

English Essay

1. 1. 2025

World peace is a choice of
superpowers

Outline:

1. Introduction

Thesis Statement: World peace is a choice of superpowers indeed. Although some people claim that in modern democratic world all countries have powers to decide the fate of the world, various political, social and economic factors prove otherwise.

2. Factors indicating that World peace is a choice of superpowers:

2.1 In modern World Order, the rivalries of superpowers dictate the fate of the world

→ USSR vs USA during cold war

2.2 Superpowers are the leaders of the world that set the leading ideologies

→ Communism and Capitalism as leading ideologies of cold war

2.3. Superpowers have ability to set the course of other countries through soft power projection

→ American Marshall plan (1948)

2.4. Superpowers have enough resources in terms of technology and capital to start and wage any war

→ Military power of USA is greater than other top 25 militaries

2.5. Global economy - a key factor in maintaining peace - is controlled by the superpowers

→ Petro-dollar agreement

3. How global peace is not only the choice of superpowers but of all countries:

3.1. Socio-political culture of small countries is the major determinant of global peace ~ culture of independence and freedom neutralize the political influence of

Subpowers

→ Cuban Revolution (1953)

3.2. In modern democratic world,
small countries decide the fate of
the world through its power of
numbers

.. → Choice of Eastern Europe for liberal
democracy in 1989-1991

3.3. Global institutions have shifted
the main powers from the super-powers
to the all global countries

→ Role of UNO

3.4. Principles and laws of peace coined
by collective efforts of all nations
keep check on aggression of global
Subpowers

→ Geneva convention and UN charter

4. The peace being a global choice of
all countries is a delusional concept.

4.1. In polarized world, socio-political culture of a country doesn't hold enough value in terms of determining fate of the world.

→ Cuban dictator backed by Soviet Superpowers

4.2. The power dynamics of modern world are determined by resources and political clout instead of numbers.

→ America fighting Wars against a number of countries solely

4.3. Superpowers have unimaginable control over global institutions of peace.

→ Veto powers of P5 in UNO

4.4. The enforcement of international laws and principles is majority a duty of superpowers.

→ Major role of P5 in UNSC

5. Conclusion..

Essay.

Pity the nations that arises not
its voice ...

Except to praise the conquerors and
Claim the bully as a hero ...

And aims to rule the world by
force and by torture

Pity the nations, oh! pity the people
Who allow their rights to erode
And their freedom to be washed
away (Lawrence Ferlinghetti)

These lines of a poem by L. Ferlinghetti
Gives to awaken the people who
allow the others to control their fate
through power and influence Sadly, the
nations of modern world are exactly
allowing the powerful nations to control
their 'fate' in similar manners. In
current global world, the superpowers are
setting the course of small countries
through their strong political influence. They
are the one who are deciding the

the fate of the world - whether it should be a barrel model or another one. In global dynamics these factors decide the peace in the world, as they have enough resources to wage a war or stop another. Although, the global institutions of peace, International laws and power of individuals in modern democratic world suggest global control of all nations as determinants of peace, the power dynamic of international relations refute these claims. In fact, World peace is a choice of superpowers. Although some people claim that in modern democratic world all countries have powers to decide the fate of the world, (political, social) and economic factors prove otherwise.

To begin with there are number of arguments that indicates that world peace is a choice of superpowers. First of all, the data of rivalries in setting the path of the world shows that superpowers are major determinants of peace. Competition

between the superpowers to become the leaders of the world could lead towards war and hamper the path of peace. There are number of examples throughout the course of history where the rivalries of global powers disturbed the peace of the world. According to history writers, the ideological and political competition between the USA and USSR led the world at the brink of nuclear war many times in the period of cold war (American Pageant). So, it is evident that global competition between superpowers can lead towards either a peaceful society or a chaotic and anarchic world.

In addition, superpowers are the leaders of the world, and thus they set the ideological path that to be followed by the other countries. In modern world, the superpowers has assumed the role of leaders, and their ideology, either a peaceful one or aggressive one could

leads the world toward the respective end.

