

Turhan Khan

Topic: He who tries to go everywhere,
really goes nowhere

Outline

1. Introduction

Humans are curious creatures that wish to go everywhere and do anything. But, the limitations of humans as creatures requires recognition. Hence, it is only rational for humans to find what they desire the most and then seek it.

2. Understanding the Psychology of Curiosity in Humans

3. Individuals and Families that wish to go everywhere and do ~~anything~~ ^{every} thing end up being nowhere

- a) Humans have mental and physical constraints
- b) Individuals who wish to go everywhere lack clear goals or plans
- c) Families that try to pursue everything end up in chaos and bankruptcy

4. A person who tries to go everywhere cannot settle professionally nor in a society

- a) It is illogical for a person to go around trying every profession
- b) A person hopping around around

professions will be less skilled in all fields than specialized people

c) Without a desired profession, a person will be unhappy in ~~an~~ every profession

d) For a society to progress it must have defined yet evolving rules and ideals

e) A person seeking everything will not be able to fit in any society

5. ~~A~~ The environment does not allow a person to fit in any sphere of life at national or international level

a) Teachers that wish to teach every subject will not be good in any subject

b) With the progression of time education systems demand specialization

c) A country where a ruler wishes to exercise authority in every department ends up ~~up~~ mismanaging all

d) Political administration with specialist cadres have always performed well over generalist

e) Countries that tried to ~~achieve~~ do everything eventually collapsed

f) The current socio-political environment wants expertise

g) Economies around the world require specialized productive labour

h) Specialized people will be far more efficient compared to ones who want to do everything

6. Conclusion

(2)

Humans are curious creatures that wish to go everywhere and do anything. But, the limitations of humans as creatures requires recognition. Hence, it is only rational for humans to find what they desire the most and then seek it. Imagine there are two persons. One wishes to become a blacksmith while the other wishes to do everything. While one works as a blacksmith for years, the other does every possible work. ~~So~~ After five years one has become a skilled blacksmith. While the other cannot fit or adjust anywhere. Curiosity is a good faculty of the human mind. But unchecked curiosity is very dangerous. Hence, a person who goes everywhere would end up finding himself lost in all spheres of life; whether it be personal, family, profession, society, ~~goes~~ politics and so on. Individuals and families that wish to go everywhere and do everything suffer. Humans have mental and physical constraints. ~~And~~ Undefined goals and plans are dangerous. This also translates in the professional and societal sphere. It is illogical for a person to try every profession as he would end up less skilled than specialized people.

Such persons cannot fit into societies as ~~they~~ have societies have defined yet evolving rules and ideals.

The environment does not allow such people to fit in any sphere at national and international level. Teachers, administrators, rulers, economies and much more have performed well under specialization.

Humans are curious beings. This curiosity begins at the point where humans become aware of their existence. Self awareness ~~desire~~ in humans pushes them to search for purpose. Being such a small creature in such a big universe convinces them to try everything and go everywhere. But humans who ~~do not~~ channel their ~~desire~~ curiosity properly, end up finding a ~~proper~~ central desire which they can pursue. Due to the ~~own~~ constraints in humans, an entire environment developed around the idea to pursue dreams.

Humans as a creature has mental and physical constraints. While the desire to do everything is ~~quite~~ quite strong, it is neither feasible nor practical. Hence, ~~hence~~ this path cannot have fruitful results by the end. Initially, it might seem that a

a person can achieve this but that is only a mirage. Soon the limitations of capability will set in on an individual. ~~the~~ The person who had embarked on a mission to go everywhere, would end up finding himself nowhere.

Individuals are aware of this but may still go on; this ~~&~~ ~~as~~ shows that they do not have any clear goals or plans. When one is aware that he cannot go ~~anywhere~~ everywhere but still goes on that road, it indicates only one thing. The person did not have clear goals and plans. So the individual then pursues everything as there is no clear direction in his or her life to begin with. The person has essentially jumped from the frying pan and into the fire. ~~o~~ Thus, when ~~a~~ one does not have clear targets, he tries to do everything.

