

The Impact of Political Interference of Judicial Independence.

Outline:

1 - Introduction:

a) Attention Grabber / Hook

b) General Statement

c) Thesis Statement: Judicial

Independence is not an issue

for of Western countries rather

it is a Third world problem-

Pakistan is one of them - Impact

of political interference results

in subordination of Judiciary to

executive and military, instability

and unpredictability of legal

system, lack of public trust,

and challenges in accountability

and prosecution of minorities

due to political instigator

interference -

2- Impacts of Political Interference on Judicial Independence.

i- Historical Context

- a) Colonial Legacy
- b) 'Doctrine of necessity': To give legal cover to unconstitutional acts -
- c) Judicial and Major Milestones -

ii- Frequent subordination of Judiciary.

- a) Subordination of Executive -
- b) Use as tool against politicians especially Opposition -
- c) Surrender of Power to Military -

iii- Declining legal system.

- a) Unpredictability and instability
- b) Erosion of Constitutional rights

c) Frequent Disruptions -

iv- Declining Public Confidence
and disillusionment -

- a) Backlog of Cases
- b) Lack of Accountability
- c) Due to siding with Military
and politicians -
- d) Violation of Human Rights

v- Prosecution of Minorities.

- a) Marginalizing the minorities.
- b) Judgements of especially of
lower courts in alleged
blasphemy cases -
- c) Curb to the pressure by
Islamic clerics and political
parties -

vi- Pushback from judiciary for
Autonomy.

- a) Lawyer Movement
- b) Reinstate of Judges

vii- Contemporary Challenges

To Judiciary -

a) Curb the power of suo moto.

b) Limited the Authority of
Chief Justice -

c) Appointment of Judges
in the hands of Ruling
Party -

3- Conclusion:

Summary of the ideas
presented -

'Removal of Chief Justice of Pakistan, Justice Chaudhry Iftikhar, by then President Pervez Musharraf is one of watershed moment in history of Pakistan which resulted in 'Lawyer Movement' for independence of Judiciary.'

Independence of Judiciary is not an issues of western world but rather its is a Third world country - Pakistan is one of them - Pakistan has suffered significantly by both the political and military intervention in Judicial system - It effects the judicial system by eroding the judicial autonomy, declining the legal system, marginalizing the already vulnerable communities like

Christians and Ahmadis,
and limiting the
judicial power by
recent amendments in
constitution of Pakistan:

Pakistan has derived the foundation of its legal system from Colonial British era - Although British legal system's central principle is based on Right to the fair trial - But they ~~past~~ passed various law in British India to suppress and Quell the protests and demonstrations. Some of these laws are still part of legal system including Article 144; ban on public gathering and ~~not~~ maintaining Public Order ~~not~~ ~~keep~~

Moreover, another event of past is Judgement of Justice Munir in the case of 'Federation of Pakistan vs Maulvi'

Tameez ul din - Justice Munir overturned overruled the judgement of Sindh High Court and decide in the favor of Governor General Ghulam Muhammad in dissolution of first constituent assembly -

One of the major impact of Political Interference is the subordination of Judiciary in the hand of Executive and Military. Military had been exploiting judiciary for the legal cover to unconstitutional acts -

Pakistan had been suffered

survived ~~the~~ few Marital Laws.

Dictators always use military to justify these acts including abeyance of constitution by Zia-ul-Haq -

Decision of Justice Munir

in favour of Assembly

dissolution - Another ~~blame~~

~~off~~ accusation ~~of~~ on 8 courts

is that they has been

used for political

victimization - Political Party

of Former Prime Minister,

Nawaz Sharif, accused

Chief Justice Saqib Nisar

for his decision on

Lifetime Disqualification

of Former Premiership -

In recent history, a

political party was derived

from their election symbol

because they failed to hold ~~the~~ intra party elections in accordance with their own constitution - They ~~were~~ blamed then Chief Justice Qazi Faiz of political victimization -

In addition to political victimization, declining of legal system is another impact of political interference - Change in ~~whether~~ governments ^{through} elections, military coup or even by vote of no confidence and shift in policy has always ~~put~~ put judiciary in fickle - ~~the~~ Judiciary favors the political party in power and used against the opposition - It weakened

The judiciary role as check on executive power - and Judiciary often changes loyalties and priorities, results in unpredictability and instability -

It is not only declining the legal system but also increasing disillusionment of Public - Due To The number of ~~const~~ cases for interpretation of constitution and other political cases , There is a huge backlog of cases - Besides This lack of accountability and sense of impunity in The elite class has result in decline in public confidence in Judiciary -

In recent past, an incident of carsaz is classical example of sense of impunity. On the day, when Prime Minister, Shahbaz Sharif, were to be indicted in corruption charges he became prime Minister - His ~~ex~~ coalition government passed legislation and charges against his were dropped - Human Right violations are happening in country with no one to be held accountable -

In every government, victimization of opposition party is permanent - Neither laws were passed in this regard nor supreme court ~~that~~ took up these cases, as These were the cases of public interests.

In a five-page note, Chief Justice Yahya Afridi underscored how the politically charged climate of the time disrupted judicial independence, leading to far-reaching impacts on public confidence in judiciary - Pakistan's Chief Justice Yahya Afridi has raised serious concerns over violations of due process and judicial impartiality in the trial and execution of former Prime Minister Zulfikar Ali Bhutto, describing it as a "regrettable chapter" in the country's history -

Another Problem is
The marginalizing of
minorities like Christians
and Ahmadis - These groups
are already vulnerable
group of society , always
at threat by
islamic fundamentalist
political parties and
clerics - For instance ,
capital punishment judgement
in the case of Asia Bibi
in lower courts and
upheld by Lahore High
court shows that the
courts curbed to the
pressure by clerics
and political intervention -

Contemporary challenges
faced by judiciary is was .

The reso in the form
of 26th ammendments
in The constitution -

Also known as judicial
package - Although There
are some good points in
The 26th amendment ,
opposition did not shy
away from calling
it 'black legislation' to curb
The power of judiciary -

Suo Moto power of
Apex court was taken
away - It also limited
The authority of Chief
justice of Pakistan -

Now Judges appointment is
also in The hand of
Government -

Despite extensive political
interference , Pakistan's

Judiciary has also seen the moments of resurgence in fight for its autonomy. The 'lawyer's movement' which successfully reinstated Chief Justice Chaudhry, ~~who~~ marked high water mark in Judiciary effort to assert its independence. Political interference in the judiciary has profound implications for Pakistan, weakening the rule of law and democratic foundations. Strengthening safe guards to protect judicial independence and restoring public trust is essential for the long-term stability of ~~their~~ country's democratic institution.