a	
	TIREOUISM 12 d	
-	alternative and counter to	
	allessouthe and Counter to	
	realism. The liberalism vision	
	dowards the world is optimistic	
-	and prefer cooperation.	
-	radher than competition.	
	Unlike realism which defines	
	the human modure as bad.	
	liberalism defines -the	
	cooperative nature of	
	human. It prefers - the	
	liberalism defines -the cooperative nature of human. It prefers -the cooperative model for	
	securing beace and prosperity	
	of a hadion. It does not	
	support the anarchy because	
1	support the anarchy because it believes that it a state ehances its power as compared to bis relative	
	ehances sts power as	
	compared -10 bis relative	
	state, the other state	
	will feel msecure, in	
	result it will maximize	
	their power which will	
	create security dilemma.	
	however, in return the	
	In the color of th	

	DATE://20	erior month
	state will maximize his	
	power to keep balance of power and his hejemony	
	power and his hejemony	and the party of the party of
	Therefore in resulti the	
	seculation dilemma Further	Control of the Control
	exacerbates which will	,
	be destauction of - the world. Therefore, cooperation,	
	world. Therefore, copperation,	
	and economic intersependence	
	can reduce the chancer of	
	war and will engage states	
	into economic competition	
	and lead to peace-	
	Liberal Thoughts:	
-	Human are good	-
	by nature, they are	
	cooperative. However, the	
	flaws in humans is not	
	their fault but 91 is	
	The evil environment which	
	compell human downels evil	
	deed and enpape into	
	wors and conflict. However,	
	by reforming the environment	
	we can rebuild - the morality	67
	of a man. The liberal	
	school of thought believe	
	in morality and cooperation	
	Therefore, - they insist the	
	international system to	-
the left almost the first	n a maint ann a trait ann an ait sua mheach a tarainn 1901 — ann a mheach a tha tha tha tha tha tha tha tha tha	

	DATE: warmer / consister [760] warms
to the state of th	expel -those matitudions
	which promoter - the
	peinciples of wars and
	comflict.
Trifficture of science property and a state of	
	Constructivism
A CANADA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA P	Constructivism
	is a school of thought
	of International Relations
	- that highlights how the
	states and nations
	behave, how their ideas
	build. There idees come
	from the society. The
An or consideration of the state of page and the	main focus of construction
	vism. is on the ideas
Where is so	nihas 2 parts con which states
Discuss se	cond part of conflict??
	diatory
Parameter de la constantina della constantina de	Conclusion:
	the three baradiques
	of International Relations are
	the school of thoughts which
	having different approach to word
	the world! Restran promoter
	wars and anarch for
	survivel, liberation focus
	on the cooperation and
	peace and constructivism focus
to extra contrator estra de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya	on here two paradigms that how
trans and thickness decisions for some a supplication of	They shope ideas and notions belove

DATE: _/_/20
QN0#5
-Ans:
Introduction:
and violent conflict between
two states, groups or nations,
usually involving armed
Close forces - It PS a
political tool through
which states acquire
the territory, resources,
sovereignty and power
of the other state.
when diplomery fails.
Mar can songe from
small-scale to large
Scale.
there are various causes
Power strugge Tollifical causes
Causes
o difico
Power struggle
C. C
The Constraint of the Constrai
County dileur sur l
Gecurity dilieums Con In Consons,
Adequitable of the contract of
Ader Jan Es
Gecurity dilieums of the contract of the contr
*

DATE: /_ /20_	
Political Causes of War.	
i- Territorial Disputes:	
States always	
engage into wars due	
engage into wars due to protect or defense Their territory from acquire	
Their dereitgry from acquire	
by his sivelary.	
EIX: UIOIG- PAKI WENT.	
ii- Nationalism:	
Intense parvionem	
for nation can create	
for nation can create conflicts. Such as, The war of Balkan wars".	
- Dancin wars.	
ii - Regione Change	
Efforts 10 replace	\wedge
of the rivolves. Such as	
19rag war, 2003.	
Economic Causes of War.	
i- Resource Competition	
Klars between different	
nations over resources control	
including or, water or minerals	in the second se

