

Q: Give a critical appraisal of the constitutional crisis / crises initiated by the controversial actions taken by Governor General Ghulam Muhammad and Endorsed by the Superior court of Pakistan. Discuss and analyse its effects on the subsequent history of Pakistan. (20)

Outline

- 1) Introduction
- 2) Path to partition: constitutional foundations of Pakistan (1946-47)
- 3) The controversial actions of Governor General Ghulam Muhammad and Response of Maulvi Tariquzzaman Khan
 - Executive over-reach, dismissing the prime minister Khawaja Nazimuddin
 - constitutional Assembly lacked the growing influence of a bureaucratic-military establishment
 - Breakdown of constitutional machinery, the Governor-General dissolved the constitutional assembly
- 4) on which basis the superior court endorsed the actions of the Governor General?
 - point raised on behalf of the Governor-General, the reversal of the Sindh's court's judgement by the Federal court.
- 5) critical Analysis of the effects of the decision on the subsequent political history of Pakistan.
- 6) Conclusion

no need for a detailed outline for a 20 marks answer.

Introduction: The constitution of a country

Provides it with a framework of governance, ensures

its stability and sovereignty, guarantees fundamental

rights to its citizens, and establishes the rule of law.

However, a constitutional crisis can undermine the

state's sovereignty and cause irreparable harm to

to its socio-economic development. Unfortunately, in the case of Pakistan, the country has faced a constitutional crisis in its infancy that caused incalculable harm to the development of democratic institutions. The responsibility for the constitutional crisis is attributed to the actions of the governor-general Ghulam Muhammad followed by the legitimization of his actions by the Federal court of the country. He, the governor-general, dissolved the first constitutional Assembly on 24th Oct 1954 when Maulvi Tamizuddin Khan, president of the Assembly, tried to restrict the arbitrary arbitrary powers of the office of the governor-general exercised to dismiss his political rivals. As a result, the governor-general proclaimed an emergency and dissolved the Assembly. Maulvi Tamizuddin Khan challenged his actions in the Sindh court, and the judgment ~~legitimized~~ his standing. The federal government appealed to the Federal court, challenging the Sindh court judgment. The court favoured the actions of the governor-general under the umbrella of the "doctrine of necessity". To conclude, the actions of the governor-general Ghulam Muhammad to sustain his rule and strengthen the power led to a constitutional crisis in the country. It weakened the development of democratic institutions by strengthening the power and control of the military bureaucratic establishment.

keep the introduction a bit brief.

Path to partition: constitutional foundations of Pakistan (1946-1947):-

In undivided India, on 16 May 1946, Prime Minister Clement Attlee announced the recommendations of the Cabinet Mission plan regarding the

relate your headings and arguments to the qs statement.

Date:

establishment of a constitutional Assembly for the foundation of constitution for the two new dominions. The All-India Muslim League boycotted the idea of a joint constitutional assembly for partition. On June 2nd, 1946, his majesty George VI announced specific provisions in relation to the establishment of a separate assembly. The assembly was created under the direction of Governor-General Mountbatten. Jinnah was elected as the first president of the constitutional Assembly on 11th Aug 1947. Following Jinnah's death on 11th Sep 1948, Maulvi Tamizuddin Khan was elected as the president of the assembly on 14th December 1948.

The controversial Actions of Governor-General Ghulam Muhammad and Response of Maulvi Tamizuddin Khan:

- Executive over reach, Dismissing the prime Minister Ishaqia & Nazimuddin.

on 17th April 1953, the third Governor-General of Pakistan (1951-55), a politician and economist, Sir Naseer Ghulam Muhammad, replaced Ishaqia Nazimuddin - the second prime minister, with Muhammad Ali Bogra by exercising the rights of the Governor-General provided in section 10(2) of the Government of India Act 1935. According to section 10(2) of the Government of India Act of 1935, the Governor-General's ministers shall be chosen and summoned by him, shall be sworn as members of the council, and shall hold office during his pleasure. The functions of the Governor-General with respect to the choosing and summoning of the dismissed shall be exercised by him at his discretion. The dismissed

Date: _____

Can be referred to as executive over-reach undermining civilian rule. It was based on the power struggle between civil leadership and Bureaucratic-military establishment. However, the Governor-General cited economic failure, law and order issues, and political instability as justifications for the dismissal.

leave a line space between headings for neatness.

- Constitutional Assembly faced the growing influence of Bureaucratic-military Establishment. As a result, the Constitutional Assembly passed two bills: First, section 223-A was added to the Government of India Act 1935. It invested the councils with the power of mandatory and quo-warranto, and second, a bill was passed on 21st Sep 1954 that repealed section 10(2). At that time, Maulvi Tamizuddin was the president of the Constitutional Assembly. The bills did not get the assent of the Governor-General on account of their absence.

- Breakdown of constitutional machinery: the Governor-General dissolved the Constitutional Assembly. So upon returning to Pakistan, the Governor-General dissolved the Assembly on 24th Oct 1954. Maulvi Tamizuddin Khan challenged the actions of the Governor-General in the Sindh Court. As a result, the Sindh chief court issued quo-warranto to the ministers in the Dogra cabinet. So, they were inhibited from exercising the office of minister. Also, the court issued a writ of mandamus that restored Maulvi Tamizuddin Khan to the office of president of the Constitutional Assembly. It also restrained respondents from interfering in his duties as president of the Assembly.

Subsequently, the government appealed in the Superior court against the ruling of the Sindh court on which basis the Superior court endorsed the Actions of the Governor General?

Point raised on Assent of the Governor-General
the reversal of the Sindh's court's Judgment by the Federal court.

The Federal court reversed the Judgment of the Sindh court on the grounds that the bills did not get the assent of the Governor-General. It did not address the issue if the Assembly was rightly dissolved or not. It was held that under Section 223 A, which was not yet a law, the Sindh Court issued a writ in favor of Maulvi Tamizuddin. In addition, all the enactments of the Assembly required the assent is required. It was said that the Assembly, in its functions, was under limitation imposed upon it by the Government of India Act 1935. Last, by a majority of four to one, the court decided in favor of the government and rejected Maulvi Tamizuddin Khan's petition.

Critical Analysis of the Effects of the Decision on the Subsequent political History of Pakistan:-

In a critical analysis, the decision strengthened military and bureaucratic control on the decision-making curve. In later political history, it is evident that military dictators legitimize their rule under the "doctrine of necessity". Further, it weakened constitutionalism by legalizing the authoritarian rule that gave space to future endeavours.

add more arguments

a 20 marks answer should have around 15 arguments and be on 7-9 pages.

Date:

by the military bureaucratic establishment - the dissolution of the constitutional assembly further delayed constitutional developments. As a result, the state's constitution was formulated after ten years of its establishment. Many factors existed in the early constitutional and political history of Pakistan that the judgment irreversibly undermined the image and credibility of the judiciary of Pakistan in the public eye. Thus, the decision caused irreparable harm to the development of strong democratic institutions.

Conclusions: To conclude, the actions of Governor General Ibrahim Muhammad to strengthen the position of the bureaucratic-military establishment in the decision-making cadre impacted the constitutional development of the country in its earlier phase. The decision of the Federal Court on the question of whether the dissolution of the first constitutional assembly was right was not even addressed. Notably, it legitimized the actions of the governor-general. Thus, it impacted the image of the judiciary in an unprecedented way. The decision strengthened military and bureaucratic control on the decision-making cadre. In later political history, it is evident that military dictators legitimize their rule under the "doctrine of necessity".

07