

Pakistan should ^{remain} neutral in international conflicts. This is because, it faced a lot of bad consequences in past when it took side of any conflicted party. A neutral state is respected ^{arranged} ^{are} all the other states and mostly peace talks ^{are} ^{arrange} there such as Qatar and Switzerland. The soft image of such ^{states} ^{improves} state ^{improve} at the international level.

For example, in the conflict between the USA and Iran, Pakistan ^{acted} as a mediator, as a result it is appreciated across the world. On the other hand, those states which ^{took} side of any conflicting country, its image in ~~the~~ world ^{was} ~~level~~ affected.

For instance, during Cold War, Pakistan ~~was~~ ~~on~~ ^{the} side supported the USA against the USSR, as a result, in 1971 War, the USSR did not give support to Pakistan but to India. This shows that neutrality at international level ^{gives} ~~to~~ serves the national interest of Pakistan in a well way. Hence, Pakistan should ^{be} neutral in all international conflicts in order to protect the national interests. ~~of~~ 4

Grammatical errors found.