

**Q. 2 Make a précis of the following passage and suggest a suitable title.**

**(15+5=20)**

In the public imagination, renewable energy has been canonized as humanity's moral salvation — a luminous antidote to the fossil-fueled past. Politicians, corporations, and environmental activists alike brandish terms like carbon neutrality and net zero as if they were sacred promises of planetary healing. Yet beneath this glossy façade lies a disquieting paradox: the green revolution itself is mining the planet into exhaustion.

The euphoric rhetoric surrounding solar panels, wind turbines, and electric vehicles conveniently omits their invisible costs. Each “clean” technology demands prodigious quantities of rare-earth minerals, cobalt, and lithium — resources extracted through energy-intensive and ecologically ruinous mining practices, often in the world's poorest regions. Vast tracts of land in Congo, Chile, and China have been stripped of vegetation, poisoned with chemical runoff, and rendered uninhabitable in the pursuit of so-called sustainable materials. The moral irony is that one environmental crisis is being mitigated by igniting another.

Electric vehicles, for instance, have been glorified as emblems of ecological virtue. Yet, the production of their massive batteries emits more carbon than manufacturing traditional combustion engines. Furthermore, these batteries have limited lifespans and pose formidable recycling challenges. When they reach obsolescence, they contribute to a mounting electronic waste catastrophe. The illusion of sustainability thus conceals a relentless cycle of extraction, pollution, and waste — all under the banner of progress.

Similarly, wind and solar farms, while operationally clean, exact hidden tolls on ecosystems. Turbine blades demand composites that cannot be recycled, while solar panels deteriorate into toxic waste after decades of service. The production and maintenance of such infrastructure remain dependent on fossil fuels for transport, assembly, and grid support — making “zero emission” a seductive but deceptive slogan.

The tragedy lies not merely in technological hypocrisy but in moral complacency. Humanity's obsession with “green labels” has turned environmentalism into a marketing enterprise rather than a philosophical awakening. The true path to sustainability demands restraint, efficiency, and systemic humility — not another wave of industrial exploitation disguised as virtue. The planet, it seems, is not being healed by renewable energy; it is merely being wounded in a different way.

**Q. 3 Read the following passage carefully and answer the questions that follow.**

**(20)**



Incomplete sentence/ wrong use of as at the start of the sentence.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Day: \_\_\_\_\_

## Scam of Clean Technology

The "Green Revolution", portrayed as sole solution for environmental problems by politicians and environmental activists, is actually causing adverse deterioration. As the exaggerated Clean Technology is by-product of pollution causing material during their extraction from earth. Lands undergo chemical treatments and <sup>in turn</sup> no future vegetables. <sup>Additionally,</sup> ~~Added to this~~ electric vehicles, symbol of clean technology, are producing longlasting electronic wastes. Winds and solar farms are of no exception to environmental pollution. Also, the maintenance of <sup>fossil</sup> clean technology requires fossil fuels, proving "Zero emissions" a misleading slogan. This shows humans have done technological exploitation of environment in the name of "green labels." Sustainability is achieved through self-control and humility and utilization of resources in a sensible way for truly protecting environment.

Total Words = 342

Precis words = 119

Idea is ok. Structural and punctuation errors found.