market toroid commit	DATE: _/_/20
	Such as, Gulf war, 1990-91.
	Trade and Sconomic Rivalvies:
	Wars between two
	different nations on the
	different nations on the control of trade verites or market dominance. Such
	or market dommance. Such
	as, US-Chino.
	0 0
	Social Causes of Way
	i- Elhnic Conflict:
	Mars over ethnic
	divisions which boost the
	nations to engage vilo the
	Genocide, 1994.
	ii- Revenge or Historical : Grievences.
	Historical grievences
	fuels wars 10 the opponent
	for revenge. Such as 1/Cold
	war between US and USSR.
	Ideological Causes of War:
	• Difference in the day
	i- Difference in Ideology: Conflict over the
	Conflict over the

DATE://20
ideologies, one having ideflerent ideology to his vivology then they enpage themselves into the wear. Suchas, was between
Capitalism and Commusur
11- Religion conflicts:
Wars between
two opponent povers due to their different religion.
to their different religion, They enpage into wars. Such as, 30-years wan between Cotholics and protestants.
Security Dilemma Causes:
• • •
1- Arms race: When one
When one
state's military build up couses others to do the
When one
State's smilitory build up couses others to do the same, - They leed to war. Such as world was 1.
When one State's military build up couses others to do the same, - They led to war. Such as world was 1. ii- Preemptive Strickes: When one
State's smilitory build up Couses others to do the Same, - They leed to war. Such as would wan 1. ii- Preemptive Strickes: When one mation feel threat to strike
When one State's military build up couses others to do the same, - They led to war. Such as world was 1. ii- Preemptive Strickes: When one
state's smilitory build up couses others to do the same, they leed to war. Such as world was 1. ii- Preemptive Strickes: When one mation feel threat to stribe by amother mation, in

DATE: __/_/20 Power Struggle Causes of War i- Hegemonic wars: Wars -10 dominate the interplation system. Such as, N-apoleonic won. 11- Balance of Hax: Efforts to maintain or chollenge fue power dynamics. Such as world wan I Conclusion: in International Nar Relations arises interconnected and. power includi economic dashes and dilemna. Understanding There courses helps states and organizations work Hound conflict relotation and Individual State Global level analysis

	Ans Introduction: Nationalism and
	Introduction: Nationalism and
	Introduction: Nationalism and
	Nationalism and
	Nationalism and
	Clabalization and loss
	Globalization are two
	distinct topics of the today's world. These are
	Today's world. Hese are
	complex and broad
	topics paring different
	approach dowards the
	world. Honever, natronalism
	focus on the nation's
	reputation and national
	interest. Whereas, Colobalization
	is internationalism meens
	into a redwark however.
	nationalism separate the
_	nation from other ware
	world.
,	Nationalism:
	Nadionalism prioritizes
	the nation-state, often
	resisting outside influence
	to maintain sovereignty
	and Identity.
	Globalization:
	Globalization

in e politic	fostering global integrations in economics, culture and politics-		
Compar	Compare and Contrast between Nationalism and Globalization:		
Aspect	Nationalism	Globalization	
Definition	focus on promotion	Refers -lo	
Wejimino.	of the interests,	the increased	
30.208	culture and	"interconnectedness	
	sovereignty of	of the world	
	a single	through trade,	
	nation.	Communication	
		and cultival	
	Discuss under l	readinghampe.	
t to english		/	
Kay Cond.	Psiositizing national	Seeks -lo	
Key God.	independence	Integrate	
	and self-	economies,	
	reliance.	Cultures	
		and societies	
		globally.	
Perspective	Nation-contened,	Global contend, comphanies	
	emphorizes nonguency	interconnectedness and	
	and independencer	interdependence.	

