Daline-23 Mock Exam start with the introduction of the qs. WHO WAS MONTESBUZEU? Montes quie was a french judge, jurist and political philosopher, Born on 18 Jan 1689. He trued in England from 1729 to 1781 and based his enposition on the english constitution of early eighteenth century. THEORY OF TREAS POLITICA: the term "trias politica" or "separation the ferm that politica or "separation"
of powers" was coined by montesquien
the followed the attempts of pristotle
and lock in drieding the power of
government. The theory says that:
"In order to prevent the abuse
of powers, the power of governmentShould not be left entirely to one
body or person; instead of should
be separated or divided in some
way" the divided the power of
government and three (03) major
functions.

The Legislative liscuss this part in detail as well by giving subheadings. iii) The judiciary MAIN FUNCTIONS OF SEPARATION POWER : The doctrine of
Separation of power is inseparable
from democracy.

- A person forming a part of one
organ should not be the part
of other organ.

- one organ should not interfere
with the sinctiming or the other. with the functioning of the other - one organ should not expercise - the function belonging to other or gans. WHY IT IS IMPORTANT TO HAVE SEPARATION OF POWERS It is important to have separation of power because the three main

organs of the government and separation of power must be free in democracy to exercice his power, the three organs organs should not interpere in each other's working so, they
must be kept separated for
exectsing their power. But the 03
organs can not be segregated from each other because they are interdependent, they have different roles and powers to exercise and to ensure effective governance HOVANTAGES OF THE IHEORY TREAS POLITICA: Some of the advantage of the theory trias political given by monfesquien. a) Protection of liberty and Rights:
Theory of separation of powers
protects the liberty and rights
of individual. It also protect
from distator ship and oppression.

As powers are distributed among the government and the departments, these departments good deep Knowledge of the matters they deal with which increases the efficiency of departments and government. c) Linsted Croverment: As powers are distributed among different departments. These department enjoy only limited powers. can not enterfere in the powers and functions of other department. d) Prevents Abuse of Power:Separation of powers accompaissed by check and balance is an effective check against abuse of power and arrogance of people the typically of any one pill ar as every department has to

deal with its own appairs. Judiciary can't make laws and legislature can't interpret the law or judicial review.

DESADVANTAGES OF THE THEORY

TREAS POLITECA:

This theory, though accepted by most countries, has not escaped criticism. The theory has been criticized on the following grounds.

- 9. Inequality of powers:

 9.5 based on the principle of equality of powers, but this principle is flawed. In the posliamentary system, the legislatuse which represent the people is most powerful while the executive is most powerful in the presidential system.
- Separation of Powers may contribute to liberty, but if is not the only factor of liberty.



berty also depends on psyche of people, their political awarness, customs and traditions etc.

c) Disturbed Balance:The government department, executive, performing various important functions i-e planning of execution of laws, Security, and welfare, which demand not much separation of power but fusion of power 1711 these made executive very poweful than temaining two branches.

d) Administrative complications: Separation of powers results in administrative complications. It becomes difficult to forge co-operation, Coordination and harmony among the organs of government. The smooth working of modern governments demand not so much separation of powers.

e) Confusion and Deadlock: Separation of power leads to jealousy; suspection and friction among the organs of government while producing disharmony and conjusion, it may paralyze the administration. Its a result administration often fails to take quick decisions. IS AN ABSOLUTE SEPARATION OF POWER POSSIBLE :-Separation of power is a feature associated more with the presidential system of government. In a typically paeliamentary system, fusion of power is mose common. In fusion of power is mose common. In fusion of power the elected legislature is supreme, while the other branches are subordinate to it. In separation of power each branch enjoys a considerable degree of independence from the other branches. As all three branches are inter linked with each other, on absolute power is not possible especially in Parliamentary system.

it's brief. discuss this in detail as well as this is the second part of the answer.