For example, The empire of Germany during WWI and WW2 was a superpower and its ideology of aggression attracted many countries that followed it during WWI and WW2. (European history.). Thus, one can infer from the above facts that the leading role of the superpowers make it a major determiner of the peace of the world.

Similarly, the Superpowers, being the leader of the world, have strong economy and political clout that can influence the course of another country. Superpowers through its soft power projection can persuade other countries to follow its ideology - either peaceful or aggressive - that gave them upper hand in deciding the peace of the world. This argument is strongly supported by the American Marshall plan for Europe during early cold war era. In 1946, ^{the} USA strengthened the position of its supporters in 16

Euro Asian countries by allocating 12 Billion dollars aid to those countries. This gave upper hand to the USA during cold war and helped them in their ultimate victory in 1991 (American Recount). Hence, these facts elucidate that the superpowers like the USA have power to set the course of the world through its economic and political power.

Lastly, superpowers have enough resources in terms of capital and technology to start and wage any war. In modern capitalistic and power driven world economic and military might of a country gives it authority to steer the path of peace and war. Superpowers, having enough resources, can disturb the peace of the world by waging war against other countries. As superpowers are powerful enough; so no other country will be able to stop them. The example of Russian invasion of Ukraine strongly supports this argument. Russian Federation has

invaded Ukraine since February, 2022, but and it has continued its aggression against it till the date. As Russia is a powerful country, no other nation has attempted to stop its military aggression against Ukraine, not by force (News reports). Thus, it is evident from the above fact that powerful superpowers have ability to influence the peace of the world.

While above arguments strongly support the claim that superpowers determine the peace of the world, it is imperative to consider the opposing point of view. The critics claim that, in modern democratic world, superpowers are not able to determine the peace in the world solely. Instead, all democratic nations collectively decide the peace in the world. They support their claim on the base of number of arguments.

First of all, it is claimed

that socio-political culture of the countries is the major determinant of peace in modern independent world.

It is claimed that culture of independence and freedom within a country help it neutralizing the political influence of superpowers and make independent choices.

Throughout the history there are number of examples where tendencies of independence helped a nation in becoming free and independent from the influence of a superpower. In 1958, Cuba that was had been a proxy state of USA since 1800, it got independence from the American influence by removing its puppet government from the power. So, Cuban revolution of 1958 is a hint that all nations can determine their fate themselves by making free and independent choices.

Secondly, it is claimed that in lieu of democratic norms of the modern world, small nations can decide the fate

of the world through its power of numbers. In democracy, that is the government of the people, for the people, by the people, the number has more value than the individual power small nation can join together and can steer the path of the world through collective efforts. Throughout the history, there are number of alliances of small nations that changed the course of history through their actions. Alliance of Eastern European nations against USSR is important to in this regard that played an important role in ultimate demise of USSR.

Lastly, global institutions - shifting the axis of power from the superpowers to the all global countries collectively - also indicate that sole role of superpower in deciding the peace of the world is not a truth anymore. Global institutions of peace such as ^{the} United Nations have given the power to all member countries to decide upon a matter through providing

single vote to each (History of UNO). So, the Voting rights of all nations in UNO suggest that all countries hold equal importance in deciding the fate of the World.

While it is important to acknowledge the concerns and claims of critics, they have overlooked certain social, political and economic facts in their discussion that suggest that collective rule of all the nations in deciding the peace of the world is a delusion.

In conclusion, It is backed by various facts and figures that superpowers are the ones that decide the peace in the world. The results of global rivalries, leading role of superpowers, strong military and economy and control over global economy strongly support this claim. Although some people hold alternative views due to democratic norms, and dynamics of global institutions in modern world. However, polarized and power-driven

nature of modern world suggest that collective role of all nations in maintaining peace is a delusion. In short, the current social, political and economic dynamics give superpowers upperhand in deciding the fate of the world. However, there is a need to invoke the independence and individual rights of all nations to balance this approach.