And the state of t	
DATE:	150
I E/I E E C	1211

Aspect	Nationalism	Globalization
Аррхоаси	Stresses 9	Encourages 9
76 9 dentity	distinct mational	showed global
	sidentity and	conte blending
	heritage.	
Economic	Protects domestic	Promote free-
Focus	industries and	markets and
	i,e-danffs.	economic
		interretion.
Political	Advocates for Sovereignty	Cooperation
	and local	through interestions
	grerments	profies.
Approach	Resist foreign	Advocates for
40	intervention	resolving dispute
Conflict	Its notional	Meorph interretions
	inferent.	frades
Examples	Brexit	WTO.
		r

DATE: ___/_/20

Strengths Encourages Facilitates patriotism, economic unity and growth, cultural innovation preservation and culture exchange Weekness Can lead to Can widen me Esolationism, orode local Xenophabia, culture or in os conflicts depending. Philosophical Rooted in self- Rooted in Liberal	Aspect	Nationalism	Globalization
patriotism, economic unity and growth, cultural mnonation preservation and cultural exchange Workness Can lead to Cau widen me isotationism, evode local xenophabia, cultural or is os conflicts dependent os conflicts dependent and interdependent and sovergnty. Evidence of Nationalism Dominana: i- Rise of Populism: Leaders Tike Donald trump (USA) and Navendra Mobali (India) emphasiz national sovereignty and putting		Enemurages	Facilitates
Unity and growth, cultural innovation preservation and culture exchange Workness Can lead to Can widen me solationism, arode local Xenophotoia, culture or in os conflicts dependent Philosophical Rosted in self- Barin Cleterimetrica and interdepend and soverighty. Evidence of Nationalism Dominana: i- Rise of Populism: leaders like Donald trump (USA) and Navandra Mobali (India) emphasia national sovereignty and putting	Stengins		
Preservation and culture exchange Workness Can lead to Can widen me Isotationism, orode local xenophotoia; culture or in Os conflicts dependent Philosophical Rooted in self-Rooted in liberal Baris Cletermination and interdependent and soverighty. Evidence of Nationalism Dominana: I- Rise of Populism: Leaders Tike Donald tocamp (USA) and Navendra Mobeli (India) emphasia national soverighty and putting			
Preservation and culture exchange Washiners Can lead to Can widen me isolateonism, evode local Xenophotoia, culture or in os conflicts dependency Philosophical Roted in self- Barin Cletermination and interdependence and soverighty. Evidence of Nationalism Dominance: i- Rise of Populism: Leaders Tike Donald toump (USA) and Navendra Mobali (India) emphasiz national sovereigney and putting		cultural	innovation,
Workness Can lead to Can widen me Isolationism, arode local Xenophobia, Culturer or in Os conflicts dependency. Philosophical Rosted in self-Rosted in liberal Baris Cletermination and inferdependency and soverighty. Evidence of Nationalism Dominana: I- Rise of Populism: Leaders like Donald trump (USA) and Narendra Mobdi (India) emphasia national serverigness and putting	- 3 h Jan. • 1		
Washness Can lead to Can widen me Isolateonism, exode local Xenophobia, Cultiver or in Os conflicts dependent Philosophical Rooted in self- Baris Oletermination and interdependent and soverighty. Evidence of Nationalism Dominana: I- Rise of Populism: Leaders Tike Donald trump (USA) and Narendra Mobali (India) emphania natronal serverigness and putting	<u> </u>		
Evidence of Nationalism Dominance: I Philosophical Rocted in self-Rooted in Liberal Barin Oletermination and interdependency. I Philosophical Rocted in self-Rooted in Liberal Barin Oletermination and interdependence: I Rise of Populism: Leaders like Donald toump (USA) and Narendra Mobali (India) emphasize matroonal sovereigney and putting			
Evidence of Nationalism Dominance: I Philosophical Rocted in self-Rooted in Liberal Barin Oletermination and interdependency. I Philosophical Rocted in self-Rooted in Liberal Barin Oletermination and interdependence: I Rise of Populism: Leaders like Donald toump (USA) and Narendra Mobali (India) emphasize matroonal sovereigney and putting	Weekners.	Can lead to	Can widen me just
Philosophical Rooted in self-Rooted in Liberal Bazis Obstermination and interdepen and soverighty. Evidence of Nationalism Dominana: i- Rise of Populism: Leaders Tike Donald toump (USA) and Narendra Mobali (India) emphasia matroonal sovereignty and putting		Isolationism,	
Philosophical Rooted in self-Rooted in Liberal Bazin Cletermination and inferdependent and soverighty. Evidence of Nationalism Dominana: i- Rise of Populism: Leaders like Donald trump (USA) and Narendra Moodi (India) emphasia natrooral severeighty and puting			cultures or increa
Evidence of Nationalism Dominance: i- Rise of Populism: Leaders like Donald toump (USA) and Narendra Mobeli (India) emphasiz national severeigney and putting	12 - 34	or conflicts	dependeny.
Evidence of Nationalism Dominance: i- Rise of Populism: Leaders like Donald toump (USA) and Narendra Mobeli (India) emphasiz national severeigney and putting		0 / 1	
Evidence of Nationalism Dominana: i- Rise of Populism: Leaders like Donald trump (USA) and Narendra Mobali (India) emphasiz national severeignfy and putting			Rooted in liberalism.
Evidence of Nationalism Dominana: i- Rise of Populism: Leaders like Donald trump (USA) and Narendra Mobdi (India) emphasiz national sovereignty and putting	Baris		and inferdependen
i- Rise of Populism: Leaders like Donald trump (USA) and Narendra Mobeli (India) emphasiz national sovereigney and puting		and soverighty.	
i- Rise of Populism: Leaders like Donald trump (USA) and Narendra Mobeli (India) emphasiz national serveignty and puting			
i- Rise of Populism: Leaders like Donald trump (USA) and Narendra Mobeli (India) emphasiz national serveignty and puting	- 34 7727		
i- Rise of Populism: Leaders like Donald trump (USA) and Narendra Mobeli (India) emphasiz national servicionty and puting	E.e.louise	-A A1.d2.	
Donald toump (USA) and Narendra Mobeli (India) emphasiz national servergny and puting	EVIGETICE	Nationalist	Dominance:
Donald toump (USA) and Narendra Mobeli (India) emphasiz national servergny and puting	i_ Rica	of Parulicani.	
Donald toump (USA) and Navendra Mobeli (India) emphasiz national servergny and puting	/- Nise		enders Tito
Narendra Mobdi (India) emphasiz	Domald		
national severignty and putting			
Their country first -	nationa	1 conversion	and putting
	their Co	suntay firs	t - 1 - 7
I- Anti- globalization movements:	G- Anti-	alobalization.	novements.
Brexit reflected		Brazil	rellected