When such an individual starts a family along the way, the family then ~~try~~ tries to pursue everything which eventually ends in chaos and bankruptcy. One example of such were the money twins who started as millionaires but ended up being bankrupt as they wanted to do ~~too~~ everything.

Any logical family would wisely set their targets. IF they wish to go everywhere, they would only bring mental trauma and ruin.

~~Whether it be beyond the family, the individual will be nowhere either professionally or in a society.~~

Beyond the family, it is illogical for a person to go around doing every possible profession. Profession by its very definition requires specialization. One cannot be called a professional if he is continuously moving around the professional sphere. For example, a person who first works as an economist then an engineer then a doctor and so on, would belong to none of the professions he practised. Not only this, he would have a depressing life because his interactions with other professionals would be limited. Since he has no one profession, there is very little common ground between the two. Hence, it is illogical for a person to try everything in the professional sphere.

This problem is further increased by the fact that person hopping around professions (to ~~achieve~~ ^{do} everything) would be less skilled in all fields

(4)

than the specialized people. It is an established fact that repetition of tasks bring expertise to people. So if a person continuously repeat a task, they become specialized. This ~~same~~ cannot be achieved by the one who goes everywhere. For example, a ~~less~~ Footballer training in the same sport for years would always be better than a person who ~~traveled~~ only trained and played for some time in his life. Hence, a person moving around professions would be less skilled than a person who sticks to ^{one} ~~the~~ profession.

Not only would the person be less skilled but he would also be unhappy because he does not have a desired profession. A person who ~~never~~ tries to everywhere in the professional sphere has no set goal nor a plan. Which mean he has no clear desired profession. For such a person, the professional ~~sphere~~ life is a burden. To emphasis this an article ~~on~~ stated "Find something you love to do and you'll never have to work a day in your life." (Glenn, Computer world, ~~18~~ 1985). No person can claim to love everything and due to which he has to go everywhere. How can

a person love everything if a binary opposite is present. If a person loves light he cannot also love dark. Hence ~~in this way~~ ~~doing~~ ~~everything~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~professional~~ ~~sphere~~ ~~would~~ ~~be~~ ~~unhappy~~. Hence, a person trying to do everything in the professional sphere would be unhappy.

Beyond the professional world this idea of trying everything cannot be pursued by a society because a society requires defined yet evolving rules and ideals. If the society wants to go everywhere and do everything it will collapse. The process of going everywhere and doing everything would create too much pressure and the society will collapse on its own weight. For example, the Carthaginian society wanted to go in every direction and achieve everything. The pressure of this ambition weakened the Carthaginians who would collapse in the second Punic War. Hence, any society that wishes to go everywhere and do everything will eventually get nothing.

Since a society always has rules for stability, a person wanting to go everywhere and do anything will not be able to fit in any society. For such a person a person's rules and ideals are

constricting Factors. ^{When} One goes everywhere he would end up being nowhere because ~~as~~ he cannot integrate in any society. Hence, such individuals would not be able to fit in any society because the society's rules ~~and~~ would ~~restrict~~ constrict him.

Not only in a society, the ~~environ~~ environment would not allow such people to fit anywhere such as being a teacher trying to teach every subject. A teacher will not be allowed to teach every subject because he will not be good in any subject. Throughout the world a specific subject is being assigned to one teacher. Education institutes that have teachers teaching many subjects do not have good performance. For example, certain regions in Pakistan have a teacher assigned to one class and they teach all subjects (Muneela Tahira, Teacher Issues, 2020). This has shown to have adverse effects. So all education institutes are moving ~~to~~ towards one teacher per subject. Hence, teachers who want to teach every subject will not be good in any.

Besides teachers, the education institutes are ~~also~~ promoting specialization over time. This means that the entire next generation being produced

would be much more specialized. In such an environment where a highly specialized generation exists, it would be very dangerous for a wanderer who wishes to do everything. In such a scenario the individual who seeks all would get nothing. Hence, an entire specialized generation is being produced by educational institutes with the passage of time.

