

Essay

Nations do not die from Invasion; they die from Internal Rottenness

Brainstorming

↓
Nations that didn't die
from Invasion

→ Palestine case.

Reasons of internal
rottenness =

↳ Weak Governance
(Bangladesh case)

↳ Widening gap between
people and state
(Pakistan case)

↳ Inequitable distribution
of Resources

(BYC and PM)

Nations that died from
internal rottenness in
history

↳ USSR case.

Brainstorming is not part of your essay
It should be at the back not between title and outline

Outline

1. Introduction

1.1. Thesis Statement

2. What nation dying means

3. Nations that didn't die from Invasion

3.1. Palestine case

4. What internal rottenness means

5. Reasons of internal rottenness

5.1. Weak Governance

(a) (Bangladesh case)

5.2. Widening gap between people and state

(a) Pakistan case

5.3. Unmet needs of citizens

(a) (BYC and PM)

5.4. Inequitable distribution of Resources

6. Nations that died from internal rottenness in history

6.1. USSR

6.2. Ottoman Empire

Your outline does not address the topic at all.

7. Conclusion

You have not discussed how internal rottenness causes nations to fail and die.

No headings in the essay.

~~Introduction;~~

On December 25, 1991, the Soviet Union's hammer and sickle flag lowered for the last time over the Kremlin, thereafter replaced by the Russian tricolor. In history, many nations have met their unfortunate fates due to several reasons, but whatever the reason was, internal rottenness has always occupied the supreme position. Internal rottenness is always the harbinger of destruction and turmoil. There are several reasons of internal rottenness like weak governance, usurpation of others' rights, widening gap between people and state, unmet needs of citizens and inequitable distribution of resources. The world is replete with many examples of nations that died or are on the verge of dying due to internal rottenness. No nation ever in the history of mankind has died due to external invasion rather nations that have met their demise was due to internal rottenness and chaos. It mainly stems from inherent inability of state to provide basic amenities to the people. It can also stem from the lack of confidence and

Internal rottenness is not one thing.

Don't discuss examples in the introduction

Do not make sweeping statements like these.

Introduction lacks structure. There is no thesis statement and no overview.

trust on those occupying higher positions.

Nation dying is not the ordinary dying of people and persons rather it

is the worst and more dangerous than that of common death. Nation dying is

a phenomenon in which either people, groups, ethnicities or communities disintegrate into bits and pieces or distribute them

selves into different groups depending upon shared interests and goals. This

distribution of people into different groups leads to conflicts between people having different

beliefs, values, customs and even interests.

As a result of this conflict, nation disintegrates and lose their values, cultures and peculiarities.

When a nation loses its values, culture customs or beliefs it is known as nation's

death.

There are many nations in the history that remain resilient in the face of adversity. Many nations have been invaded by hostile occupiers in the history but these nations have adamantly and bravely competed with their rivals. It was only due to their integrity and mutual collaboration that has lead them towards such an invincible

Sentence structure and expression

Death of nation means death of a state, society or an empire. What you are describing is creation of state

On what basis is this interpretation based. Any theory that states this interpretation.

Very limited view of the situation

path. Had they been divided due to interests and personal gains they would have been defeated. Let's take the example of Palestine. Since 1948, in the days of Nakba, millions of people displaced, thousands of people died, millions of people become wounded, thousands of mothers lost their children, thousands of children orphan due to illegal occupation of Israel and the atrocities of IDF. But, people of Palestine never leave their ~~shle~~ despite bearing all the brutalities. Similarly, Palestine has been the victim of Israel's confiscation since 7th Oct 2023, facing all the hardships and hostilities. ~~is allowed in Palestine, there~~ is an acute shortage of water, food and medicine. Palestine has become the place where people wake up at the sound of bombs and sleep for never waking again. All the communication and connection with whole world is either partially or completely blocked. Despite all the major powers on one ground possessing world's best weapons and artilleries, they couldn't alleviate the courage of Palestinians.

Don't discuss internal issues when you are discussing invasion

Immature, weak and informal expression.

This has nothing to do with the death of a nation. A nation is a political entity. It dies when there is no political place for it.

Palestine is a state recognised by 145 other nations.

Irrelevant detail

You can you Palestine's example in the way that despite being under occupation for decades the nation is still surviving as it is recognised by 145 UN member states. So invasion does not lead to the death of a nation. The rest of the details are irrelevant.

Irrelevant details.

Hence, protecting the nation from dying instead of invasion.

Internal rottenness occurs when people of the same state or belonging to one nation turn against the state due to any reason. It is referred as internal rottenness because chaos and commotion arise within a country rather than outside. Mainly people turn against the state due to inherent weaknesses in the structure and governance of the country. People feel themselves as the isolated beings and come at daggers drawn with the ruling elites or other groups. Internal rottenness is also synonymous to internal damage which means that the damage is within something or something has been gone unusable and ineffective.

Not necessarily. Civil unrest and rebellion are only one aspect of internal decay. Corruption, poor law and order, violation of rights of rights, unequal distribution, marginalisation all of these are forms of internal rottenness.

Limited approach to the topic.

Sentence structure

Poor and limited approach. Lacks any academic perspective. You are writing without any understanding regarding these concepts.

