

NDA mock (Pol-science II)

Muhammad Rahim (0344 2822432) <sup>Whatsapp</sup>

## Part-II

### Section. A

#### Question- 2


##### Introduction:

Separation of power in the US constitution is one of the most important features. The executive has certain authorities to check legislature and judiciary. Similarly, judiciary can review any act of parliament which contradict the constitution of the United states of America. In same manner, legislature can impeach president and judges and their selection, etc. This is called the check and balance system in the US constitution.

How Check and Balances

# system work in the US Constitution.

The system of check and balances in the US constitution working given below.



## A. Executive :-

The US president or executive is one of the most powerful authority in the world. With in ambit of the US constitution, executive can veto any bill passed by the legislature. This

attempt by giving multiple checks of each by giving further subheadings.

Executive has a check on the US legislature. Similarly, president plays an important role in appointing judges. This shows another way of influence executive on judiciary as well. This is how Executive has a check on judiciary and legislature.

B. Legislature:

add examples against each of the check/

Similarly, in the US constitution its legislature has also check and balances on the executive and judiciary. For instance, Legislature can impeach president of the US. In same way, legislature can impeach judiciary as well. Besides this in appointing judges, legislature has a big role which influences the judiciary indirectly. This is how check and balances of the US legislature work on executive and judiciary.

C. Judiciary:

In the US political

system judiciary has a unique position. It can null and void any act passed by legislature and executive through judicial review. The judicial review in the US started in 1803 through Marbury Versus Madison case. The judicial act of 1789 was made null and void by chief justice Marshall through this case and since then it became a part of the US political system.

The system of check and balance strengthen the balance of power

There is no denying the fact that system of check & balance strengthened the balance of power in the United states of America. Because of this, every organ of government does his own work. No organ meddle with other one. For example, in Pakistan, recently during president Arif Alvi, the tussle between legislature

and executive and the judiciary was not hidden to anyone. Contrary to this in the US due to system of check and balance no organ has authority to interfere in others business. This has indeed made the political system of the US stable and <sup>strengthened</sup> balance of power.

How system of check and balances effects trichotomy of power in the US.

The check and balances have very positive effects on trichotomy of power. Some of the most important effects are given below.

(i) It strengthens state institutions:-

The positive effect of check and balances system strengthened the state institutions.

(ii) Independence of state organs:-

Although, the system of check and balances

are restricting the state organs,  
 it made the government independent.  
 For example, executive can work  
 smoothly but it cannot take any  
 illegal step. Similarly judiciary and  
 legislature have independent jurisdiction.  
 Due to this smooth function of  
 state is possible, and become easy.

IV Constitution intact:-

Despite 200 years  
 passed and being <sup>one of the</sup> oldest constitutions  
 in the world. It remains still  
 intact. Importantly, only 26 Amendment  
 have been possible while more  
 than 96 Amendments have been  
 made in Pakistan's constitution in  
 less than 50 years. This is how  
 the system of check and balances  
 affect the US political system  
 and balance of power to  
 run country smoothly.

VII Smooth functioning of state:-


This  
 system helps in smooth functioning

of state organs. As every organ of state has its own functions and responsibilities, if one exceeds from its responsibilities, other checks it. Thus, it help smoothly functioning of state.

add more arguments in this part.

### Conclusion:

In short, the system of checks and balances in the US political system have strengthen the balance of power. From above discussion one can conclude that this system is a very helpful system for functioning a state especially a democratic state. It has also positively effected the trichotomy of power, i.e it has balanced the power among three main organs of state namely, legislature, executive and judiciary.



Section- BQuestion- 4Introduction:

In later half of 20<sup>th</sup> century, different regional and international organizations had been developed. This process of formation of different regional and international organizations further increases in 21<sup>st</sup> century. Mostly, every regional or international organizations were formulated by big and developed countries. Some school of thought say these are the tools for exploiting developing countries. As the Karl Marx named them periphery countries. Another school of thought mostly affiliated with rich countries say, these are the tools of benefiting developing countries. For example, Adam Smith's Laissez faire economy model justify this.



add

Let us analyze some regional and international organizations to conclude that either these are tools of developed countries to be used for exploitation of developing countries or vice versa?

### 1 United Nations:

The most important and the biggest international organization is the United Nations (the UN).

Let us analyze, is United Nations benefited smaller countries or not? following lines show the answer.

- \* Palestine issue remains as such.
- \* Serbian Genocide took place in front of the UN.
- \* Kashmir issue unresolved.
- \* Ukraine war continuing.
- \* Famines are killing people world wide from Yemen to Horn of Africa.
- \* Iraq got destroyed by the US with no reason.
- \* Poorer countries are suffering.

attempt by giving subheadings and discuss in detail; not points.

badly economically and socially. These aforementioned example shows that UN did not benefit smaller countries as it should have. However, it is also fact that in major issues the UN was quite successful like Third world war, etc but that too for bigger and developed countries.

## (2) World Trade Organization:-

It was formed in 1995 to benefit the developing countries and globalized the trade. The apparent purpose was to develop the developing countries. However, from this the developing countries further exploited by the giant countries. Basically, their targets were the raw materials of African countries and rich <sup>other</sup> small countries who were not able to exploit their natural resources. In short, we cannot find

add

(11)

Type text here

add references/examples against your arguments.

special benefit of world trade organization because smaller countries grievances not decreased but increased.

### III CENTO and SEATO pacts:-

These were also pacts which once had assured many smaller countries for aid, development, etc. But all were gone without any success rather benefiting big countries who had created them.

### IV SAARC:-

add arguments relevant to the qs statement.