WHY MONTESQUIEU IS CALLED ARISTOTLE OF 18TH CENTURY: istotle is called Aristotle of the 18th century due to the following reasons. He applied the Aristotelian method inductive and historical tradition Dunning Says, ristly regard paid attention the the influence physical environment on social institutions and life of men like Anistotle Both Pristotle and Mostes quien classified several types of government the basis of the number and the archy Republic & Depotism. 4. montesquie follow Aristotle by saying of society gives it peculiar pastitular character as Aristotle ago, that constitution determines and character of its people

INTRODUCTEON:Aristotle, famously repersed to as the father of political science, laid the bricks of the subject. He gave political thoughts about state, man, relation between state and man and classification of governments and constitutions STRISTOTLE: FATHER OF POLITICAL SCIENCE:Assistable was the first man to distinguish Detween various branches of knowledge. According to Asistofle, political Science is a master Science. He consider political science as a master-ait because, untike other Sciences that serve as a mean to an end, political science pertains to the ends of human existence in Ptself. Following are

the Hristotle's contribution to the history of political thought. HRISTOTLE AND HES VEEWS ON STATE: Aristotle views the Stale as natural. According to him State is a necessary toudition for all human. like plato, he doesn't differentiale between state or society. According to Aristotle state is a necessary wondition of a good life. He perceived state as natural for humans because according to him, there is no difference blu an animal or human being other than that humans have desire and a sense came into Existence for the salce of life and continues to exist for the sake of Good life? (Aristotle)

ARISTOTEE AND HIS VIEWS UN MEN: Arestotle believes that Man 95 a political animal. This analogy is intriguis q because it does not only consider man to be a social animal but also interprets him as a political being. According to him all living beings exists in Society within a group therefore they are social animal. However, et is only the qualify of human being to aspire for a good and qualifative life the says, he who does not live in a state or who to does not need a state is either a beast or a god." STATE LAND ITS RELATION WETH MAN:- Aristotle 1's Known for his dictum that state is prior to man. Chronologically, it is a

man who appears before the state. It is the state that makes human being capable of completing their needs and fulfilling the objective of good life. Aristotle arous a relation between organ and organisms in such a way that as each organ of Uving being performs different responsibilities in society. The body consists of different different organs, similarly the state ensures the communion of various Individuals, where the division of labor ensures to-operation an harmony in society ARESTOTLE AND HES CLASSEFECATE -ON OF GOVERNMENTS & CONSTITUTIONS-Aristotle was troubled by the instability that existed in Creek "City-states" governments. He Class if wed his constitution on the basis of following od factors.

1. Number of individuals ruling
-the state:-To Know about the quality of rule, type of rule, he set the factor of number of rulers of the state. Either it is one person ruling the state, a few ends viduals or et es a rule of many 2. The Entent of the rules or rules:-Whether the Ruler is ruling for his states interest (Known as a mormal form of government) or whether the ruler is looking after his self-interest (Known as the perverted form of government). Aristotles dassification of Government: self · Public interest interest Monarchy Tyranny H of rulers The one Asistocracy oligarchy The few

The Many Polety Democracy it would be Asistoway in an ideal government or it would be depotism or Tyrang in a perverted form. be aristrocs any in on ideal form of government or oligarchy in a perverted form.

. If the rule 95 ky many, it would be polity of constitutional gout as the ideal form of denotracy government and interestingly, Democracy in a ARCSTOTLE'S CYCLE OF FORMS OF GOVERNMENT: Argstotle has provided cycle of change of govern-ments over time. Kingship, a normal form of government

tuens to tyranny when their i's absence of control once the monarchis power. Tyranny leads to a rebellion or a revolution by a few individuals who establish an asistocracy Fristocracy can deteriorale and hims into an oligardy, the perverted form. with time, agreater many rebels against oligarchy supersede it with polity. Polity further decays into democracy when the many rules begin to seek their self. interest. In the end, a single endevidual who seems virtuous establishes a monarchy, an the progression of ideal form and perverted form continues en a cerculae motion. monardy Tyranny Aristoca - au