Going from education sphere to ~~making~~ rulers, it can be found that rulers who wish to exercise ^{authority} in every department ends up mismanaging all. If a good person rules and truly intends to do good, ~~even~~ ~~to~~ ~~do~~ but his desire for action results in him trying to run everything himself; the result would be catastrophic. His time and capabilities would simply not allow him to ~~be~~ ~~run~~ work on any one thing efficiently. So good man would be remembered poorly in the chronicles of history.

An example of such a person in history comes in the form of Tsar Nicholas II. From 1914-18, he tried to run the social, transport, economic etc... structures and personally direct the ~~war~~ ~~of~~ Russian army in World War 1. ~~He~~ Hence, our rulers wishing to do all would end up ruining the country.

(8)

The rulers can ~~then~~ delegate responsibilities instead of running everything himself; and in this case political administration led by specialist cadres always outperform those run by generalists. A specialist cadre is a cadre that recruits specialist people for specialist roles. Generalist cadres are people who are recruited generally and ~~are~~ given generalist and specialist responsibilities. Bureaucracies that recruited specialists always outperformed generalists. For example, the Indian bureaucracy was rated worst in Asia while the Asian Tigers had the best (Indiatimes, 2021). Indian bureaucracy recruits and uses a generalist cadre while the Asian Tigers have increasingly specialist. Hence, generalist cadres (who rotate across every department) badly perform compared to specialist cadres in administration.

After administration, if a country aims to go everywhere and achieve everything, it would eventually collapse. Countries with over-ambitious policies to go everywhere and do everything ~~about~~ would end up collapsing due to pressure. A country like this cannot make friends and will only end up making enemies. History has repeatedly taught that such countries eventually

Fall. For example, Nazi Germany and Japan became over-ambitious during World War Two which ended in their defeat. Countries like this always end up being in ~~the~~ a very bad position. Thus, countries that have an over-ambitious agenda to go everywhere and do anything end up in destruction or a humiliating defeat.

The current socio-political environment wants expertise. Before the revolution in communication and transport, a man could learn and do multiple things. He was the only person to do all those works in his region. But after the communication revolution all forms of skillful people are readily available. For example, an Arab man in the 1950s in UAE, who jumped around fields was valuable. He could be a teacher, doctor, writer etc... in one region. However, that same man is not required because UAE can simply import ~~all~~ far more skilled persons in each sphere. So a man ~~to~~ who wishes to go everywhere and do everything would be a man of many skills. But the socio-political environment does not ~~want~~ such a man, but a man of great skill. Hence, the

(7)
Socio-political environment wants expertise.

Along with socio-political environment the economic environment around the world require specialist labour. Economies break down tasks and does each one of them as efficiently as possible. Labour that is skilled in specific target tasks are much more efficient than those ~~go~~ moving around the economic market. Labour loyalty is also a crucial factor. A labourer that wishes to do everything and go everywhere is ~~high~~ not worth the time and investment. That labour would also be highly inefficient because it does not have mastery over any one skill. Hence, economies ~~are~~ require specialist labour making those seeking to go everywhere obsolete.

In conclusion, it is safe ~~to say~~ to say that those who have clear ideas, goals and plans will always triumph over the others. Those going everywhere would eventually end up nowhere. Clarity of aims is necessary and those who aim to go everywhere have no clarity on what they actually want. Individuals and families who have taken this

route have suffered. Within the professional life individuals taking this path ended end up being less skilled and unhappy. Societies cannot such people ~~for~~ ~~and~~ and societies that also commit to this activity suffer. The educational and socio-political environment also do not accept such people. Countries with specialist cadres and clear goals perform better than those that wish to go everywhere and do anything. Economies now require specialized efficient labour. It is never too late to make a goal ^{and} plan. ~~Even~~ Those wandering to go everywhere can still correct their path. Those who have ended up nowhere can also end up to a desired somewhere if they set a goal, make a plan and execute it.