

Mock-4 (August 2024).

Political Science

Paper-2

Part-II

Section A.

Q.N2:-

Introduction:

A two-party system in politics creates a structure where the electorate gives a significant majority of its votes to only two major parties.

That means one or the other can win a majority in the legislature. It is the system of governing that is present in the U.S. but the actual implementation of forming a government is similar to systems that have multiple parties.

In other words, two party means where only two parties or alliances, typically placed either side of the centre, have a realistic chance of forming a majority government.

Pros of Two Party System:

- i) It prohibits the extremism, when there is a multi-party system.

is place, then anyone with a view that is exceptionally conservative or liberal can become a representative in the government.

ii) Every person is eligible to run the office.

The primary system in the U.S acts like what a multi-party election does for other governments with one key exception: instead of sending representatives into office, it creates a run-off election to choose one person. This process allow to choose one person to represent them on behalf of party.

iii) It speeds up the governance in state.

The two-party system makes easy for the government to react quickly to the situation. There is a systematic way of procedure which decentralized till local governments.

4 Majority representation in two party.

The two party system ensure the maximum representation of citizen or people of the land i.e. the reason that third party did not succeed because the two-party system of governing encourages a majority everytime.

5 easy voting system:

Two-party system make voting easy. Voters can cast a ballot for any political party they want at any time. Even if someone registers as a Republican they can vote for a Democrat with their ballot. Because of structure of two-party the less confusion because it is always a winner-take-all solution.

6 easy to understand the political Manifesto.

In two-party system, it allow parties to present information in a convenient manner. Each party is able to represent their own broad concept of political philosophy.

Cons of two-Party:

1) It does not ensure 100% representation.

The two-party system, does not ensure the 100% representation of personal views. The representation is a basic right which can be breach by two party system.

2) It create a chaos in governing.

The two-party system, can creates hurdles to the policy implementation. In coalition governments may have several disadvantages to consider when compared to the two-party system of the U.S. It also create inconsistent policies.

3) It promote corruption:

politics is always linked with corruption no matter where you are in the world.

Even the corruption take place, like government contracts to party insiders is a practice rampant in two-party system.

4 It ignores alternative voices:

The two-party system that want to stay united usually ignore alternative option due to their interests. In the Multi party system, the third party can raise its voice.

Pakistan & Two party system:

In Pakistan the two-party system cannot be good for a long term. It can only be good for a limited period of time like the current coalition government is. In the two party system the majority or power full party will always be remain in power that will create a ^{to} authoritarian rule.

No fair representation in two party system all-marginalized voices will only be the voice but will have no part in representation.

Moreover, for Pakistan the democratic system is more suitable rather than two-party system. The two-party system is breaching the political rights of third party, i.e. not have.

much representation. This system is only for country where two-parties are exists.

Conclusion

The two-party system which is witness in the United States i.e. Democrats and Republicans and be practices in their. While the two-party system is limiting the voice of third party have more chances of corruption and much more. The two-party system is not for Pakistan that Pakistan is a Democratic country right to representation is necessary. The two-party system less ensure the diversity voices in diverse area. While Multiple parties can take their voice in Democracy. So, Two-party system is not suitable for Pakistan.

Section B.

Q5:

Introduction:-

The role of Sir Syed Ahmed Khan, Allama Iqbal and Quaid-i-Azam have played an important part for the rise of Muslim nationalism in South Asia. This sense developed among the Muslims before the establishment of Pakistan. Their goal was mostly to protect and promote their identity and interests and shape their lives according with their beliefs and philosophy of life. The role of leadership is very crucial to put nations on the way. A good leader has the qualities of awareness, consciousness, mobilization, sense of direction and defence against the adversaries. The Muslims were lucky having such competent leadership.

Role of Jinnah

Jinnah played a dynamic role in the articulation of Muslim demands

and pursuing these faced strong opposition from the Hindus and the British.

Jinnah used the term Nation for the Muslims of India in February 1935 (in Legislative Assembly). He argued that the combination of religion, culture, race, arts, music and so forth makes minority a Separate Entity.

Two nation theory & Jinnah.

He said the Muslims are a nation by every definition of the word nation. They have every right to establish their Separate homeland. They can adopt any means to promote and protect their economic, social, political, cultural interests.

Allama Iqbal

Allama Iqbal a great political leader and a reliable companion of the Quaid-e-Azam. He awakened the Muslim from his verses and demanded Separate

home land.

