

~~Title: The importance of learning through guidance.~~

✓ There are three theories for education in psychology. <sup>FIRST SUGGESTS THAT</sup> First that children should be left free. Second that children should be kept in strict control and then third that the children should be free but should always act good. However, children cannot be good without guidance. The author does not agree with the third thought and calls it basic and giving less importance to education. The teachers can pass their qualities of self control and morality if students are not given absolute freedom. Socially education must act as a way to grow and to develop moral abilities which are not possible through unchecked freedom.

Words in Passage = Approx 311  
Words in Precis = 103 words.

main idea is picked but there is issue of clarity of thoughts in  
precis

need improvement over all in all aspects

7/20

write sentences with complete meaning and complete grammar



## **PART-II**

**Q. 2. Write a précis of the following passage and suggest a suitable title:**

**(20)**

On the question of freedom in education there are at present three main schools of thought, deriving partly from differences as to ends and partly from differences in psychological theory. There are those who say that children should be completely free, however bad they may be; there are those who say they should be completely subject to authority, however good they may be; and there are those who say they should be free, but in spite of freedom they should be always good. This last party is larger than it has any logical right to be; children, like adults, will not all be virtuous if they are all free. The belief that liberty will ensure moral perfection is a relic of Rousseauism, and would not survive a study of animals and babies. Those who hold this belief think that education should have no positive purpose, but should merely offer an environment suitable for spontaneous development. I cannot agree with this school, which seems to me too individualistic, and unduly indifferent to the importance of knowledge. We live in communities which require co-operation, and it would be utopian to expect all the necessary co-operation to result from spontaneous impulse. The existence of a large population on a limited area is only possible owing to science and technique; education must, therefore, hand on the necessary minimum of these. The educators who allow most freedom are men whose success depends upon a degree of benevolence, self-control, and trained intelligence which can hardly be generated where every impulse is left unchecked; their merits, therefore, are not likely to be perpetuated if their methods are undiluted. Education, viewed from a social standpoint, must be something more positive than a mere opportunity for growth. It must, of course, provide this, but it must also provide a mental and moral equipment which children cannot acquire entirely for themselves.