

Write a précis of the following passage and suggest a suitable title.

The paradox at the heart of modern democratic governance is that the very mechanisms designed to ensure accountability have, in many instances, become instruments of its evasion. Transparency laws, freedom of information acts, and parliamentary oversight committees were conceived as bulwarks against the arbitrary exercise of power; yet in practice, governments have learned to comply with the letter of these provisions while systematically subverting their spirit. Information is released in volumes so vast as to be practically inaccessible; hearings are convened in which officials speak at length without saying anything of substance; inquiries are commissioned whose terms of reference are so narrowly drawn as to foreclose the very questions most in need of examination. This is not a problem unique to any single political tradition. Whether in established liberal democracies or in states undergoing transitions toward more representative forms of government, the instinct to preserve executive prerogative and insulate decision-makers from genuine scrutiny appears remarkably durable. What has changed in recent decades is the sophistication of the techniques employed. The crude suppression of information characteristic of earlier authoritarian models has largely given way to a more insidious form of opacity: the managed release of data calibrated to satisfy procedural requirements without yielding meaningful insight. Citizens and legislators alike find themselves awash in documentation while remaining, in any functional sense, uninformed. The remedy, if one exists, must be sought not merely in the reform of legal frameworks — which are easily circumvented by those with the institutional knowledge to do so — but in the cultivation of an informed and engaged citizenry whose expectations of government cannot be managed through procedural compliance alone. Democracy, as a living practice rather than a formal arrangement, demands not just the availability of information but the capacity and will to use it.

{ Precise Writing }

Title :- The paradox of Modern democracy

The system designed to ensure accountability in modern democracy has led to downfall. Laws, freedom and parliamentary oversight which were designed to protect against aristocracy have become void of their spirit. Information, public inquiries and hearings are based baseless. From liberal to representative form of democracy this problem persists. In modern times enhanced techniques are applied to suppress information which included managed release of data to satisfy public. Despite surrounded by documents, legislators and citizens lacked information. The remedy is to develop an informed citizenry. Democracy demands the availability of information along with will and capacity to use it.