resistance	to global institutions European Union.
like the	European Union.
n- Protection	
	Mamy countries
are adopt	ting trade benview.
and prohi	Many countries. ting trade benries. trade Such as US- ade won.
over free	trade. Such as US-
Ching to	rade won.
	4 01
8v- 4mmigra	ation Policien: Stricter
•	Stricter
emmigrat	navionalist sintiment
reflect.	navonalist sintiment
m' regio	ins the Europe and
the US:	
F. A.	D C10 100 h
Evidence	of Globalization Dominance
- Calabel +	Economic interdependence
91000	The second of th
	International trade and
global so	upply chains remain es. es. con chinese manufacturis
critical o	desprte challenger es.
reliance	on chiners manufacturis
ii - Climate	Change Collaboration:
E	forts like the Paris highlight global
Agreement	highlight global



General Instructions for attaining good marks in International Relations

	TARES and the same	
Add IR theorie	s and appropropries as much bared challenger.	
you can		
	iii- Multilateral Institutions:	
use IR jargons	to differentiate it from Current	-
affairs paper	Osjanizālions 1,9ke 160	
try reflecting IF	-11. JIM INTO SI WIHA companies to	
heading	shape global policies and norms	
no need to app	oly/all/factorias missaclequestralay 2,	
but one or two	that may Justify your arguments	
	In short, derm,	
Justify your ar	ments with sat least & preave stronger	
headings	due to increasing projectionism.	
Add current de	anti-immigration policies, and	
support argum	distrust of globall institutions.	
	flowever, globalization, remains	
add IR philoso	phinistesophonisantolie, for addressing	
Mearcheimer	ets ports their philosophips like pandemics	
add graphs db	climate change, and economic	
bonus marks	recovery.	te thi
	Thus global politics part w	tn
each question	hostray 3 paris, give equalced by gheading	ds /
weitage to all	offers-lof-wow between notionalist	90
	agendas and - the unavoidable	
	reglation of globalization.	