Separate identity of Muslim by Iqbal.

Allama Iqbal believed in the separate identity of the Muslims as a 'nation'. He said that, 'I am fully convinced that Muslims of India will ultimately had to establish a separate homeland as they cannot live with Hindus'.

Muslim nationalism by Iqbal.

In the Presidential address dated 29/12/1930, Iqbal said that, 'I would like to see the Punjab, NWFP, Sind & Balochistan merged into a single state or states'. The famous Pakistan resolution, passed on 23rd March 1940 at Lahore was in fact the result of Allama Iqbal's presidential address of Allahabad.

Iqbal & Jinnah

Iqbal & Jinnah was personal associated with each other. It was Iqbal that influenced Jinnah in convincing Jinnah to end his-self-imposed exile in London, return to India and take charge of the Muslim League.

Sir Syed in Politics!

In the politics, Sir Syed play an important role of Muslims of sub-continent. He ended the misunderstandings between the Muslims and the Britishers.

Sir Syed & Two nation theory

Sir Syed supported the two nation theory. The incident of Urdu Hindi controversy in 1867 in Banaras, changed his views then he gave the concepts of two-nation theory (Muslim Separatism) and ideology of Pakistan (Muslim Nationalism).

Conclusion:

Allama Iqbal, Jinnah and Sir Syed played a very important role to bring Muslim Nationalism in the South Asia. If this wasn't not bring the Muslim Nationalism the the violation of Muslim's rights with still be happened. Like in current time the India-Muslim were being tortured by the citizen of India. The are breaching all fundamental right & beat the Muslim in India.

Q.No.6

Introduction:

The Judiciary, executive and legislative actually to be separated by term of separation of powers and if this not happen then 'the tyranny' will take place. These multiple branches of government help to ensure that no one branch is more powerful than another.

This can be explained by Montesquieu's theory:

It states that, liberty of the individual required that neither all these powers nor any two of them should be placed in the hands of one man or one body of men.

1) Legislature and executive powers are united:-

When the legislature and executive powers are united in the same person or body of person, there can be no

liberty; because the king, who is also the law maker, might make and enforce the law in a 'Tyrannical Manner!'

b) Judicial power joined with legislature:

If the judicial power joined with the legislative, 'the life and liberty of the subject would be exposed to arbitrary control'.

c) Executive power joined with Judicial

The Judicial power joined with the Executive power, then the 'Judge might behave with violence and oppression'.

d) End of Everything or Tyranny:

If the same man or same body exercise these 'three powers that will create tyranny'.

Separation of power and check and Balances:

The Separation of powers in the U.S.A is associated with the check & balances system. This system provides each branch of government with individual powers to check the other branches & prevent anyone branch from becoming too powerful or create Tyranny.

Conclusion::

The Government is like human body is an organic whole:: every part of it works in harmony & co-operation with the other, if it is to work efficiently & properly. otherwise, it leads to mis carriage of justice & causes corruption, deadlocks, in efficiency & department friction. It make enactment of good laws difficult. In this way: it destroy the liberty of the individual, for which Montesquies expounded his theory to avoid tyranny.

Q.N.O 7

introduction:

The Dynastic politics and interest groups in a political party are very important to play role in politics. The Dynastic politics like Political culture they have knowledge of the politics and have a political culture to join the politics. while the Interest groups like pressure groups which can organize to seek to influence a political outcome.

Political dynasty.

The political dynasty, can affect the politics of any where, as it is inheritance. For example, a son of a Prime Minister is chief minister. However, it do not much effected. As because of political culture of a country will decide how to Represent a society. These political dynasty can create an autocratic rule in end by minimizing the political participation of citizens.

Interest groups

The interest groups are groups of people who organize to seek to influence a political outcome or seek or want to alter a public policies on the basis of a common interest or concern of people. These group can effect the political parties in a state. For example if wrong policies were introduced then they can create pressure for the government or major political party.

effect of dynastic politics and pressure / interest groups in politics.

This can effect the political system of any country as this can lead to make such policies which can effect the political participation of others.

This can also give no chance to other minor party to represent its state, or did not make any chance to make his government. This can effect the politics or weaken the politics.

Conclusion:

As discussed above it can affect the politics of the ~~any~~ country. As the political dynasties take place then no chance to be given to the other party to make his/her government.

Same as interest group but interest group are group which can only pressured the government to change or alter such politics which are against public welfare.