Similarly, South Asian Association of Regional Cooperation was meant to develop less developed and less developed countries. However nothing on ground.

### VI OIC, NATO, and other

organizations all did not benefit poor countries, nor will they benefit them in future. The developed states make them for exploiting other

smaller states.

## VII European Union:

One can say that EU is a classic example which is a useful organization. However, again, it belongs to global north. These all are developed countries.

Aforementioned arguments show that, ~~yes~~ the international and regional organizations are the tools of developed countries for exploiting developing countries.

To summarise, the following arguments show how these regional and international organization ~~exploiting~~ are the tool to be used for exploiting developing countries.

- 1) Militarily, ~~big~~ big countries are exploiting smaller ones through military alliances and organization such as Warsaw pact, CENTO, SEATO

Type text here

e. NATO, etc.

2) Economically smaller countries are also being exploited through such organizations. For example BRI, B3W and others which are meant for benefiting poorer countries but actually exploiting them. WTO, WTO i.e. World Trade and Tourism organization etc.

3) Politically, developed country also exploit the smaller ones through hybrid governments and the regime change operations etc.

Conclusion:

To sum up it can be said that different regional and International organizations are used by developed countries for exploiting smaller ones. The

← →

not properly structured. add multiple arguments under the UN, IMF, WTO, AND EU organizations.

## Question-7

### Introduction:

Political stability is very important for peace, progress and prosperity of any country. Similarly, it is equally important for Pakistan as well. Unfortunately, in present times, the economic situation of Pakistan is very delicate. Inflation is high all the time. The cost of living is unimaginably high. In short, as a strong political economy needs a very strong political system, so Pakistan should build a strong political system to get rid from economic crisis and have a strong economy.

### Present Political system of Pakistan

Presently, political system of Pakistan is not stable. Political philosopher say it should be changed. The

The present political parties of Pakistan have become dynastic. In short, a change and reform is need to stabilize political system of Pakistan.

### Some loopholes in Political system of Pakistan

relate your headings to the qs, statement.

#### 1. Dynastic politics:

Perhaps it's one of the most important flaws in the political system of Pakistan.

#### 2. Political Polarization:

Political polarization means each party has an entirely different <sup>view</sup> point. Thus they fight all time, no one concede others mandate.

relate each argument with failed economy

#### 3. Politics of Revenge:

Those parties who come power, jailed opposition. In short, misuse of power and state institution.

add references/examples against your arguments.

4- Military involvement in politics:-  
This is another curse in Pakistan. Military has always been meddled in politics of country.

5- Tribalism..

Different nations such as punjabi, Baloch, Pakhtoon and Sindhi, etc live in Pakistan. Thus mostly people in Balochistan, KP and other regions follow their tribal leaders and landlords. This should be ended, it made politics cripple in Pakistan.

6- Religion Factor:-

The use of religion in politics is yet another menace in Pakistan politics. Thus it needs changes.

How Politics and economy can be corrected in Pakistan

When political system of



If country become stable, the economy of the country will automatically stable.

Following suggestion can be helpful in building a strong political economy and a strong political system.

### 1. Free and Fair elections:-

In present situations, free and fair elections are key to build Pakistan's economy and stability once again.

### 2. No military involvement:-

Establishment should be neutral, military should follow constitutional made only. Only then Pakistan can be stable economically.

### 3. No political Engineering and Horse trading, Those who involved in such practices

should be strongly punished.

#### 4. Accountability.

A fair accountability is need of the hour rather than the politics of revenge.

#### 5. Awareness to masses:

A wise nation can choose a wise leader so the spreading of awareness among mass to cast vote carefully is the need of hour.

#### 6. Charter of Economy.

All major political parties should make a larger agreement for reviving economy in the country. This will help in economic as well as political stability, in country.

#### 7. Giving mandate to governments Whether it be a clear majority or coalition

government, all political leader and parties should accept and gave mandate to the elected government. This will help out Pakistan from present crisis.

improve the references and the paper presentation part.

Conclusion: 1st part of the answer is not adequately answered

To sum up it can be said that a strong political economy need a strong political system. Exactly this is the need of the hour in Pakistan. Aformentioned suggestions can help out Pakistan from the present crisis.



## Question-8

Introduction:

Pakistan has an

independent judicial system.  
 The history, <sup>just</sup> however, of judiciary have been marred with controversies as well. From former prime minister Bhuttos hanging to Maulvi Tamiz ul din case and validating all the martial laws i.e of Ayub and Zia. However, recently it seems that judiciary is dragging out from powerful quarters. However still it badly engaged in political choices.

### Salient features of judicial system of Pakistan

this is hierarchy; not the features:

- Supreme court - Top judicial
- High courts.  
 Four provincial & Islamabad high courts.
- Sharia Court.  
 federal sharia court
- Session Courts

→ Special Courts.

→ Suo Moto notice =

Article 184(3)

empower supreme court for taking a suo moto notice. Chief justices frequently use this power in Pakistan.

### Judicial Review under Constitution of 1973

Under constitution of 1973 of Pakistan supreme court can take suo moto and judicial review of any governments any illegal ~~action~~ activities. Supreme court has power to make any law null and void which is contrary to the constitution of Pakistan.

discuss this part in detail as well by giving subheadings.

## Process of judicial Review

There are two ways of judicial review under constitution

→ First, when government or legislature passed any law which is contrary to constitution and supreme court suo moto under article 184(3) of constitution.

→ Secondly, Any person make a petition in supreme court for any illegal activity of government or legislature in supreme court and supreme court declare review for that.

short and incomplete answer.

### Conclusion:

Pakistan has a complete and independent judicial system. there is a hierarchy of different courts in Pakistan.