Weak governance is not a cause. It is a form of internal rottenness. You are to discuss how these results in death of a nation.

Weak governance is not a cause. It is a form of internal rottenness. You are to discuss how these results in death of a nation.

Weak governance is not a cause. It is a form of internal rottenness. You are to discuss how these results in death of a nation.

have died was due to weak governance. When proper infrastructure for equal distribution of rights and assets is unavailable due to weak governance it causes disintegration and distrust between people and higher powers. As recently occurred in Bangladesh where strong student revolt against the repressive government of Sheikh Hasina Wajid has lead towards the ousting of the incumbent. It was due to weak governance not weak economy. Although Bangladesh was the fastest growing economy, approximately 8.1%, in the South Asia, it couldn't prevent the chaos and destruction. It was on the bases of quota system that students held strike against the state. where 30% of the seats were bestowed to the freedomfighters of 1971 independence from the West Pakistan. Students found it as the danger to their existence that became the reason of internal rottenness and thus towards nation's breakdown.

Another reason is the widening gap between the people and state that mainly stems from lending deaf

Too many points in a single paragraph - lacks a coherent structure

Bangladesh is not dead. Regime change does not equal death.

Too much detail. There is no analysis. Over focus on the situation. Situation or sentences should not be more than 2-3 sentences. No analysis.

8

ear to people's needs and demands. Government usually enjoys the perks and privileges and also meet the demands of those who are in their good books that causes people to have resentment and ~~judges~~ against government. It shows its physical demonstration in the form of strikes and revolts.

No analysis
No structure to paragraph

No consistency in paragraph length.

Start the paragraph form the argument not the example.

As in case of Pakistan where many political, social and ethnic groups have held strikes against governments to demand the fulfilment of their needs. Pakistan, as the weak nation both economically as well as democratically, has failed to provide equal rights to all the stratas of the nation. Due to weak or no democracy, many political revolts have also been experienced by the state. As on 9th May, thousands of people came on the roads against unlawful activities when they demolished many official buildings and important government institutes. When government fails to shorten the gap between people and their own, than internal rottenness emerges, leading towards death of the nations. As on 9th May, they dealt with the

Discuss one argument in one paragraph

①

the people with coercion and hostility. Instead of treating them as enemies, government should lend a sympathetic ear to the people so that internal rottenness can be suppressed in totally opposite way as it was emerged.

Another reason is the unmet needs of citizens. When a state fails to address the demands of citizens it leads towards complete ^{Expression} annihilation of nations. People consider themselves as marginalized and isolated from the state and all other groups. As recently occurred in Pakistan where a peaceful protest was lead by Mahrang Baloch, a doctor and one of the leading figures of BYC (Baloch Yakjenti council). Her demand was quite comprehensible and valid that was the prevention of forced disappearances that are in vogue in Balochistan since long time. Her own father and brother fell a prey to the same practice, But state dealt firstly with coercion and harshness later they sought out the matter by peaceful talks.

That's unrelated to unmet needs. Demands and need are different.

Another reason is the inequitable distribution of resources within the same

state. When resources are not distributed in just manner, it leads towards a huge sense of deprivation and thus ultimately towards the feeling of rage.

As people are responsible for nation's prosperity and fate, they should be treated fairly as Quaid-e-Azam said;

"If we want to make this great state of Pakistan happy and prosperous, we should wholly and solely concentrate on the well-being of people, and especially of the masses and the poor"

But those in the higher echelons show no concern towards nation building rather they are more interested in filling their own coffers.

Pashtun Movements and separatist movements of Balochistan are the major outcome of this inhospitable cause. These groups are active for decades demanding their rights and due share, but their desires are unmet since years.

All the points are the same. All of them result in civil outrage.

due to personal interests of those in power. People of KPK and Balochistan always complain that they are neglected everytime in every case and they didn't get the due share whether it is the resources or grants.

Historically, internal rottenness has also caused the crumbling of USSR. USSR was a strongest and powerful state but ~~economic weakness, political stubbornness and growth of nationalism~~ were the main causes that lead USSR towards disintegration and collapse. Nationalism is also destructive because it only benefits the people who are in power and come at the expense of civil liberties. People feel more alienated and left-out that leads towards internal rottenness. Another case is of Ottoman Empire that has met its destruction due to the similar reasons. It is only people that can make or break the nations.

~~States should treat people fairly and equally in order to meet the needs and demands of those who consider~~

This is an example and a case study. There is no argument here.

themselves as marginalized and isolated
so that, they couldn't become the weapons
and assets of internal rotteness. USSR
and Ottoman Empire wouldn't have been
collapsed if they have timely and effectively
dealt with the demands of different
groups existing in those territories. Similarly,
If Hasina Wajed has timely addressed the
needs and demands of students, she
would have prevented her fall. If nations
become united as Palestinians did, no
power of any intensity could shake the
resilience and harmony between the people,
thus preventing the internal rotteness from
occurrence. Even though Palestine has no
government and no power to hold
people together, still they are united. States
should address the problems of people
so that internal rotteness couldn't find
ground to lay its strong steps.

This is not a
conclusion.
Conclusion sums
up the arguments
not present
hypothetical
situations.